1 Peo. vs. Jackson 2 THE CLERK: Case on trial. 3 People vs. Joseph Jackson, Indictment Number 4 91607. 5 People ready? 6 MR. WALSH: People are ready. 7 THE CLERK: Defendant ready? 8 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. Okay, good morning 10 everyone. For a while I didn't think we would 11 make it this morning. The calendars are getting 12 so immense. 13 The jury is in route. We have some further 14 preliminaries to do before their arrival. 15 On our other preliminaries, counselor, your 16 client waived his Antommarchi rights, and you 17 indicated you would like the voir dire recorded. 18 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes. 19 THE COURT: I told the court reporter. We 20 have, we'll have a different one tomorrow. I'll 21 ask this court reporter just to remind me to tell 22 the new reporter tomorrow to record as well. 23 We have a few things to go over that I would 24 like you to approach the bench on so we can 25 discuss them, such as the length of time, et

1 Peo. vs. Jackson 2 cetera. We can do that now. 3 (Conference.) 4 THE COURT: All right. We'll go over a few 5 of the things now that we discussed at the 6 bench. 7 It's my understanding, People, that you are 8 not consenting to the jury not being sequestered, 9 is that correct? 10 MR. WALSH: That's correct. 11 THE COURT: So, without the consent, of 12 course, of both parties I have to sequester the 13 jury. 14 Mr. Brettschneider: There was a motion 15 filed by the District Attorney's office for the 16 use of the felony exam minutes, and it's my 17 understanding that you are not contesting their 18 right to use them, is that correct? 19 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: That's correct, your 20 Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. We went over the 22 schedule at the bench, and it's my understanding 23 as well that both of you feel, if I tell the jury 24 we'll be finished early to mid-December, that 25 would be accurate?

1 Peo. vs. Jackson 2 MR. WALSH: I believe so, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Brettschneider? 4 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes. THE COURT: Now, a witness list. 5 6 People, do you have one for me? 7 MR. WALSH: I do, your Honor. 8 (Hands.) 9 THE COURT: Have you had the opportunity to 10 give a copy to Mr. Brettschneider? 11 MR. WALSH: I'm doing so right now. 12 (Hands.) 13 THE COURT: Mr. Brettschneider, as we 14 discussed on the prior date, I don't require 15 defense counsel to give me a list. However, I'm 16 very happy to have one to inquire of the jury. 17 Is there any list that you wish to submit? 18 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. We have a Sandoval 20 to do. Let's do that. 21 I have found the easiest way is if the 22 District Attorney will indicate which ones they 23 want to use, and then I'll give defense attorney 24 the opportunity to be heard, and then I'll give 25 you the opportunity to be heard further if you so

Peo. vs. Jackson 1 2 wish. 3 MR. WALSH: Thank you, your Honor. Your Honor, based upon my reading of the 4 5 defendant's NYSIIS, it appears to me that there 6 are seven prior convictions that appear on the 7 NYSIIS; one actually for a violation, six 8 criminal convictions, one of those for a Class E 9 felony, attempted assault in the third degree. 10 I would be asking the Court's permission to 11 use those seven prior convictions that appear on 12 the rap sheet, three of those as far as 13 cross-examination into what the crime was and the 14 underlying facts. 15 I would also be asking the Court with 16 respect to his felony conviction for permission 17 to inquire of the defendant whether or not he was 18 ever convicted of a felony without going into 19 the, either the nature of that conviction or the 20 underlying fact of that conviction. 21 THE COURT: It's easier for me if you go 22 down the sheet. 23 MR. WALSH: Sure. 24 THE COURT: One at a time as to which ones 25 you are asking for. Are you starting --

Peo. vs. Jackson MR. WALSH: The juvenile delinquent, I would 2 not be asking for permission to cross-examine him 3 as to anything relating to that juvenile 4 delinquent arrest for burglary in the 2nd degree. 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 MR. WALSH: The next conviction that appears 7 on the rap sheet is one for criminal possession 8 of a weapon in the 4th degree. My understanding 9 is that that involved the use or the possession 10 of metal knuckles. 11 I will not be asking permission to inquire 12 13 into that particular prior conviction. 14 THE COURT: All right. MR. WALSH: The next conviction appearing on 15 the NYSIIS is the one from December 21, 1988. 16 is the conviction for assault in the 3rd degree, 17 a Class A misdemeanor. 18 19 I would also not be seeking permission of 20 the Court to inquire as to that particular 21 conviction. 22 THE COURT: All right. 23 MR. WALSH: The next conviction that appears 24 on the NYSIIS is one for criminal facilitation in 25 the 4th degree.

I am going to ask the Court for permission to inquire of the defendant into that criminal conviction. He received a sentence of nine months. The conviction was for a Class A misdemeanor.

Does the Court wish me to advise the Court of the underlying facts of that case?

THE COURT: Yes, thank you.

MR. WALSH: Your Honor, that was an incident where the defendant pled guilty on November 16, 1989 based upon an incident that occurred in July of 1989.

There was some cross-examination of this incident during the hearings. In this case the facts, as I am aware of them, are that the defendant was essentially helping an individual sell crack/cocaine.

He approached a man on the street, the defendant did, who turned out to be an undercover police officer, asking that undercover police officer what it was that he wanted. When the undercover officer told the defendant that he wanted to buy crack/cocaine, the defendant then escorted him over to a third person and

Peo. vs. Jackson 1 instructed that third person to sell cocaine to 2 the undercover officer. 3 He told him to, "Give my man a dime." That 4 was the phrase that was allegedy used. And the 5 third person then went about selling the 6 crack/cocaine to the undercover police officer. 7 The next conviction that appears on the 8 NYSIIS is one for petit larceny. And I believe 9 there was also an assault 3rd conviction in 10 connection with that same case. The NYSIIS only 11 reflects the conviction for petit larceny. 12 13 There was also a plea of guilty to assault 14 3rd in that same case. That's something that was 15 also brought up at the time of, of the hearings, 16 your Honor. I would be asking the Court's permission to cross-examine the defendant on that 17 18 case. 19 That incident occurred on March 31, 1990 20 outside of a bar in Roosevelt. It started with the defendant having an argument with an 21 22 individual by the name of Roosevelt Alexander.

Allegedly the defendant slapped him in the head outside of the bar. When Mr. Alexander hit the defendant back he then, "he" being Mr.

23

24

25

Alexander, ran with the defendant and two of the defendant's friends chasing him.

They chased him to a senior citizens home where the victim attempted to climb over a fence to get away from the defendant and the two individuals he was with. The defendant actually chased him over that fence. When the defendant caught him, the defendant began hitting Mr. Alexander in the head.

During the course of that assault one of the defendant's associates, Mr. Davis, took a gold chain from the neck of Mr. Alexander. And the defendant allegedly assisted Mr. Davis in doing so by continuing to hit the victim.

The victim was hospitalized for the injuries that he, that he suffered and the defendant plead guilty to not only the assault 3rd degree but the petit larceny for the, the theft of the chain as well.

The next conviction appearing on the NYSIIS is one for criminal possession of a controlled substance in the 7th degree. Now, the NYSIIS reflects a charge of criminal sale of a controlled substance in the 3rd degree. It also

25

1

reflects a sentence of ten months incarceration,
but I don't believe that the rap sheet indicates
what the conviction was for.

THE COURT: No.

MR. WALSH: I have the file from our office on that case. I researched the records and the defendant was, in fact, convicted of criminal possession of a controlled substance in the 7th degree, a Class A misdemeanor.

That is the -- this is the third criminal conviction which I would be asking the Court for permission to use on cross-examination.

It involved an incident that occurred in August of 1990 in Roosevelt. Once again, it involved the sale of crack/cocaine. There was a man who turned out to be an undercover police officer who asked the defendant whether he had works. The defendant asked the undercover officer what he was looking for.

The undercover officer said he wanted a dime of crack/cocaine. And at that time the defendant pointed out another individual who was in the vicinity who was sitting on a bicycle and told the undercover police officer to see the guy on

1 Peo, vs. Jackson 2 the bicycle over there. 3 The undercover police officer went to the 4 man on the bicycle, bought cocaine from the 5 person on the bicycle for \$10.00. 6 So those, those essentially are the three I wish to use, your Honor. 7 8 I believe there is one prior assault charge 9 that appears on the NYSIIS from October of 1993. 10 There's no disposition reported. I'm aware that 11 that resulted in a conviction for harassment as a 12 violation, and I would not be seeking permission 13 to use that. 14 Other than the three I brought to the 15 attention of the Court as far as the underlying 16 facts, again going back to the second conviction 17 that appears on the NYSIIS for a felony, 18 attempted assault in the 2nd degree, while I 19 would not ask the Court to use the underlying 20 facts or the nature of that conviction, given the 21 similarity to what we're trying here, I would ask 22 for the Court's permission to inquire as to 23 whether or not the defendant had ever been 24 convicted of a felony. 25 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Brettschneider?

1 Peo. vs. Jackson MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Your Honor, I would 2 consent to the Sandoval compromise with regard to 3 the felony conviction. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Your Honor, what next 6 comes about is obviously a bigger question which 7 8 I, I will address now: When I reviewed the hearing minutes, there 9 obviously is a question as to why Mr. Jackson was 10 brought into the precinct on, on the date and the 11 day subsequent in which he gave his, his 12 statement to the police. There was a question as 13 14 to whether he was arrested with regard to a criminal sale of a controlled substance. 15 And what I, what -- I would like a ruling at 16 this time, and I would make a motion in limini, 17 that the People not be allowed to bring up the 18 19 reason for that arrest and the reason that he was 20 in the police precinct, and that any information 21 that was testified to with regard to the narcotics detectives who testified at the 22 23 hearing, with regard to the identification made 24 of Mr. Jackson, by both the confidential informant and by the undercover police officers 25

in that case, that all of that be precluded from this trial.

And the reason I'm asking that is that if
the Court should rule that the People be allowed
to bring in the criminal facilitation charge and
the criminal possession in the 7th degree
charges, I think that would have an impact upon
my client and also would have an impact based on
the evidence that this jury would hear with
regard to the incidents that happened with regard
to the the drug sale that brought him into the
precinct on that date.

So, based on, on the Court's ruling with regard to that matter, I would oppose the People being allowed to go into the criminal facilitation and the criminal possession in the 7th degree.

I would also be opposed to them bringing in the petit larceny as again, I would ask for a Sandoval compromise and just ask whether my client was convicted of a misdemeanor.

There are certain aspects of that case with regard to the assault, and I have -- the only thing that I see here on the rap sheet that I

have is that he was convicted of petit larceny.

I don't see anything with regard to him pleading guilty to an assault charge on the April 4th -- excuse me, on the March 31, 1990 arrest.

Not -- I'm not denying, you know, that may have happened, and I don't know what certificates of disposition Mr. Walsh has with regard to that particular case, but at this point I would oppose that.

THE COURT: Mr. Walsh, I would like you to respond to the issue of whether you will be bringing up any evidence of the drug sale for the, for which the defendant was brought into the precinct.

MR. WALSH: I have no intention to, your Honor. I don't think it really relates to this case. It, of course, it, it came out during the hearings because we wanted to consolidate the hearings for the purpose of doing it all at once.

But as far as the trial is concerned, I

don't see any relevance. I don't see the

relevance Mr. Jackson's open drug case has to

this particular case. I don't have any intention

of, of introducing any of that evidence.

As far as I'm concerned, for the purpose of this case, Mr. Jackson was arrested on the date he was arrested because he was a suspect in a homicide.

It's always been my contention that the detectives had probable cause to place him under arrest for the homicide on that date in addition to any open warrants he may have had, which I will not bring up anyway.

I have no intention of introducing any evidence of the open drug case.

THE COURT: You have your answer, Mr. Brettschneider.

MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Your Honor, while on this subject, I would also like to know whether the People are going to introduce any evidence with regard to the -- that my client took a polygraph examination.

There was testimony in the hearing minutes with regard to a polygraph examination.

Certainly there's going to be a question as to the period of time that my client was in Mineola in custody. And certainly there may be a situation in which we're going to have to deal

Peo. vs. Jackson 2 with a period of time in which the police are going to say, We're waiting for a polygraph test. 3 But, of course, I would ask that not be 4 permitted into evidence. And I think that there 5 has to be some sort of explanation to the jury 6 other than that or, or some sort of language 7 which would allow the jury to know that my client 8 9 was in custody for that period of time without knowing there was a polygraph test that was 10 conducted in this case. 11 THE COURT: Before I hear from Mr. Walsh, I 12 13 have a further question on that issue: Are you 14 going to be contesting the voluntariness of the 15 statement before the jury? MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes. 16 17 THE COURT: Of course, you have the right to 18 do that. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Walsh? 20 21 MR. WALSH: Your Honor, I have, I've thought about this, and I've had the opportunity to 22 23 review a couple of prior trials that were done, I 24 don't know if one was before your Honor, and 25 these detectives were involved in it as well, and

I think there's a way around the problem.

I have minutes from an old trial that I was going to guide myself by as far as how to approach this particular issue.

Basically, and I'll show the minutes to Mr.
Brettschneider and the Court as well and we can
discuss this further, but basically I would have
Detective Abbondandelo testify that he and
Dempsey spoke with the defendant up until, I
believe on that date, about 6 o'clock when the
defendant was asked to take a polygraph. Of
course, there will be no mention of the
polygraph.

and substance that he was taken, the defendant was taken to meet a Detective Kosior for further interviewing at that point in time. I may have Detective Kosior testify that he interviewed the defendant, that he had asked the defendant to sign a consent form, that he had a conversation with him, but I don't have any intention at this point in time of bringing up the fact that the defendant was asked to or took a polygraph, and certainly, I don't intend to introduce any

1 Peo. vs. Jackson 2 results. 3 THE COURT: Of course, we know no results 4 can come in, but it's a, awhile since I did the hearing, but it was my recollection that the 5 6 detectives later on, in fact, were saying to the 7 defendant that you failed it, you are lying, we 8 know you are lying. 9 We have to be very careful. 10 MR. WALSH: Right. I understand. And I, I 11 have already discussed that with Detective 12 Abbondandelo, and I'll certainly discuss it with 13 him further. 14 I certainly think that I should be permitted 15 to inquire of Detective Abbondandelo and Dempsey 16 whether or not they confronted the defendant in 17 the case and whether they accused him of lying. 18 I don't know that I have to bring up the 19 fact that there was a polygraph result that 20 caused them to accuse the defendant of lying. 21 THE COURT: Well, I'm in agreement. You can 22 -- the detective can say, We don't believe him. 23 My only concern is that they don't say that you 24 failed the polygraph test. 25 MR. WALSH: Right. We don't believe you

Peo. vs. Jackson 1 because you took a polygraph. I don't intend to 2 to do that. 3 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Again, your Honor, it's 4 just a matter of what words you use. I mean, I 5 certainly don't want the jury to speculate as to 6 what evidence these detectives may have had. 7 I would prefer just that they couch it in 8 9 that they told the defendant that they did not believe him and that they believed that he was 10 lying, rather than say we have other evidence. 11 12 Because certainly, there is always the 13 question as to a polygraph whether the -- I mean, 14 whether it was properly administered and all 15 kinds of things that, that go along with it. 16 So, I would just leave it at that point, 17 that, that they confronted him and they said they 18 did not believe him. 19 THE COURT: Well, they have other, they have 20 evidence other than -- sir, you are not a 21 prospective juror, are you? 22 A VOICE: No. 23 THE COURT: Okay, then welcome. You can sit 24 down. They have additional evidence, including 25

Peo. vs. Jackson statements he had made to relatives, et cetera, 2 allegedly. The fact that we have evidence 3 doesn't necessarily mean a polygraph. 4 My concern is that there be no mention of a 5 polygraph or any indication -- they can, of 6 course, say we have a a detective who is not here 7 who will be coming in. Will you be willing to 8 talk to him? Which, of course, is the polygraph 9 technician, without saying "polygraph 10 technician". 11 I think Mr. Walsh basically understands his 12 13 responsibility and would be willing to discuss it 14 with you --MR. WALSH: I will. 15 THE COURT: -- off the record how he intends 16 17 to put it in, and then if you have any issues 18 with what he intends to do, you can bring it to 19 the Court's attention. 20 MR. WALSH: I don't intend to play games by 21 mentioning other evidence that's left unexplained 22 that would be hanging out there for the jury to 23 speculate on. 24 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Okay. 25 THE COURT: All right.

Peo. vs. Jackson 2 Anything further on the Sandoval? 3 MR. BETTSCHNEIDER: No. 4 THE COURT: All right. Then this is my 5 ruling as follows: 6 On the attempted assault where you wish to 7 inquire simply whether he was convicted of a 8 felony, the compromise you may do that; and that 9 is also on consent. 10 You may use the conviction for the petit 11 larceny but you may not go into the underlying 12 which involves the situation of the assault and 13 you may not say, he's been convicted of an 14 assault as well. 15 MR. WALSH: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Unless, of course, he denies it, 17 should he take the stand. 18 MR. WALSH: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: If he denies the conviction for 20 petit larceny then, of course, you would have the 21 right to inquiry further. 22 On the '89 criminal facilitation, you may 23 inquire as to the conviction as well as the 24 underlying facts. 25 And the same with '94, because he introduced

L	Peo. vs. Jackson
2	the police officer to buy crack/cocaine, I will
3	allow it because that goes more to his
ł	credibility than for his own personal use.
ō	Any questions on the Sandoval decision?
5	MR. WALSH: No. Thank you.
,	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No.
1	THE COURT: All right.
)	Does anyone have anything else to do? We
)	had indicated previously that you wanted in the
þ	Court's voir dire that they may not consider the
2	defendant's failure to take the stand,
3	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes.
1	THE COURT: Anything else?
5	(No response.)
i	THE COURT: Can someone tell the jurors who
	are standing outside, please, that we're waiting
1	for additional jurors, and that is the reason for
	the delay.
)	All right. Thank you very much.
	(Recess.)
2	THE CLERK: Bring the jury in, please.
3	(Prospective panel in the courtroom.)
	THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and
	gentlemen. Sorry to those of you who came on the

1 Peo. vs. Jackson 2 earlier buses and were standing in the halls for 3 so long but it takes the buses a long time. We 4 had to wait for everyone to begin. 5 Welcome to County Court. My name is Judge 6 Abbey Boklan, and I will be the Presiding Judge 7 at this trial. 8 Can all of you hear me? 9 (No response.) 10 THE COURT: Some of you are about to be 11 selected as jurors. I will explain briefly what 12 the trial involves and what roles the judge and 13 the jury play. We will also determine who will 14 actually sit as a juror in this case. 15 Relax, be comfortable, and I'll try to 16 familiarize you with what is about to happen. 17 We're only going to go a little while. We're 18 already into the luncheon hour, but I want to at 19 least get started, especially for those who have 20 been standing here. 21 The trial which is about to be commenced is 22 a criminal action entitled the People of the 23 State of New York against Joseph Jackson who is 24 referred to as the defendant. 25 The trial involves the following charges,

the following allegations: Murder in the 2nd degree, with the alleged victim being Steven Jason and the alleged weapon a handgun; intimidating a victim or witness in the 1st degree; and hindering prosecution in the 2nd degree.

As jurors, you are going to be called upon to determine whether or not the evidence which you shall see and hear in this case establishes the defendant's guilt of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

In order to do this, you will have to evaluate all of the evidence at the end of the trial to determine whether what you have heard from the witnesses and seen as exhibits is true and what it all means.

This is called finding the facts. That will be your function at this trial. I will find no facts in this trial.

Your ultimate decision is called a verdict.

Your verdict will either be guilty or not guilty. An attorney presents the evidence usually by calling witnesses and only you can decide what really happened and the verdict as to

each of the counts will remain your decision alone.

As Judge I will make no determination of whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty.

My role at trial is to ensure that you reach your verdict in accordance with the law. And I will explain to you what the law is as to all the issues at this trial.

I may have to rule on questions concerning the conduct of the trial. Those rulings have nothing to do with whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty.

I may also rule on questions concerning what evidence you may consider and for what purpose.

When I make a ruling concerning whether you may hear some testimony or see some exhibit which is offered as evidence, I will be ruling on whether or not you are permitted to hear it or see it as a matter of law.

Likewise, if I instruct you to disregard something you might have heard, I will do so because that's the law. None of my rulings should be taken by you as any indication at all of whether you should believe any or all of what

Peo. vs. Jackson 1 2 is offered as evidence or that the defendant is guilty or not guilty. That is solely your job to 3 determine. 4 But you must accept the law as I give it to 5 you if the defendant and the People are to have 6 the fair trial to which they are entitled. 7 8 The People are represented by the District 9 Attorney of this county, Mr. Denis Dillon. Mr. 10 Michael Walsh, who is now standing, an assistant 11 district attorney, will be presenting the 12 People's case. The defendant is represented by his 13 14 attorney, Mr. Brettschneider, who is now standing 15 as well. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Good afternoon. 16 17 THE COURT: And sitting next to Mr. 18 Brettschneider is the defendant, Mr. Joseph 19 Jackson. You may stand up, Mr. Jackson, as 20 well. 21 The fact that this action is brought in the 22 name of the People or that the evidence is 23 presented by a public official does not in any 24 way indicate that the public wants a specific 25 verdict. The People of this state are served by

whatever verdict is justified by the evidence.

You may hear reference to the fact that the defendant was indicted by a grand jury. This too is not and must not be taken as any evidence of guilt. As a trial jury you must consider an indictment as simply a piece of paper by which a defendant is accused of a crime.

Only you, as members of the trial jury, can determine guilt, and the defendant is presumed innocent unless and until you do find him guilty.

Serving on a jury is a vital function for citizens under our system of laws. It is also a very great responsibility, that is, to accord the defendant and the People a fair trial. In order to do so you must be free from any preconceived notions or any sympathies or prejudices that might prevent you from returning a fair and just verdict based solely on the evidence or the lack of evidence.

To help to ensure this our first order of business is to conduct an examination of the prospective jurors. I will ask some questions of you and after I am finished the attorneys for

both parties will ask questions as well.

The purpose of the questions is not to embarrass you or to discover any personal details about your lives. It is simply to determine whether or not you are qualified to sit as jurors in this case.

A number of you will not be selected. Some of you may be excused because you are not qualified to sit as a matter of law. That is called excused for cause. Others may be excused peremptorily which means by one of the attorneys without any cause being given.

Being excused is not a reflection on you either as a citizen or as a person. It is simply a determination under the rules by one or more of the parties or by me that you are not to sit on this particular case.

Now, I'm sure all of you are concerned about scheduling. Let me tell you a little bit of how this trial will run.

First of all, as you know we have many holidays coming up. First one being tomorrow, Election Day. The Court will not be in session.

Additionally, on Monday, the 11th, we have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

Veterans Day. The Court will not be in session.

And also for the month of December we will not been working on Fridays on this trial -- excuse me, the month of November we will not be working Fridays.

So, basically, the month of November, and I must not forget Thanksgiving, so the month of November we will not actually be working on this case seven working days, will not be on this case.

Let me repeat again, no Fridays in

November. Obviously not Thanksgiving, not

Election Day and not Veterans Day.

Even taking into consideration the fact that we will not be working on these days and that this is a case involving a charge of murder, which is always a more complicated case, usually a trial takes longer, the attorneys have assured me that we will finish this case no later than mid-December.

Now, how do the days themselves work? We here in Nassau County were one of the originators of a system used throughout the state. It's called the individual assignment system. It's a

fancy name, but what it means is a judge gets a case for all purposes from the very beginning.

So, at the same time that I'm trying this case I am trying to juggle approximately 150 other cases that I'm doing things on such as sentencing, motions, pleas, et cetera.

So, you will have your early morning to yourselves when we are working. I find that it's most convenient for jurors and for me to get my work out of the way first thing in the morning and call you in about 11 o'clock. So, you'll have your early mornings.

Normally, we break for lunch between the hours of 12:30 and 2. And I will try to have you, when you are not deliberating, out of the courtroom at approximately 4:30, quarter to 5 each day.

Now, when you are deliberating, just during final deliberations only, ladies and gentlemen, the jurors will be sequestered at all times.

This means that should the jury continue to deliberate for more than one day, then our law requires hotel accommodations be made available and the jury be sequestered each night before

1 Peo. vs. Jackson 2 resuming their deliberations on each following 3 day. 4 Now, I will not be doing the examination of 5 the individual jurors until after the luncheon 6 recess, but after the luncheon recess I want to 7 make sure that none of you has any pressing 8 family or business obligations or any physical 9 problems that would prevent you from serving on 10 this jury. 11 Please, do not seek to avoid jury service 12 because it is inconvenient. I regret the 13 inconvenience, but our system of trial by jury, 14 one of the most basic elements of our whole 15 system of justice depends upon citizens who are 16 willing to sacrifice their time when called upon 17 to judge another person. 18 Also, ladies and gentlemen, being excused 19 from this trial does not excuse you from jury 20 duty. It only means you go back to Central Jury 21 when you are excused from this case. 22 I'm going to ask the clerk to swear all of 23 you to answer truthfully and then we'll break 24 after that for the luncheon recess. 25 THE CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen, please

Peo. vs. Jackson 1 2 rise and raise your right hand. 3 (Jury sworn.) THE COURT: Please be seated for one 4 5 moment. Ladies and gentlemen, you have 6 questionnaires. I don't know if all of you had 7 8 the opportunity to fill them out. Please bring them back with you after the luncheon recess. 9 Finish them over the luncheon recess, if you 10 haven't had the opportunity to do it previously. 11 And also one other thing if you could please 12 do for us over the luncheon recess, not now, is 13 14 to separate the pages for us if you have not 15 already done that. I'm going to give you now some brief 16 17 admonitions: Do not discuss the case among 18 yourselves or with anyone else. 19 Do not read or listen to any accounts or 20 discussions of the case reported by newspapers or 21 other news media. 22 Do not visit or view the premise or any 23 place the offenses charged were allegedly committed or any other premises or place involved 24 in the case. 25

Peo. vs. Jackson 1 2 Promptly report to the Court any incident involving any attempt by any person to influence 3 any member of the jury or to discuss the case. 4 Do not form any opinions. Keep an open mind 5 until the case is completed. 6 We'll see you outside the courtroom at 2 7 o'clock. Have a good lunch. We'll see you 8 9 then. (Jury out.) 10 (Recess.) 11 A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and 13 14 gentlemen. Before we recessed I had told you a little bit about the trial schedule and, of 15 course, a need for jurors such as yourselves to 16 17 serve, but if any of you cannot serve for a 18 reason, now please raise your hand. All right. We'll hear you one at a time at 19 the bench. The court officer will line you up. 20 21 Counsel, please approach. 22 (Following occurred at sidebar:) (Individual excuses were heard at the bench.) 23 24 (Open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, thank you 25

very much for remaining, even those who are remaining reluctantly. We need jurors. We realize that this is a burden, but we would have to shut down our entire criminal justice system if people would not be willing to sit.

Now, at this time the law requires that the names of 12 of you be drawn and those persons take seats in the jury box.

And, ladies and gentlemen, those of you who are not selected right now, I ask you to listen carefully to the questions. You will find that after the first round we move much more rapidly and it's very likely that you will be asked some of the same questions.

I have actually 14 seats in my jury box, so we're now going to call 14 of you to come into the box. Please have your questionnaires ready for us when you are called.

(Box filled.)

THE COURT: Some of you might have heard me say it when you were called to the bench, if not if it's any consolation to any of you, misery loves company or whatever you want to call it, I have already filled out my jury questionnaire and

1 Peo, vs. Jackson 2 I am going to be expected to be called for jury 3 service as well. 4 I will have to shut down my Part. And it's 5 my understanding that the chief judge of the 6 state was also called for jury duty. We all now 7 share equally, which is a little different. 8 My first series of questions will concern 9 your backgrounds in order to determine anything 10 that may give rise to a feeling or an attitude 11 that might prevent you from judging this case 12 fairly. 13 Your answers to these questions will not 14 necessarily qualify you or disqualify you. If 15 any of you wishes to respond affirmatively, 16 either yes or no or you are not sure, please 17 raise your hand as soon as I complete the 18 question. 19 And if you don't understand the question, 20 say so. You may ask to approach the bench and 21 respond to any question you may prefer to discuss 22 privately. 23 The defendant, the defendant's attorney, the 24 prosecuting attorney have all been identified to 25 you. Do any of you know any of these

1 Peo. vs. Jackson 2 participants to the proceedings? 3 (No response.) 4 THE COURT: Now, among the witnesses who may 5 be called in the case are the following, and I 6 caution you that my mentioning the name imposes 7 no burden on either side to call that person as a 8 witness nor does it mean that the list may not be 9 expanded: 10 Detective Gary Abbondandelo, Homicide Squad; 11 Detective Robert Dempsey, Homicide Squad; these 12 are all Nassau County; Detective Jerry Mullen, 13 also of the same squad; Detective Peter Donato, same squad; Police Officer Richard Paulik of the 14 15 Freeport Police Department; Police Officer 16 Michael Pomorico of the Freeport Police 17 Department; Detective Joseph Marino, Crime Scene 18 Search Section, Nassau County Police Department; 19 Detective Nicholas Mattia, Scientific 20 Investigation Bureau of the Nassau County Police Department; Mr. Michael Herts, whose a retired 21 22 detective initially of the 1st Squad, Nassau 23 County Police Department; Detective Brian Parpan, Homicide Squad; Detective Frank Allaire, 1st 24 Squad Nassau County, Detective William Tweedie 25

1 Peo. vs. Jackson 2 1st Squad, Nassau County Police Department; 3 Detective Edward Haggerty, Freeport Police 4 Department; Mr. William Wallace, A.D.A., Nassau 5 County District Attorney's Office, Michael 6 Dimartino, M.D., Deputy Medical Examiner; Nassau 7 County Nassau Clerk's Office, Mr. Christopher M. 8 Jordan; Court Reporter, Miss Isabelle Vailes; 9 Mrs. Skwanitra Witherspoon. First name is 10 spelled S-K-W-A-N-I-T-R-A, and Witherspoon is 11 W-I-T-H-E-R-S-P-O-O-N; Mr. Peddy, that's spelled 12 P-E-D-D-Y Jenkins; Mr. Tyrone Isaac; and Mr. Roy 13 Isaac. 14 Do any of you know any of the prospective 15 witnesses in this case? 16 (No response.) 17 THE COURT: Now, I've told you very little 18 about this case. I've told you the nature of the 19 charges. The day, the alleged date of the crime 20 was March 20, 1994. 21 I told you that the alleged victim is Steven 22 Jason. And in the third count, the hindering 23 prosecution count, it's alleged that the 24 defendant rendered criminal assistance to one, 25 Tony Jackson.

1 Peo. vs. Jackson 2 Do any of you know anything about this case 3 other than what we have told you here in the 4 courtroom? 5 (No response.) 6 (Voir Dire examination by the Court.) 7 THE COURT: After we take a short recess you 8 will be asked various questions by the attorneys 9 starting with the assistant district attorney. 10 Their questions, like mine, are only designed to determine whether they think you are qualified to 11 12 sit in this case. 13 If they inadvertently ask you a question 14 which is embarrassing or very personal to you, 15 you may say so. If the question is not proper, I 16 will tell you that you do not have to answer it. 17 And if the answer involves some personal 18 information I will permit you to answer it in 19 private rather than in open court. 20 You are sworn to tell the truth and must 21 answer every question truthfully unless I rule 22 that it is not necessary to answer. 23 We'll take now approximately a 5 to 10 24 minute recess and then we'll resume again. 25 Please take the jury out.

```
1
     Peo. vs. Jackson
 2
                    (Recess.)
 3
                    MR. COURT: Mr. Walsh.
 4
                    MR. WALSH: Thank you.
5
                    THE COURT: Can someone help him with the
6
               lectern.
 7
                    Ladies and gentlemen, just so you
8
               understand, I give the attorneys 20 minutes the
9
               first round, 15 minutes every round thereafter.
10
                    So, when I say "one minute," that is just me
11
               giving them a one minute warning.
12
                    Begin.
13
                    (Voir dire examination by Mr. Walsh.)
14
                    THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Walsh.
15
                    Mr. Brettschneider, is the jury board up
16
               there?
17
                   MR. WALSH: No, it's not.
18
                    MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I don't need it.
19
               (Voir dire examination by Mr. Brettschneider.)
20
                    (Following occured at sidebar:)
21
                    THE COURT: Each side has 20 preempts.
                                                            The
22
               first 12, first 12 people in the box first
23
               through Maloney, okay.
24
                    Challenges cause, People?
25
                    MR. WALSH: Before we do that, I just have
```

1	Peo. vs. Jackson
2	one question: I don't know if I heard correctly
3	what somebody said, and I was wondering if
1	anybody can help me.
5	Mr. Harris, number 6, something about a
6	background check?
7	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes.
8	MR. WALSH: At the end.
9	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I was afraid to follow
0	up on that.
1	THE COURT: He said he would give a
2	background check to everybody to check them out.
3	MR. WALSH: Right. That is what I thought
4	he said.
5	THE COURT: If you want the exact words, we
6	can read it back to you.
7	MR. WALSH: That's what I thought I heard.
8	It was a little surprising, that's all. So, I
9	thought I would ask. Okay.
0	THE COURT: Cause, first 12?
1	MR. WALSH: Number 3, Mr. Shelson (Ph.)
2	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Consent.
3	THE COURT: Granted.
4	MR. WALSH: I don't know if counsel agrees
5	but I would also challenge Mr. Harris in light of

	Peo. vs. Jackson
	that last comment.
	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Consent.
	THE COURT: Consent.
6	MR. WALSH: And number 11, Miss Berrucum
5	(Ph.) with her language difficulty.
7	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Consent.
8	THE COURT: Consent.
9	MR. WALSH: That's all I have.
0	THE COURT: All right. Cause for you?
1	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No.
2	THE COURT: Peremptories, People?
3	MR. WALSH: 4, 10, 9. Three, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	MR. WALSH: 4, 9 and 10.
6	THE COURT: 4, 9 and 10.
7	MR. WALSH: 4, 9 and 10, yes, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. That's three
9	challenges, People.
0	All right. Peremptories, counsel, just of
1	the first 12?
22	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: 4, 8 5, 8 and 12.
23	THE COURT: All right. That gives us three
4	jurors, correct?
5	MR. WASLH: Right.

1	Peo. vs. Jackson
2	THE COURT: 1, 2 and 7 remain.
3	MR. WALSH: Yes.
4	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes.
5	THE COURT: All right. Now, the last two,
6	challenges, cause, People?
7	MR. WALSH: I thought both of them indicated
8	that they could not be fair, Your Honor. I would
9	challenge both for various reasons.
10	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I think, I think they
11	both said they could be fair.
12	THE COURT: Let's do one at a time.
13	Miss Edelman indicated that she felt she,
14	because of the intimidation of the charges, she
15	felt fear and that would influence her even
16	though she hedged on certain other things.
17	She mentioned that word "fear." I'm going
18	to grant that.
19	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I agree. I forgot
20	about that. I agree.
21	THE COURT: All right. That is for cause.
22	Now, Miss Branzinski (Ph.) is not
23	comfortable with the nature of the charge. Not
24	sure if she could give a fair trial. Worried
25	about making a decision on someone's life.

```
1
     Peo. vs. Jackson
 2
                  But she also said she had no bias. So,
 3
               unless there's consent on that one that I will
 4
              not deny -- I will deny that one.
5
                  (Conference.)
                  MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Consent.
6
7
                  MR. WALSH: Consent.
                   THE COURT: For cause, all right. That
8
9
               gives us three jurors.
                  We'll swear them and then we'll adjourn for
10
               the day.
11
12
                    (Open court.)
13
                   THE CLERK: Will the following please remain
14
               seated.
                    (Indicated jurors excused.)
15
                    THE CLERK: Are the remaining jurors
16
17
               satisfactory to the People?
                   MR. WALSH: Yes, your Honor.
18
                   THE CLERK: Satisfactory to the defendant?
19
20
                   MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes, your Honor.
21
                    (Jurors sworn.)
22
                    THE CLERK: Please be seated.
                    THE COURT: All right. Now, to conduct your
23
24
               deliberations in an orderly fashion you must have
25
               a foreperson.
```

Peo. vs. Jackson

Of course, his or her vote is entitled to greater weight than that of any other juror.

Under our law, the juror whose name was first drawn and called must be designated by the Court as the foreperson.

So, Mr. Diamond, you will be the Foreman of the jury and will report the verdict in open court.

And, Ma'am, after you've had the opportunity to speak to your husband, if there's going to be a problem that you need us to intervene with the fire department to assist you at the time of deliberations, please let us know as early as possible so that we can start making those calls up the line of command if we have to.

Ladies and gentlemen, that basically concludes the proceedings for today. I'm going to give you the admonitions and the instructions in a moment.

Tomorrow is a holiday. It's Election Day.

Please, everybody remember to vote. We meet

again on Wednesday. Wednesday at 11 in the

morning.

Those jurors who have not yet been called in

1 Peo. vs. Jackson 2 the box, you'll be called into the box on 3 Wednesday. Please bring your questionnaires back with you at that time. As to all of you, do not discuss the case 5 6 among yourselves or with others. 7 Do not read or listen to any accounts or discussions of the case if it is reported by 8 9 newspapers or any other news media. Do not visit or view the premises or any 10 11 place where the offenses charged were allegedly committed or any other premises or place involved 12 13 in the case. 14 Promptly report to the Court any incident 15 involving any attempt by any person to influence 16 any member of the jury or to discuss the case. Do not form any opinions. Keep an open mind 17 until the case is completed. 18 Also, in the morning, the parking situation 19 20 gets very bad after 9:30. So leave yourself plenty of time to find a parking space. 21 If you want to come early, there are various 22 23 restaurants across the street. There's a coffee 24 shop downstairs. I do not recommend nor do I say don't go there. I haven't eaten there in years. 25

Peo. vs. Jackson I don't have any idea what they're serving. However, I ask you not to visit any other courtrooms while you are waiting to come in here, and I will not permit you to enter this courtroom until this case begins. Also, all of us who are participants in this trial, we're friendly, but if any of you should see us in the halls we cannot even discuss the weather with you. And that's to protect the integrity of the case. Have a good day off tomorrow, and we'll see you Wednesday at 11. (Jury out.) 

```
47
1
2
   STATE OF NEW YORK
                         NASSAU COUNTY
         COUNTY COURT PART I
3
4
                                           -x
5
    THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
                   -against-
                                           :Ind. # 91607
6
7
   JOSEPH JACKSON,
                                           1.5
8
                          Defendant.
9
                                November 6, 1996
10
                                262 Old Country Road
                                Mineola, New York
11
12
    BEFORE:
13
         HON. ABBEY L. BOKLAN,
                         County Court Judge,
14
                                          and a jury
15
16
    APPEARANCES:
         HON. DENIS DILLON
17
         Nassau County District Attorney
              MICHAEL WALSH, ESQ., of Counsel
18
               Assistant District Attorney
                         for the People
19
20
21
         SCOTT BRETTSCHNEIDER, ESQ.
                         for the Defendant
22
              -Jury Selection and Trial Minutes-
23
24
                                   JERRI KREVOFF, CSR, RPR
                                   Official Court Reporter
25
```

48 1 Case on trial, People vs. 2 THE CLERK: 3 Joseph Jackson. THE COURT: Counsel, please approach. 4 (Whereupon the following side bar 5 conference took place outside the hearing of 6 the jury:) 7 THE COURT: Counsel, I have just been 8 handed a note. I might have to send the 9 other jurors out, so that your client can 10 hear this, as well. Judge Boklan, sworn 11 juror, last name, Diamond, that's our 12 foreman, juror has just been accepted at 13 Fordham University. Must move into 14 Manhattan immediately. Also has plane 15 tickets for the day before Thanksgiving. He 16 would like to speak with you. 17 Counsel, do you want to go back and see 18 whether your client wants to waive his right 19 to be present at this. Otherwise, I have to 20 send the prospective jurors outside the 21 door, and we'll do it in open Court. 22 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Why don't we do it 23 in the open courtroom. 24 THE COURT: Fine. I'll have the two 25

49 1 2 other sworn jurors brought back into the jury room. 3 (Whereupon the following took place 4 back within the hearing of the courtroom:) 5 6 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I have a note from one of the sworn jurors 7 that I have to handle in the courtroom, 8 outside the presence of the prospective 9 jurors, as well as the other sworn jurors. 10 I'm going to ask -- I'm sorry --11 everybody seated in the back, to just step 12 outside the door for a couple of minutes. 13 My other sworn jurors, please just follow my 14 court officer. 15 (Whereupon the prospective jurors and 16 17 the sworn jurors left the courtroom, and the following occurred in their absence:) 18 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Diamond, I 19 received your note. I'm going to read it 20 into the record. 21 22 "Judge Boklan, the sworn juror, last name, diamond, juror has just been accepted 23 at Fordham University. Must move into 24 Manhattan immediately. Also has plane 25

1	50
2	tickets for day before Thanksgiving, and
3	would like to speak with you." It's signed
4	by Sergeant Scalisi.
5	Mr. Diamond, you can
6	MR. DIAMOND: I can talk?
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MR. DIAMOND: The other day, Monday
9	night, I applied to the MBA program at
.0	Fordham. I was accepted. So that I have
.1	orientation. I have plans to move into the
.2	City. I also found out my parents made a
.3	reservation for Thanksgiving, which I wasn't
4	aware of.
L5	Unfortunately, I don't think I'll be
16	able to serve. I have to register,
17	orientation and look for a place in the
18	City. I have a letter.
19	THE COURT: I'll take your word for it.
20	When does registration and orientation
21	start?
22	MR. DIAMOND: The second week in
23	December. Registration; registration is the
24	second week in December.
25	THE COURT: What day?

1	51
2	MR. DIAMOND: I haven't accepted yet.
3	But I am. Again, I got it Monday. I have
4	to accept it. After I accept, then I get
5	the material, registration materials, find
6	out when I have to go.
7	THE COURT: If you just be seated for a
8	moment. Counsel, when you're ready to make
9	a have a discussion with me at the Bench,
10	after you speak to your client, please
11	approach.
12	(The following sidebar conference took
13	place outside the hearing of the open
14	courtroom, in the presence of the Court and
15	both counsel:)
16	THE COURT: What is your pleasure?
17	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: What do you want
18	to do?
19	THE COURT: Do you want to go off the
20	record?
21	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes.
22	(Whereupon there was a discussion off
23	the record)
24	THE COURT: Mr. Brettschneider, you're
25	consenting to his removal?

1 52 2 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes. And I have 3 discussed this with my client, and he agreed. THE COURT: Mr. Walsh? 6 MR. WALSH: I consent, as well. 7 (Whereupon the following took place back within the hearing of the courtroom;) 9 THE COURT: Mr. Diamond, all 10 participants have consented to excuse you, 11 and with wishes for good luck in your 12 schooling. 13 (Whereupon Juror #1 was excused) 14 THE COURT: Bring back all the jurors 15 and prospective jurors, please. 16 (Whereupon the sworn jurors, and the 17 panel of prospective jurors were brought 18 into the courtroom, and the following took 19 place:) 20 THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and 21 gentlemen. What had happened is, 22 Mr. Diamond, who, in fact, was our jury 23 foreman, has just been accepted at Fordham 24 University. He found out yesterday. He's 25 going to move into the City, immediately.

1 53 2 He will start his orientation and 3 registration. So all participants have consented to 4 5 let him start his university career. 6 that Mrs. Longarden; you're now our forewoman. You will be reporting the 8 verdict of the jury. 9 We are now ready to continue with our 10 jury selection. Let's please fill the box. 11 (Whereupon the jury box was filled with 12 fourteen people) 13 THE COURT: Good morning, again, ladies 14 and gentlemen. Do any of you know anything 15 about the case; other than what we have told you? Any of the prospective witnesses, any 16 17 of the participants at all; anyone? Now, comes really the most important 18 19 question of all. I should really always do 20 it first: Do any of you know of any reason 21 you can't fairly and impartially sit in this 22 case? Please approach, sir. 23 (Whereupon the following side bar 24 conference took place outside the hearing of 25 the open courtroom:)

1	5.
2	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Basile?
3	MR. BASILE: I have family who was
4	convicted of crimes and stuff. I don't
5	think I could be giving a fair decision.
6	THE COURT: You're excused. Thank you.
7	(The following took place back within
8	the hearing of the open courtroom:)
9	THE COURT: Fill the seat, please.
o	(Whereupon the vacant seat was filled)
1	THE COURT: Mrs. Shanley, can you
2	fairly and impartially sit on the case?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Do know anything about the
5	case, that we haven't told you? Any of the
6	prospective witnesses, any of the
7	participants?
.8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
.9	THE COURT: Ms. Baldwin, please tell me
0	about victim of a crime?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My girlfriend was
2	raped.
13	THE COURT: How long ago?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Four years.
15	THE COURT: Anyone apprehended?

1.	5.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Was there a proceeding in
4	the case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Did you attend any of the
7	proceedings?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR; No.
9	THE COURT: Did you have any
o	discussions with your friend about the
1	proceedings?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Anything about that
4	horrible incident, or the trial, or the
5	discussions that would affect your ability
6	to be fair in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
.8	THE COURT: Tell me about law
.9	enforcement.
0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My boyfriend is a
1	retired police officer, Marine Bureau,
2	Nassau County.
3	THE COURT: Can you judge a police
4	officer the same as any other human being?
:5	You don't decide in advance if you believe

(1)	
i	56
2	or disbelieve them
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I have to
4	mention I was in the Police Academy for a
5	brief time.
6	THE COURT: How long ago?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thirteen years.
8	THE COURT: You never became a police
9	officer?
.0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
1	THE COURT: Anything that would affect
2	your ability to be fair in that experience?
.3	I'm sure you learned your fellow students
.4	were human beings just like the rest of us.
.5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Minerva, your wife is a
7	bookkeeper for what type of a firm?
.8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Village of New Hyde
.9	Park.
0	THE COURT: You served on a grand jury?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Was that state or federal?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was state. In
4	the grand jury.
5	THE COURT: That's a different

1	57
2	proceeding. the defense attorney doesn't
3	cross-examine. No Judge sits to make a
4	ruling. Can you assure me that duty will,
5	in no way, interfere with your ability to be
6	fair in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Tell me about victim of a
9	crime.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About twenty years
11	ago, my house was burglarized.
1.2	THE COURT: Anyone apprehended?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: Would that affect you in
15	this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
17	THE COURT: Tell me about law
18	enforcement.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a cousin who
20	is a retired New York City police officer,
21	on my wife's side. We have one uncle whose
22	son is a retired New York City police
23	officer and a son who is presently a Suffolk
24	County police officer.
25	THE COURT: Anything in those

1	58
2	relationships that would affect you in this
3.	case?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: Can you judge a police
6	officer as a human being, the same as anyone
7	else?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Mrs. Snider, have you ever
.0	been employed outside of the home?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Your husband's occupation,
3	prior to his retirement?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: General contractor.
5	THE COURT: I see you have a child who
6	is a police officer.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
.8	THE COURT: What jurisdiction?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Nassau.
0	THE COURT: Not the Homicide Bureau?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Male or female?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Male.
4.	THE COURT: Has he ever discussed cases
5	with you.

1	59
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	
3	THE COURT: Anything in the
4	relationship that would prevent you from
5	being fair?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Can you judge a police
8	officer the same as anyone else who takes
9	the stand?
0.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can.
1	THE COURT: I see you have had jury
2	experience, both civil and criminal. I
3	don't want to know the verdict in the
4	criminal. Is that the one there was a
.5	verdict in?
.6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
7	THE COURT: What type of charge was
.8	that?
.9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Setting off fire
0	alarms.
21	THE COURT: Possession of firearms?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Setting off
23	fire alarms.
24	THE COURT: Anything in that experience
2.5	that would prevent you from being fair in

1	60
2	this case?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Anyone, other than your
5	son, involved in law enforcement?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Mr. McKenna, you say
8	production editor. For what type of an
9	organization?
.0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Publishing firm.
.1	We handle physics work.
.2	THE COURT: Tell me about law
3	enforcement.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have two cousins
.5	who are police officers; one in Albany and
6	one, I think, retired from Nassau County.
.7	THE COURT: Will you Judge the police
.8	officers the same as anyone else who takes
.9	the stand?
0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
1	THE COURT: Executive recruiter for
2	what type of firm?
:3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: For health care and
4	marketing areas.
5	THE COURT: I see that your wife is

jerri krevoff, csr, rpr

i	61
2	employed by a legal firm. Do they practice
3	in the field of criminal law?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: You, sir, have served on a
6	grand jury?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	THE COURT: State or federal?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't recall. I
.0	think it was state.
.1	THE COURT: Was it in this building?
.2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
.3	THE COURT: That's state. It would
4	have been probably the assistant district
.5	attorney from the Nassau County District
.6	Attorney's Office presenting cases to you.
17	Of course, I spoke to our other prospective
L 8	jurors. It's a very different proceeding.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
20	THE COURT: Can you assure me there is
21	nothing in that experience that would affect
2.2	your ability to be fair in this case?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can.
24	THE COURT: Tell me you had a car
25	stolen. Anyone apprehended.

1	
1	62
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not that I know of.
3	THE COURT: Would that, in any way,
4	affect you?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: You had a brother who was a
7	former police officer?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. That was
9	over twenty years ago, in New York City. He
Ō	served for about five years.
1	THE COURT: Would you be affected by
2	that relationship?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Can you judge a police
5	officer the same as any other human being?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I can.
7	THE COURT: You say you have a strong
8	anti-gun feeling. Well, so do I. That
9	doesn't affect my impartiality. We are not
0	here to determine whether we are for or
1	against guns. We are here to determine only
2	if the district attorney proves their case
3	beyond a reasonable doubt.
4	Can you assure me that your feelings
5	about handguns will not interfere with your

63 1 ability to be fair? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't give you a 3 quarantee. It really depends on the 4 situation. I don't believe that citizens 5 should have handguns. How the handgun was 6 used, or how it was obtained -- I really --7 THE COURT: I don't know if we are 8 going to hear evidence about how a handgun 9 was obtained. Just that a handgun was used 10 to kill someone. We're not here to 11 determine whether that's good or bad. The 12 legislature has determined it's bad to kill 13 someone with a gun. 14 You are going to be here to determine 15 if the district attorney can prove his case 16 beyond a reasonable doubt; just on the facts 17 of this case. You have to shut out your 18 feelings about guns, about everything else 19 that doesn't have to do with the case in 20 this courtroom. Can you do that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so. 22 THE COURT: I'm sure the attorneys will 23 have some further questions for you. You'll 24 have the opportunity to think about it. 25

64 1 Mrs. Collins, you are a registered nurse. I have to ensure if there is medical 3 testimony, you will not become the resident 4 expert on the jury in medicine. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 6 THE COURT: I see that your husband is 7 a Lieutenant in the Police Department, New York City. Can you assure the participants 9 to this proceeding, and me, as well, that 10 you will not be affected by that 11 relationship in this case? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. THE COURT: You're not going to worry 14 about, no matter what your verdict is, 15 whether it displeases or pleases anyone, 16 including your husband? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. 18 THE COURT: You will not decide in 19 advance to believe anyone, including a 20 police officer, a judge, a fireman. Doesn't 21 matter who the person is, what the 22 employment title is. You listen and use 23 your common sense. Can you do that? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 25

1	65
2	THE COURT: Any law enforcement people,
3	other than your husband?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: His brother is also
5	a New York City policeman.
6	THE COURT: You would answer the same
7	if I asked you those same questions
8	regarding his brother?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I have to say
0	one thing. He mentioned a car stolen. I
1	forgot about that. My car was stolen about
2	six years ago.
3	THE COURT: Would that affect your
4	ability to be fair?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: Mrs. Kudak, your husband is
7	in law school. What year?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Finishing his third
9	year.
0	THE COURT: Has he ever discussed with
1	you, criminal law, constitutional law or
2	procedures?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Can you assure me you would
25	put aside anything you learned in those

1	66
2	discussions and take the law as I give it to
3	you?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Tell me about victim or
6	witness to a crime?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Witness to a crime
8	in the subway. I saw someone's jewelry
9	being stolen. My brother-in-law was shot
LO	at.
11	THE COURT: Shot at; not hit?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not hit but shot
.3	at.
14	THE COURT: Anything in either of those
15	experiences that would prevent you from
16	being fair in this case.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18	THE COURT: When you were a witness to
19	the taking of a chain, was anyone
20	apprehended in that case.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know.
22	THE COURT: You had no opportunity to
23	testify in any proceeding.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Tell me about law

1	67
2	enforcement.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have two good
4	friends. One is a cop was a cop. He's
5	now a fireman. The other one is a
6	correction officer in Mineola.
7	THE COURT: Anything in any of your
8	discussions, your relationships with these
9	individuals, that would affect your ability
.0	to be fair?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Arsteri, you are a
3	police officer, yourself?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Where?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a sergeant in
7	New York City, Brooklyn.
8	THE COURT: Have you ever been involved
9	in any homicide investigations.
o	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
ì	THE COURT: Do you understand that if
2	you are chosen for this jury, you can't put
3	yourself forward as an expert in any sort of
4	investigative techniques?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

1	6.8
2	THE COURT: Can you judge a police
3	officer the same as any other human being
4	who takes the stand?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I could.
6	THE COURT: Tell me about victim and
7	witness to a crime.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A lot of
9	situations.
0	THE COURT: In your capacity as a
.1	police officer?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.
.3	THE COURT: Did you testify, yourself,
.4	in any proceedings?
.5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
.6	THE COURT: You testified before the
.7	grand jury?
.8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
.9	THE COURT: You know it's very
0	different from a trial. Did you testify at
1	a trial?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Can you assure me you're
4	not going to favor one side or another;
5	because you, yourself, may have had the

jerri krevoff, csr, rpr

1	69
2	opportunity to work with the assistant
3	district attorney, on the direct examination
4	of you, or perhaps a defense attorney
5	cross-examine you; none of those things will
6	affect your ability to be fair.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Mrs. Henshe, what do you
9	teach?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Third grade.
11	THE COURT: I don't remember if you
12	ever approached the Bench about the
13	responsibilities of and staying overnight.
14	What's the problem about being sequestered?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We're in the
16	process of selling our house. We are buying
17	another house. I have an eleven year old
18	child who I can't leave in a strange house
19	in a strange neighborhood alone after
20	school.
21	THE COURT: When are you due to move?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably, by
23	December 15th.
24	THE COURT: There's no one who could
25	assist you in that?

1	7.0
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have my
3	stepfather. I don't know if he would be
4	available.
5	THE COURT: We are hoping, of course,
6	to be finished in around that time. There's
7	never a guarantee. If you are chosen for
8	the jury, would you be able to manage for a
9	couple of days?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
Li	THE COURT: You're willing to serve?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Ninn, you are a
14	financial controller for what type of firm
15	or organization?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Consumer goods.
17	THE COURT: Tell me about victim of a
18	crime.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My parents were
20	mugged.
21	THE COURT: How long ago?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About five years.
23	THE COURT: Anyone apprehended?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Was there a handgun used?

1	71
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3	THE COURT: Anything in that experience
4	that would affect your ability to be fair?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: Tell me about law
7	enforcement?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My cousin is an FBI
9	agent.
10	THE COURT: Anything in that
11	relationship that would affect you?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13	THE COURT: Can you judge a police
14	officer the same as any other human being?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Mrs. Burrows, prior to your
17	being a homemaker, what did you teach.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Social studies,
19	seventh and eight grade.
20	THE COURT: Your husband, prior to his
21	retirement, what did he sell?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Plastic bags.
23	THE COURT: Tell me about victim of a
24	crime.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Two, My husband

jerri krevoff, csr, rpr

1 72 2 was mugged at gunpoint four years ago. And 3 a very close friend of ours was beaten to 4 death two years ago. 5 THE COURT: Let's start with your 6 husband being robbed by someone with a gun. 7 You heard there is an allegation of a gun in 8 this case. I want to make sure what 9 happened to your husband, and of course, in 10 the other case, won't carry over to this 11 case. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not sure. 13 THE COURT: Then I can't take a 14 chances. You're excused. 15 Fill the seat. 16 (Whereupon the vacant seat was filled) 17 THE COURT: Ms. Abraham, I remember 18 that you had come up to the Bench. You were 19 very reluctant to stay here with us. All 20 sorts of problems. I kind of coerced you a 21 little. 22 Now that you're in the box, I want to 23 make sure you will not be a reluctant juror. 24 That you'll be able to stay, if chosen. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

1	73
C4	
2	THE COURT: You can put aside all your
3	problems?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Do you know any of the
6	participants to the proceedings, or any of
7	the witnesses?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: Do you know anything about
LO	the case, other than what we have told you?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Can you be a fair and
13	impartial juror?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
LS	THE COURT: Your husband is a manager
16	of what type of a firm?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Supermarket.
18	THE COURT: You served on a grand jury?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2.0	THE COURT: Was it federal or state?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think it's the
22	state.
23	THE COURT: Here, in this building?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. In Queens.
25	THE COURT: Can you assure me that

1	74
2	those experiences will not carry over to
3	this case?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: You're willing to be
6	sequestered?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	THE COURT: I don't mean to ignore you,
9	but I have no questions for you,
.0	Mrs. Shannon.
1	Mr. Gallagher, I see one of your
.2	children is an attorney. Male or female?
.3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Male.
4	THE COURT: Does he or did he, ever
.5	practice in the field of criminal law?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
17	THE COURT: When he was in law school,
18	did he ever discuss with you criminal law,
L9	or procedure?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I mentioned to
21	him I mentioned to him one time about his
22	studies. He said, going fine. But I don't
23	want to discuss it.
24	THE COURT: Mrs. Silk, what do you
25	teach?

7	12043
1	75
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Fourth grade.
3	THE COURT: You indicate that a close
4	friend was in law enforcement. Would that
5	affect your ability to be fair?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
7	THE COURT: You'll judge a police
8	officer the same as anyone else?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
.0	THE COURT: I think you had come up and
1	discussed with me the problems about staying
.2	overnight; had you not?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think I
4	discussed the problems of staying overnight
5	with you, no. I don't believe so.
6	THE COURT: Do you have problems?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do. I don't have
.8	any child care overnight.
9	THE COURT: What about your husband?
0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: As you see, he's a
1	principal. A lot of the times, he has
2	meetings at night. Almost four out of five
3	nights a week, he's not home until late. He
4	just stays sometimes.
25	THE COURT: You can't you have no

76 1 one to stay with your young children? 2 3 I have nobody around. THE COURT: It could be that you're 4 sequestered. I have to excuse you. Thank 5 6 you. Fill the empty seat. We only have three remaining jurors in 8 the audience. We are going to call you into 9 those three seats we have just arranged. 10 Wait until yours names are called. 11 (Whereupon the remaining seats were 12 filled) 13 THE COURT: To the four of you just 14 seated, can you all the be fair and 15 impartial? No; and one maybe. 16 17 Please approach. (Whereupon the following side bar 18 conference took place outside the hearing of 19 the jury panel:) 20 THE COURT: Mr. Hurt, please approach. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My girlfriend was 22 mugged, or my fiancee. Mugged at 23 knifepoint. I don't think I can be 24 impartial. 25

1 77 2 THE COURT: You're excused. Just wait 3 on the side for a minute. 4 All right. Now, Ms. Versaci. Yes. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In college, my car 6 got stolen. I went to St. John's 7 University. It was a mixed crowd. 8 THE COURT: You mean, racially mixed? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. My wallet was 10 stolen from work. My father has his 11 business robbed; my house was robbed; 12 several things I am not very comfortable with. I don't think I could be impartial. 13 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 All right. Now, Mr. Bescar. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Your hand went up, but kind 18 of hesitantly. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was thinking 20 about whether I could be impartial in this 21 jury. I, myself, have been a victim of a 22 crime. My wife has been a victim of a 23 crime. In both cases, the people involved 24 were black young males. Just, I probably 25 have a point of view, that could get me

78 2 away --3 THE COURT: You're excused. 4 Thank you for your candor. 5 (Whereupon the following took place 6 back within the hearing of the open courtroom) 8 THE COURT: Mr. Alcade, do you know 9 anything about the case; any of the 10 prospective witnesses, or the participants? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 12 THE COURT: Now, we're all together 13 again. Do any of you have any friends or 14 relatives or, in fact, do you have any cases 15 pending before the District Attorney's 16 Office or the Police Department? 17 As jurors, your verdict must be 18 unanimous. When it comes time to 19 deliberate, it's your duty to express your 20 views; listen to the views of your fellow 21 jurors and be an active participant in the 22 deliberations. 23 Can everyone promise all of us that you 24 can do that? Anyone who can't? 25 Now, we'll move to some of the

1 79 2 questions on the law. Every person accused 3 of a crime is presumed innocent; that is, he 4 stands innocent in the eyes of the law. The 5 People must rebut this presumption, if they 6 can, by the presentation of evidence which 7 convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt of 8 the defendant's guilt. 9 In a criminal case, the burden of proof 10 is on the People, remains on the People 11 throughout the trial. The defendant is not 12 required to prove or disprove anything. 13 As I mentioned to the other jurors, if 14 defense counsel chose to sit there silently 15 throughout the trial, he could do that. 16 Can you all accept the presumption of 17 innocence, the burden of proof? 18 I will explain at the close of the 19 case, the meaning of reasonable doubt. But 20 you will be required to acquit if, at the 21 end of the case, because of the evidence or 22 the lack of evidence presented to you, you 23 have a reasonable doubt as to quilt. Can you all accept the standard of 24 25 proof in all criminal cases? Everyone?

1 80 2 Are there any who would hold the People 3 to a higher standard of guilt than that 4 required by law? 5 Sympathy, thoughts of punishment, those 6 thoughts do not belong in the jury room. 7 Can you all promise me that you will put 8 aside any sympathies, sympathy for the 9 defendant, or the victim's family, thoughts of punishment; can you put those things 10 11 aside? 12 The defendant is not obligated to take 13 the witness stand or call any witnesses, or explain his actions, in any way. You must 14 15 not draw any inference unfavorable to the 16 defendant from this fact. Are there any of you who will or might 17 18 allow the fact that the defendant may not 19 testify, to influence you in your 20 deliberations? If so, just raise your hand. 21 Are there any of you who have any 22 feelings about the police that would give 23 you -- excuse me -- that would cause you to 24 give a police officer any greater

credibility or any less credibility before

25

1	81
2	even listening?
3	Thank you. Mr. Walsh, you may proceed.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Judge, I also had
5	my car stolen.
6	THE COURT: How long ago?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About four years
8	ago.
9	THE COURT: Would that affect you in
10	this case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	MR. WALSH: I intend to be a lot
13	briefer than yesterday. Everybody heard the
14	comments that I made, that
15	Mr. Brettschneider made yesterday. I'm
16	going to go through as quickly as I possibly
17	can.
18	Yesterday, one of the first questions I
19	asked was whether or not the nature of the
20	charges in this case that you heard the
21	indictment, murder in the second degree,
22	intimidating a victim or a witness,
23	hindering prosecution, I asked you whether
24	those charges, or the nature of the case,
2.5	caused anyone to feel they would be unable

1 82 2 to sit on this jury, or to be fair and 3 impartial. Is there anyone who feels that 4 way? 5 Mr. McGuire, I'm going to come back to 6 you. I know one of the last things the Judge said to you was, you'll have a little 7 8 time to think. Maybe you can come up with 9 something definitive. Maybe you can't. 10 Your answer may very well be, I don't know 11 if I can be fair and impartial. If that's 12 your answer, that's the way you feel. 13 You've had a little time to think. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It hasn't help me 15 verbalize it better. It's an emotional 16 thing. I feel that --THE COURT: Just speak up a little 17 18 louder. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a cold. 20 just feel that civilians shouldn't have 21 handguns or anything that can kill another 22 human being, or anything for that matter. I don't have any experience with it. It's 23 24 just something that I support in 25 associations and groups. I'm as active as I

can be.

1 83

MR. WALSH: You may have heard me say, on Monday, I don't think there's anybody here who is in favor of people using handguns to hurt other people. I think you had commented, well, it depends on how the handguns were used or obtained. You know what the indictment charges, and what Judge Boklan said to you. The defendant is charged with using a handgun and shooting and killing someone.

Now, bear in mind that I have to prove this to you. As I said, I don't think there's anybody here, in this courtroom, who is in favor of shooting or killing people with a handgun. The question though, is, whether or not the defendant, in fact, shot and killed someone with a handgun and whether I could prove that to you beyond a reasonable doubt.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.

MR. WALSH: If he didn't do it, if I can't prove to you that the defendant shot and killed somebody with a handgun, do you

б

84 1 think that your feelings are such that you 2 might be likely to hold me to possibly a 3 lesser burden than I have, because of your 4 feelings about handguns? In other words, is 5 there a danger --6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: These are two 7 separate issues. My feeling is that if 8 you -- I don't want to get into your case. 9 If you can't tie him to the gun, then that's 10 going to be probably leaning toward a not 11 quilty verdict. 12 If you can tie him to the gun, and you 13 prove it to me, with evidence and so on, I'm 14 going to vote that way. 15 MR. WALSH: That's exactly what I'm 16 getting as at. The bottom line is this: I 17 would like to have twelve jurors who are of 18 the mindset that if I prove my case to you 19 beyond a reasonable doubt, you can walk back 20 in this courtroom, look at the defendant and 21 find him guilty of second degree murder. 22 If I don't prove my case beyond a 23 reasonable doubt, then you walk into the 24 courtroom, stand up and tell the defendant, 25

1 85 2 he's not guilty. 3 Whatever your verdict is, what I would 4 like to be sure of, if I could possibly be, 5 and I would like you to be sure of, that 6 your verdict will be based on the evidence 7 in the case, and only the evidence, without 8 regard to any outside feelings or influences 9 you may have. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can give you that 11 assurance. 12 MR. WALSH: Thank you. Sir, you're 13 currently a sergeant in the New York City 14 Police Department. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. 16 MR. WALSH: Where do you work? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Brooklyn. 18 MR. WALSH: You're going to -- you 19 heard the witness list read? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 21 MR. WALSH: Probably over half the 22 names were police officers. You are 23 certainly, if chosen as a jury, going to 24 listen to police officers testify. Do you 25 believe, as you sit here now, that a police

1 86 2 officer is any more likely to tell the truth 3 on the witness stand than anyone else? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 5 MR. WALSH: After this case is over --6 say you're chosen as a juror, and the case 7 is over, you're going to go back to work, in 8 the New York City Police Department. 9 you'll possibly discuss what happened with 10 some of the people you work with. In your 11 position, Sergeant in the Police Department, 12 you may have to go back, and if you find the 13 defendant not guilty, tell your fellow 14 officers that you came in here on a murder 15 trial and found the defendant not guilty. If that happens, will you be able to do 16 17 that? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 19 MR. WALSH: You feel that you could 20 give both sides a fair shake; People and the 21 defendant? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 23 MR. WALSH: I'm sure Mr. Brettschneider 24 will be interested in this. Does he have 25 anything to worry about, that he has a juror

1 87 who won't give his client a fair shake? 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. MR. WALSH: A number of you said you had friends or relatives who were in law 5 6 enforcement. I won't talk to everybody. Ms. Collins, your husband is a Lieutenant in the New York City Police Department. I'll ask you the same question 9 10 that Judge Boklan alluded to. This case is 11 over, you go home and speak to your husband. 12 Are you going to be reluctant to come to a 13 verdict in this case, that's going to cause you some difficulty in going back and 14 speaking to your husband? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. 17 I think he thinks of me as a fair person. MR. WALSH: I'll ask you the same 18 19 question I did Mr. McGuire. Whatever your 20 verdict is, do you feel confident that your 21 verdict will be based on the evidence, and 22 nothing else; but whether or not I prove the 23 case? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 25 Mr. McKenna, how about yourself? Two

1	88
2	cousins in law enforcement.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	MR. WALSH: Do you agree with
5	Ms. Collins and Mr. McGuire: Whatever your
6	verdict is, it will be based on the
7	evidence, and nothing but the evidence?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
9	MR. WALSH: Ms. Schneider, you said I
.0	think, you sat on a criminal jury. Was that
1	in Nassau County?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
.3	MR. WALSH: Police officers testified
4	in that case?
.5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes no. I don't
6	remember.
.7	MR. WALSH: It's good that you don't
8	remember. My next question was, were you
19	left with any particular feelings one way or
20	the other about police officers.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	MR. WALSH: Do you feel you could give
23	both sides a fair shake in this case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25	MR. WALSH: Mr. Manerva, I think you

a Ne	12039
1	89
2	said you sat on a grand jury?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	MR. WALSH: Was that a state grand
5	jury?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was in
7	Riverhead. I believe it was state.
8	MR. WALSH: You heard the district
9	attorney present evidence on various cases?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11	MR. WALSH: Did you hear police
12	officers testify?
1.3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. They were
14	regular police officers, undercover drug
L5	cases.
16	MR. WALSH: Did that experience leave
17	you with any feelings about police officers?
L8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
L9	MR. WALSH: Ms. Baldwin, you were in
20	the police academy. Could you give either
21	side a fair trial?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23	MR. WALSH: On Monday, I asked a few
24	jurors a series of questions about the
25	victim in this case. Ms. Shanley, Judge

90 1 Boklan didn't ask you any questions. I'll 2 pick on you. Remember I asked, the other 3 day, does it matter to you in this case, 4 whether the victim was black or white. How 5 do you feel about that? Does it matter to 6 you whether he's young or old, male or 7 female. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 9 MR. WALSH: It doesn't affect whether 10 or not I can prove my case to you beyond a 11 reasonable doubt. Who the victim is, who he 12 might have been during the course of his 13 life, doesn't change what the defendant 14 either did or didn't do. Agreed? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Agreed. 16 MR. WALSH: If I were to tell you, or 17 if you were to find out during the course of 18 the case, that the victim sold drugs during 19 the course of his life, does that change 20 your opinion? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 22 MR. WALSH: Same reason: It doesn't 23 affect whether or not the defendant 24 committed this crime or not. The reason I 25

1 91

ask these questions, is because I am concerned that there will be somebody on the jury that will tend to take this case less seriously because of who the victim was; or who anybody else was, in this case.

Let me ask a general question of
everyone: If anybody were to find out that
the victim in this case sold drugs during
the course of his life, or there was
something about the victim you didn't like,
does anybody here feel they would take the
case less seriously than they otherwise
would?

Again, I get back to the same thing I said before. It's so important. Whatever your verdict is in this case, whether it's guilty or not guilty, do I have everybody's assurances that your verdict will be based on what the evidence is, and not any outside influence; such as the ones we were talking about; whether it's sympathy or anger, or who the victim is or isn't. Or possibly, Mr. McGuire, like you said, feelings that you have about issues of guns and whether

92 2 people should own them. The question is whether or not the charges are proven beyond 3 a reasonable doubt. 5 Remember Judge Boklan said, you are a fact-finding body. You're here to determine 6 7 what happened. And you do that by listening 8 to, and seeing the evidence in the case. 9 Everybody's verdict will be based upon the 10 evidence and nothing else? 11 Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 Mr. Brettschneider. 14 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Good morning, 15 everybody. One of the things I guess that 16 we talked about on Monday, was whether you 17 had to hear two sides of a story in a 18 particular case. 19 Of course, the Judge has already 20 instructed you that the law is that the 21 defendant has no burden of proof and doesn't 22 have to present any witnesses. Does anybody feel opposite to what the law is. 23 24 Basically, the question I'm asking is, can 25 you follow the law; or is there something

1 93 2 inside of you that says I need to hear 3 another side of the story. 4 What about you, Mr. Alkay? Can you 5 just hear the prosecution's case; make a 6 decision, not hear from the defendant, not hear any witnesses on the defendant's 8 behalf, and still be able to find the 9 defendant not guilty? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 11 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Why do you feel 12 that way? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have to just go according to the proof that he shows me. 14 15 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Mr. Gallagher, the 16 same question. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Same answer. 18 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Certainly, you 19 know, in these situations, you're going to 20 see witnesses. Witnesses are going to have 21 different motivation as to whether they're 22 telling the truth, or not telling the truth. 23 Mr. Gallagher, in your experience, have you 24 known someone to lie to you, and not 25 understand the motive behind that person not

94 1 telling the truth? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably, I have. 3 Nothing that I can remember. I'm sure I 4 5 have. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Is it possible that somebody can swear to tell the truth, 7 get up on the witness stand and not tell the 8 truth? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 10 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Have there been 11 situations in your life, where you dealt 12 with someone, whether in business or your 13 own person life, in which you started 14 talking with the person. They tell you a 15 story, one day. Then you see them maybe a 16 17 few weeks later. They tell you something completely different than what they told 18 you. What do you feel about that person's 19 credibility, as to whether they told you the 20 truth the first time or the second time? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I probably would 22 have doubt --23 THE COURT: I'm sorry. We can't hear 24 25 you.

95 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I probably would 2 have doubts about their story, if I ever had 3 to speak to them about something again. 4 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Mr. Shandley, 5 basically, the same question: If a witness 6 gets on the witness stand and testifies to 7 one thing, or they told somebody something 8 on one day, and then they're brought back a 9 couple of days or a week later, and asked to 10 tell the story again, and they tell a 11 different story, what would you feel about 12 that witness's credibility? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could not believe 14 him, if he keeps changing the story. 15 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Do you think --16 you know, certainly, there's always going to 17 be variations on story. If you think if 18 somebody tells a story one time, and then 19 they tell it another time, and then a third 20 story, that they may have a motive behind 21 what they're saying, to lie? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 23 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Ms. Abraham, one 24 of the things I think the Judge asked you 25

1 96 2 about was, there were circumstances on 3 Monday, in which you said you weren't sure 4 you could be a juror, because of a personal 5 situation. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's not a personal situation. It was my job. 8 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: That's all cleared 9 up? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 10 11 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: If you had to stay 12 until the beginning of December, or mid-December, you could give your full 13 14 attention to this case? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Let me ask you a 16 17 question, with regard to your own position, your own work. In your work, you have to 18 19 deal with a lot of different people? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 20 21 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: As far as being able to do a competent job, do you have to 22 23 trust other people, as far as what they tell 24 you is the truth; and you have to rely on 25 them as to whether you can efficiently do

1 97 2 your job? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 4 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: If somebody lied 5 to you -- well, let me ask you this: 6 Somebody gets on the witness stand, swears 7 they're going to tell the truth, are you going to automatically believe they're 9 telling the truth? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I have to have evidence. 11 12 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Mr. McKenna, 13 Mr. Jackson sits here, he's presumed 14 innocent. The fact that he is sitting here 15 as a defendant, certainly, something that is 16 probably unusual to most of us. Is that, in 17 any way, the fact that he's just here and 18 accused of a crime, somehow going to say to 19 you, well, they say he's presumed innocent. 20 But he's here. How do you feel about that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just the fact that 22 he's sitting here, doesn't prove that 23 anything happened in his particular case. I 24 would have to see proof that he was 25 responsible for the crimes he's accused of.

1 98 2 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Ms. Schneider, same situation. The fact is, someone has 4 accused Mr. Jackson of extremely, extremely 5 serious crimes. He's sitting here, he's in Court, on trial. The old expression is, you 7 know, where there's smoke, there's fire. 8 The fact that he's here, how do you feel? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I find it 10 disturbing. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Disturbing to the 11 12 point where you may feel that you can't be 13 fair; or that the expression, or what is the law, that he's presumed innocent, somehow 14 15 that would be a problem? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I couldn't accept 16 17 the responsibility of finding someone guilty or not guilty. I just have a problem with 18 19 that. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Ma'am, I mentioned 20 21 that you've had discussions about the law. 22 Certainly, the law, as it's going to be 23 given to you, may be different than even 24 discussions that lawyers have about these things. 25

1 99 2 Mr. Jackson is sitting here. He's 3 accused of very serious crimes. How do you 4 feel about that? Do you -- the concept and 5 the law is, that he's presumed innocent. 6 Does that, in any way, the fact that he's 7 sitting here, he's accused of this crime, 8 have any influence on you? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I don't understand someone not speaking on their 10 11 behalf. 12 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Do you remember, I 13 guess, the concept or the hypothetical that 14 I gave on Monday. Witness number one gets 15 on the stand. Using your life's experience, 16 common sense, you say, witness number one 17 isn't telling the truth. 18 Then witness number two gets on the 19 stand, and you don't believe that witness. 20 Then witness number three gets on the stand. 21 He tells an entirely different story from 22 witness number one and two. The district 23 attorney sits down and says, I rest. Based on the fact that the law says 24 25 that the district attorney has to prove his

1 100 2 case to a jury, beyond a reasonable doubt, 3 the Judge instructs you that this is the 4 law, and asks you to go in and deliberate. 5 Based on the fact that what you heard, what 6 was presented to you, what the evidence was, 7 was such that you didn't believe any of 8 these witnesses. Could you then find 9 Mr. Jackson not guilty? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 11 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: If you did didn't 12 hear from him, based on the scenario I gave 13 you, could you find him not guilty? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 15 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Ms. Baldwin, same 16 question. Certainly, I mean, we have 17 always -- we grow up. If there's a fight 18 between kids or siblings, or -- it's always, 19 you know, what's your story; what is your 20 story. Can you abide by the law which says 21 that the defendant doesn't have to testify? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Definitely. 23 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: If you didn't hear 24 anything on his behalf, and had to just 25 depend on the witnesses for the prosecution,

1 101 2 could you make a determination in this case? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 4 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Could you find 5 Mr. Jackson not guilty, if the prosecution 6 didn't prove his case? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Definitely. 8 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: You mentioned that 9 you were in the Police Academy and your 10 boyfriend is an ex-police officer. I'm not 11 going to ask you the reason why you decided not to become a police officer. Do you 12 13 ever, you know, discuss between you and your 14 boyfriend, things that may have happened in 15 his career? You have a certain --16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He's retiring in a 17 week. 18 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: You really never 19 had -- that won't have any influence? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 21 MR. WALSH: Sir, before you were a 22 sergeant in Brooklyn, where else did you 23 work within the police department? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Bronx and Queens. 25 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Where were you in

1 102 2 Queens? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The 110th Precinct. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: How long were you 4 5 there? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Four years. 6 7 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: When were you 8 switched over to Brooklyn? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: June. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I mean, certainly, 10 11 you know, one of the things that Mr. Walsh 12 mentioned, certainly a concern is, there's 13 going to be police officers testifying. The 14 question really is, a police officer gets on the stand and testifies. If a police 15 16 officer gets on the stand, would you think 17 that somehow he would not have a motive to 18 lie? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 20 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Could you explain to me, you know, your thoughts on a police 21 22 officer as a witness. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well --24 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: You've testified 25 before?

103 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 2 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: You must have 3 discussed cases with other police officers. 4 5 Have you ever known a police officer to take the stand and not tell the truth? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You never hear 7 another person actually testify. 8 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Well, you've had 9 discussions with other people. I mean, is 10 there ever a situation where you say, you 11 12 know --PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I have 13 cops -- have cops lied in their testimony? 14 Yes. We all know that. You know, you're 15 outside a room when other people actually 16 17 testify. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Certainly, as a 18 Sergeant now, and even when you worked on 19 the force, has there ever been a situation, 20 where somebody came and said they made an 21 arrest; and you say, sound like it's BS? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 23 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Ms. Collins, I 24 think you made yourself extremely clear, as 25

104 1 far as your independence. Really, the question I have is, somebody gets on the 3 stand. There is a police officer or a 4 5 detective. The fact that they are a detective, would you give them any more 6 credibility, in the sense that as far as 7 motivation to lie? I mean, or would you say 8 to yourself, hey, I don't understand. 9 There's all kinds of secret motives that 10 people have. 11 How would you feel about evaluating the 12 13 testimony of a police officer or a detective; somebody from the police force? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think I 15 would give it any extra credence than 16 17 anybody else. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: The fact is, if 18 somebody says, I have been on the police 19 force twenty-five or thirty years? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would hope that 21 everybody would be honest. I would hope 22 23 that everybody would tell the truth. I don't think I would give law enforcement any 24

25

extra credence. I think sometimes, the

105 1 2 truth is -- it could be someone's own 3 perception. You can get varied stories. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Thank you. 4 5 Mr. Flynn, certainly, --THE COURT: You have one minute, 6 7 counsel. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Certainly, you 8 probably walked into the courtroom and you 9 10 heard this was a murder case. How do you feel about it? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's a lot of 12 responsibility. When I first heard it, I 13 was taken back. It's a lot of time and a 14 lot of things to take into account. I just 15 feel that people have to do it. We need to 16 be here. 17 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Before you sit down, I 19 would like to discuss something with you at 20 the Bench. 21 (Whereupon the following side bar 22 conference took place outside the hearing of 23 24 the open courtroom:) THE COURT: I didn't know if you wanted 25

1 106 2 to discuss this before you made your 3 selection. I have another note from a court 4 officer. Sworn juror in green, Mrs. Capri, 5 would like to speak to the Judge. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I think we should 6 7 talk to her. 8 THE COURT: Maybe we should let the 9 ones sitting in the box go outside and relax. We'll put the sworn jurors in the 10 11 jury room and find out what the problem is 12 with Ms. Capri. 13 (Whereupon the following took place 14 back within the hearing of the open 15 courtroom:) 16 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I 17 have another note that I have to handle. 18 Since we're going to be spending a little 19 time making the selection, I'm going to ask 20 you to just step outside for a few moments. 21 Don't leave. We'll call you in shortly. 22 Also, the forewoman can go into the 23 back, also. 24 (Whereupon the sworn juror and 25 prospective jurors left the courtroom)

THE COURT: Mrs. Capri, I received an indication from my court officer that you wished to see me. You are a sworn juror. I don't see you privately. What is the problem?

THE FOREWOMAN: I want to serve on the jury, your Honor. You had mentioned, however, on Monday, that this trial should come to a conclusion sometime in the middle of December. In the event that it doesn't and it goes into January, I have a problem. I have reservations and hotel accommodations from January 23rd to March 4th. This is in Florida, and Saint Thomas.

The tickets are in hand already.

THE COURT: I am confident that you will be able to take your trip with no problem; barring something completely unforeseen. For example, God forbid, I'm in some terrible accident and the trial shuts down. I'm sure even then, we would have to make other arrangements. Don't concern yourself. We were being as realistic as we could.

1 108 2 (Whereupon the jury forewoman left the 3 courtroom) 4 THE COURT: Counsel, you can make your 5 decision. Then we'll bring the jury back 6 in. 7 All right. Approach the Bench. - 8 We have two sworn jurors. We're doing 9 the first ten. 10 Challenges for cause, People? 11 MR. WALSH: I would imagine 12 Mrs. Snider, number three --13 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I consent. 14 THE COURT: She couldn't accept the 15 responsibility of finding somebody guilt or not guilty. That's granted. Anything else? 16 17 MR. WALSH: No. 18 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Number five, 19 Mr. McGuire. The reason is, I know that 20 there was a point where he basically 21 rehabilitated himself. But he mentioned 22 something that really concerned me. It was 23 almost as though he's adding an additional 24 burden, as to where the gun came from. There is going to be testimony in this 25

1 109 2 case, that my client bought the gun from a 3 crackhead for two hundred dollars. And I 4 think based on what this -- what Mr. McGuire 5 testified to, as to how the gun was 6 purchased, it was purchased on the street. 7 Some sort of convoluted theories that he 8 has, I just don't know whether he can be a 9 fair juror in this case; based on the 10 circumstances which he's laid out. 11 MR. WALSH: I'll make it easy and 12 consent. 13 THE COURT: Fine. 14 Any other challenges for cause? 15 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No. 16 THE COURT: Peremptory, People? 17 MR. WALSH: Number nine. That's 18 Barbara Henshy. And that's all. 19 THE COURT: Defendant, first ten, 20 peremptories. 21 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Number two, number 22 six, number seven, number eight and number 23 ten. 24 THE COURT: That only gives us two more 25 jurors, Ms. Baldwin and Mr. McKenna.

1	110
2	MR. WALSH: Yes.
3	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes,
4	THE COURT: The remaining ones in the
5	box, challenges for cause?
6	MR. WALSH: No.
7	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No.
8	THE COURT: Peremptory, People?
9	MR. WALSH: Ms. Abraham.
0	THE COURT: All right. That's all.
1	Defendant?
2	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Number thirteen.
3	THE COURT: That gives us two more. We
4	have six sworn.
5	(Whereupon the following took place
6	back in open court:)
.7	THE COURT: Let's bring all the
8	prospective jurors, and our sworn jurors,
.9	back in.
0	THE CLERK: Will the following please
1	remain seated: Geraldine Baldwin, Brian
22	McKenna, Nancy Shanley and Anthony Alcaid.
23	Everyone else is excused with the thanks of
24	the Court.
25	(Whereupon the remaining prospective

111 1 2 jurors that were in the jury box left the 3 courtroom) 4 THE CLERK: Are the remaining jurors 5 satisfactory to the People? 6 MR. WALSH: Yes. THE CLERK: And to the defendant? 7 8 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: 9 (Whereupon jurors numbers two through six were sworn by the Clerk of the Court) 10 THE COURT: You may be seated. Ladies 11 and gentlemen, I'm going to excuse you all 12 13 for lunch, now. Then I'm going to bring in 14 the one hundred new jurors, and tell them a little bit about the case. 15 Do not discuss the case among 16 17 yourselves or with others. Do not read or listen to any accounts or discussions of the 18 19 case, reported by newspapers or other news media. 20 Do not visit or views the premises or 21 any place where the offenses charged were 22 allegedly committed, or any other premises 23 or place involved in the case. 24 25 Promptly report to the Court any

1 112 incident by any person to influence any 3 member of the jury or to discuss the case. 4 Do not form any opinions. Keep an open mind until the case is completed. We'll see you back here at two o'clock. 6 7 (Whereupon the sworn jurors were 8 removed from the courtroom) 9 THE COURT: Let's bring in the new 10 panel. 11 (Whereupon a new panel of prospective jurors were brought into the courtroom) 12 13 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and 14 gentlemen. Welcome to County Court. My 15 name is Judge Abbey Boklan. I will be the 16 presiding Judge at this trial. 17 My apologies to those of you who came 18 in the first buses. Because we had to wait 19 until everyone got here to begin. 20 We are in the middle of a jury 21 selection. We have six sworn jurors. We 22 are going to be picking six more jurors, and 23 three alternates. 24 Normally, I would try, because you had 25 to wait outside for us, to work a little

1 113

into the luncheon recess. However, in this case, I have a special meeting upstairs. We call it our judges lunch and learn. Today, we're learning a little more about cloning of cellular phones. I'm supposed to be up there five minutes ago.

Let me just tell you what this case involved. The allegation is, the charges in the indictment, are murder in the second degree, intimidating a victim or witness in the first degree, and hindering prosecution. I don't know if all of you have had the opportunity to fill out your questionnaires. If you haven't, I ask you to please do that over the luncheon recess. We will be taking those from you when you are called into the box.

I will explain to you in detail, when we come back after lunch, a little more about what the trial involves, our scheduling. I will introduce the participants to you.

At this time, I'm going to give you some very brief admonitions, since you have

1 114 2 already been called into the courtroom; and 3 even though just the nature of the charges. 4 Do not discuss the case amongst 5 yourselves, or with others. Do not read or 6 listen to any account or discussions of the 7 case reported by newspapers or other news 8 media. 9 Do not visit or view the premises or 10 any place where the offenses charged were 11 allegedly committed, or other premises or 12 place involved in the case. Promptly report 13 to the Court any incident involving any 14 attempt by any person to influence any 15 member of the jury, or to discuss the case. 16 Do not form any opinions. Keep an open mind 17 until the case is completed. 18 We are going to have our luncheon 19 recess. Do not go back after lunch to 20 central jury. Meet outside this courtroom. 21 All of you, please meet outside of this 22 courtroom at to two o'clock. 23 (Whereupon there was a luncheon recess, after which Richard Glen recorded the 24 25 afternoon session)

```
1
2
     COURT COURT : NASSAU COUNTY
          PART I
3
 4
     THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
 5
             -against-
 6
     JOSEPH JACKSON,
7
                            Defendant.
8
9
                   November 6, 1996
                    262 Old Country Road
10
                   Mineola, New York
11
     Before:
12
                   HON: ABBEY L. BOKLAN,
                        County Court Judge
13
     APPEARANCES:
14
                    (As before noted.)
15
16
                   A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N.
17
                   THE COURT: All right. Let's get everybody
18
              in.
19
                    (Prospective panel in the courtroom.)
20
                   THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and
21
               gentlemen. I am happy to see you all back here.
22
                  One of the more interesting things I learned
23
              at lunch is that if you have a phone, even if you
24
              are not using it unless the power is off, it's
25
              still sending a signal out.
```

But I'm going to now explain briefly, ladies and gentlemen, what this trial involves and what roles the Judge and jury play. We will also determine who will actually sit as a juror in this case. So, just relax, get comfortable and I'll try to familiarize you with what is about to happen.

My apologies to my six sworn jurors that have to go through this again. I know you all have heard it before.

The trial which is about to be commenced is a trial action entitled, "The People of the State of New York against Joseph Jackson," who is referred to as the defendant.

The case involves the following charges:

Murder in the 2nd degree, intimidating a victim

or witness in the 1st degree and hindering

prosecution in the 2nd degree.

The alleged day of occurrence is March 20, 1994, the alleged victim is Steven Jason. And the alleged victim of the hindering of prosecution -- strike that, hindering prosecution charge alleges criminal assistance was given to Tony Jackson.

Peo. vs Jackson

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, as jurors, you are going to be called upon to determine whether or not the evidence which you shall hear and see in this case establishes the defendant's guilt of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

In order to do this you will have to evaluate all of the evidence at the end of the trial to determine whether what you have heard from the witnesses and seen as exhibits is true and what it all means.

This is called finding the facts. That will be your function alone. I will find no facts in in trial.

Your ultimate decision is called a verdict. Your verdict will either be guilty or not guilty.

An attorney presents the evidence usually by calling witnesses and only you can decide what really happened, and the verdict as to each of the counts will remain your decision alone. As Judge I will make no determination of whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. My role at trial is to ensure that you reach your verdict in accordance with the law.

25

Peo. vs Jackson

And I will explain to you what the law is as to all of the issues at this trial.

At times I may have to rule on questions concerning the conduct of the trial. Those rulings have nothing to do with whether this defendant is guilty or not guilty.

I may also rule on questions concerning what evidence you may consider and for what purpose.

When I make a ruling concerning whether you may hear some testimony or see some exhibits which is offered as evidence, I will be ruling on whether or not you are permitted to hear it or see it as a matter of law.

Likewise, if I instruct you to disregard something that you might have heard, I will do so because that is the law. None of my rulings should be taken by you as any indication of whether you should believe all or part of what is offered as evidence or that the defendant is guilty or not guilty.

That is solely your job to determine. But you must accept the law as I give it to you if the defendant and the People are to have a fair trial to which they are entitled to.

1 Peo, vs Jackson 2 The People are represented by the District 3 Attorney of this county, Mr. Denis Dillon. Mr. 4 Michael Walsh, who is now standing, is an 5 assistant district attorney --6 MR. WALSH: Good afternoon. 7 THE COURT: -- will be presenting the 8 People's case. 9 The defendant is represented by his 10 attorney, Mr. Scott Brettschneider. And that is 11 Mr. Brettschneider who is now standing. 12 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Afternoon. 13 THE COURT: And sitting next to Mr. 14 Brettschneider is Mr. Joseph Jackson, the 15 defendant. You may stand up as well, Mr. 16 Jackson. 17 The fact that this action is brought in the 18 name of the People or that the evidence is 19 presented by a public official does not in any 20 way indicate that the public wants a specific 21 verdict. The People of this state are served by 22 whatever verdict is justified by the evidence. 23 You may here reference to the fact that the 24 defendant was indicted by a grand jury. This to 25 is not and must not be taken as any evidence of

1 Peo. vs Jackson

guilt.

As a trial jury you must consider an indictment as simply a piece of paper by which a defendant is accused of a crime. Only you, as members of the trial jury, can determine guilt and the defendant is presumed innocent unless and until you do find him guilty.

Serving on a jury is a vital function for citizens under our system of laws. It is also a very great responsibility, that is to accord to the defendant and the People a fair trial.

In order to do so you must be free from any preconceived notions or any sympathies or prejudices that might prevent you from returning a fair and just verdict based solely on the evidence or the lack of evidence.

To help to ensure this, our first order of business is to conduct an examination of the prospective jurors. I will ask some questions of you and after I'm finished the attorneys for both parties will ask questions as well.

The purpose of these questions is not to embarrass you or to discover any personal details about your lives. It is simply to determine

1 Peo. vs Jackson 2 whether or not you are qualified to sit as jurors 3 in this case. 4 A number of you will not be selected. 5 of you may be excused because you are not qualified to sit as a matter of law. That is 6 7 called excused for cause. Others may be excused 8 peremptorily which means by one of the attorneys 9 without any cause being given. 10 Being excused is not a reflection on you 11 either as a citizen or as a person. It is simply 12 a determination under the rules by one or more of 13 the parties or by me that you are not to sit on 14 this particular case, 15 Now, let's talk a little about scheduling. 16 I'm sure that's something that interests all of 17 you. As I explained to our sworn jurors, we here 18 in Nassau County were one of the originators of a 19 system now used throughout the state. 20 Now, it has a fancy name. It's called the 21 IAS system, the Individual Assignment System. 22 What it means is that a judge gets the case from 23 the very beginning. 24 So, at the same time that I'm handling this 25 trial I'm trying to juggle approximately 150

1 Peo. vs Jackson 2 other cases with their motions, their pleas, 3 their sentences, their applications. So, what I do to make it as convenient for 4 5 all of you as possible is I try to do that first thing in the morning. I get it out of the way so 6 7 I don't have to interrupt the trial. 8 Except for when you are deliberating, you'll 9 normally have your early morning to youself. I usually have you come here at 11. We take a 10 11 luncheon recess between the hours of 12:30 and 12 2. And except when you are deliberating, I will 13 try to have you out of the courtroom every day 14 between approximately 4:30 and a 15 quarter-to-five. 16 Because this is a criminal trial, however, 17 when you are actually deliberating you will be 18 sequestered at all times. This means that should 19 you continue to deliberate for more than one day, 20 then our law requires that hotel accommodations 21 be made available and that the jury be 22 sequestered each night before resuming their 23 deliberations on each following day. 24 Now, a little bit about the vacation 25 schedule here. You know we have some holidays

Peo. vs Jackson

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

coming up. We are closed for Veterans Day, the 11th. We are closed for Thanksqiving day, the 28th. And we will not be working on this trial any Friday in the month of November.

So, even with being down those days we are confident, and the attorneys have assured me, that we will be finished with this case no later than mid-December.

Now, before we start the examination I want to make sure that none of you has any pressing family or business obligations or any physical problems that would prevent you from serving on a jury.

Please, do not seek to avoid jury service merely because it is inconvenient. I regret the inconvenience but our whole system of trial by jury, one of the most basic elements of our whole system of justice, depends upon citizens who are willing to sacrifice their time when called upon to judge another person.

But if any of you cannot serve at this time, please raise your hands now so we see.

Those who just raised your hands stand up, please so I can do a count.

1 Peo. vs Jackson 2 Counsel, may I see you at the bench. 3 (Conference.) THE COURT: All right. No one else please 4 5 stand because we've got our count now and, of 6 course, we'll try and go with those that are willing to serve. If I see more people standing 7 8 up, I will not do it. We've had more people 9 standing up. Some others saw you and they got up 10 too. That's the problem. 11 All of you who didn't stand up originally, 12 please be seated. I think there was some back 13 there also, those who didn't stand originally. 14 All right. Those who are standing, please 15 meet outside the courtroom now because we have to 16 get your names and your pills. You are going 17 back to jury selection. You are going back to 18 Central Jury. You are not excused from jury 19 duty. 20 If any of you want to sit and change your 21 mind when you hear that, you can sit. But don't 22 nobody else stand up. 23 (Indicated jurors excused.) 24 THE COURT: All right. Let's count how many 25 we have left. About 50, counsel. All right.

1 Peo. vs Jackson 2 Get the names, and I don't know how you are going 3 to do that. Maybe take the questionnaires and 4 then you can let them go back. 5 My thanks, ladies and gentlemen. I know that when we ask for this amount of time out of 6 7 your lives we're asking a lot. But if we didn't 8 get jurors such as yourselves, and my sworn 9 jurors who are willing to serve, we might as well 10 shut down the criminal justice system. 11 Now, at this time the law requires that the 12 names of 12 of you be drawn and those persons 13 take seats in the jury box. I ask those of you 14 who are not called into the jury box initially to 15 listen carefully because you will find that 16 you'll be asked many of the same questions. 17 And both I and the attorneys move much more rapidly through the first -- after the first 18 19 round. 20 Before we do that, I'm going to ask the 21 clerk to swear all prospective jurors to answer 22 truthfully. 23 THE CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen, please 24 rise and raise your right hand. 25 (Jury sworn.)

1 Peo. vs Jackson THE COURT: All right. Now, we're going to 2 3 play musical chairs. We're going to move all of 4 you in the box back, to the back. 5 (Box filled.) THE COURT: Now, ladies and gentlemen, my 6 7 first series of questions will concern your 8 backgrounds in order to determine whether there 9 may be anything in them that may give rise to a 10 feeling or an attitude which might prevent you 11 from deciding this case solely on the evidence 12 alone. 13 I will start by asking them of all of you. 14 Your answers to these questions will not 15 necessarily qualify you or disqualify you. 16 If any of you wishes to respond 17 affirmatively or is not sure, please raise your 18 hand as soon as I've completed the question. 19 you do not understand the question, please say 20 50. 21 The defendant, the defendant's attorney, 22 have all been identified to you as well as the 23 prosecuting attorney. 24 Do any of you know any of the prospective or 25 any of the participants to this proceeding?

Peo. vs Jackson

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anyone?

(No response.)

THE COURT: Now, among the witnesses who may be called in this case are the following, and I caution you that my mentioning the name imposes no burden on either side to call that person as a witness, nor does it mean the list may not be expanded:

Detective Gary Abbondandelo, Homicide Squad, Nassau County Police Department; Detective Robert Dempsey, same squad; Detective Jerl Mullen, same squad; Detective Peter Donato, same squad; Police Officer Richard Paul Paulik, Freeport Police Department; Police Officer Michael Pomorico, Freeport; Detective Joseph Marino, Crime Scene Search Unit, Nassau County; Detective Nicholas Mattia, Scientific Investigation Bureau of the Nassau County Police Department; Mr. Michael Herts, retired detective from the 1st Squad, Nassau County Police Department; Detective Brian Parpan, Homicide Squad, Nassau County Police Department; Detective Frank Allaire, 1st Squad, Nassau County Police Department; Detective William Tweedie, 1st Squad, Nassau County, Police

Pec	. vs Jackson
2	
8. H	Department; Detective Edward Haggerty, Freeport
3	Police Department, Mr. William Wallace, an
1	Assistant District Attorney from the Nassau
5	County District Attorney's Office; Michael
5	DiMartino, MD, Deputy Medical Examiner, Nassau
7	County Medical Examiner's Office; Mr. Christopher
3	M. Jordan; Official Court Reporter, Miss Isabelle
9	Vailes; Miss Skwanitra Witherspoon; Mr. Peddy
0	Jenkins; Mr. Tyrone Isaac; and Mr. Roy Isaac.
1	Do any of you know any of the prospective
2	witnesses in this case?
3	(No response.)
1	THE COURT: Now, I have just told you the
5	nature of the charges, the nature of the
6	charges. I think I mentioned the alleged date of
7	occurrence, the name of the alleged victim,
8	Stephen Jason.
9	Do any of you know anything about this case
0	other than what I have told you here in this
1	courtroom? Anyone?
2	(No response.)
3	(Voir dire examination by the Court.)
4	THE COURT: Mr. Walsh.
5	(Voir dire examination by Mr. Walsh.)

	Peo. vs Jackson
	THE COURT: Counsel.
	(Voir dire examination by Mr. Brettschneider.)
	THE COURT: When you are ready, please
	approach.
	(Following occurred at sidebar:)
o l	THE COURT: We have 6 jurors, so we'll do
ŧ,	the first 6 first.
Ķ.	First 6, challenges for cause, People?
	MR. WALSH: I would say number four, your
	Honor, given his
8	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I consent.
	THE COURT: I beg your pardon?
	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I consent.
	THE COURT: All right.
	Any others?
,	MR. WALSH: No.
1	THE COURT: Cause, first 6?
)	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Number 5.
)	MR. WALSH: We consent to number 5, your
	Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right.
3	Peremptories in that first 6?
1	MR. WALSH: 2.
5	THE COURT: Defendant, peremptories?

1	Peo. vs Jackson
2	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Number 1 and number 6.
3	THE COURT: All right.
4	That gives us one juror, okay.
5	MR. WALSH: Yes.
6	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Now we have 7. Next 5 jurors,
8	cause, People?
9	MR. WALSH: Number 11.
10	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I consent.
1	THE COURT: All right. Anyone else?
2	MR. WALSH: There were two, I would say that
.3	there were two in particular, Miss Huso (Ph.) and
4	Miss Pullick (Ph.), who both indicated that they
.5	had problems being uncomfortable sitting for a
6	length of time, Miss Huso, because of financial
7	difficulties. Miss Pullick said she was
.8	uncomfortable sitting for a long time.
19	I challenge both for cause; 9 and 10.
20	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I'll leave it up to
21	you.
22	THE COURT: I beg your pardon?
23	MR. BRETTSCHNEIER: I consent.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Cause, defendant?
25	MR. BETTSCHNEIDER: No.

```
Peo. vs Jackson
1
                   THE COURT: Cause, People -- excuse me,
2
3
              peremptory?
                   MR. WALSH:
                               Both.
4
                   THE COURT: All right. That's everybody in
5
6
              that group.
                   Now, the last group, last 3 cause, People?
 7
                   MR. WALSH: I would say Miss Schissel (Ph.),
8
9
              number 12.
                   MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Consent.
10
                   THE COURT: Cause?
11
                   MR. WALSH: No.
12
13
                   THE COURT: Defendant, cause?
14
                   MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No.
15
                   THE COURT: Peremptories, People?
16
                   MR. WALSH: No.
                   THE COURT: Peremptories, defendant?
17
                   MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Both.
18
19
                   THE COURT: We'll take a short recess at
              this time.
20
21
                    (Open court.)
22
                    THE CLERK: Carlos Rasha (Ph.), please
23
               remain seated. Everyone else is excused with the
24
               thanks of the Court.
                    THE CLERK: Will the remaining juror please
25
```

1	Peo. vs Jackson
2	rise and raise your right hand.
3	THE CLERK: Remaining juror satisfactory to
4	the People?
5	MR. WALSH: Yes.
6	THE CLERK: Satisfactory to the defendant?
7	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes.
8	(Juror sworn.)
9	THE CLERK: Please have a seat on the other
10	side there.
11	A JUROR: Could I have some water, please?
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're
14	going to take a short recess. Short with this
15	many people, we'll try to keep it under 10
16	minutes.
17	We'll resume again as soon as we get
18	everybody back together again. We'll see you in
19	approximately 10 minutes.
20	(Recess.)
21	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: May I approach?
22	THE COURT: Yes.
23	(Conference.)
24	(Prospective panel in the courtroom.)
25	THE COURT: All right. Let's fill the box.

1 Peo. vs Jackson 2 (Box filled.) 3 THE COURT: I'll start with the most 4 important question first: Is there anyone who 5 feels that they cannot fairly and impartially sit 6 in this case? Anyone? 7 (Following occurred at sidebar:) 8 THE COURT: Mr. Green? 9 A JUROR: Yes. Well, I had a friend, he was 10 murdered this year and they didn't find any 11 witness or any -- no one was arrested for the 12 charges. 13 So, I feel kind of bad for the family and 14 stuff like that. I mean, still in my mind as of 15 today, because only happened like 2 months ago, I 16 think --17 THE COURT: You feel that would affect you? 18 A JUROR: Yeah, and this case -- yes. 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You are 20 excused. 21 (Open court.) 22 (Box Filled.) 23 THE COURT: Ma'am, can you be fair and 24 impartial? 25 A JUROR: Yes.

1 Peo. vs Jackson 2 THE COURT: Do any of you know any of the participants or any of the prospective witnesses 3 4 or know anything about the case other than what 5 we have told you? Anyone? Yes? 6 7 A JUROR: I believe I read something. 8 (Following occurred at sidebar:) 9 A JUROR: I recall it being reported in 10 Newsday when it first happened, and --11 THE COURT: Start by telling us everything 12 that you remember. 13 A JUROR: Okay, I don't remember the 14 specific -- I remember there was a murder, and I 15 remember that it was not flattering to the 16 plaintiff. I guess that's all I remember though. 17 THE COURT: All right. Are you talking 18 about the defendant? You said plaintiff. 19 A JUROR: Yes, sorry. 20 THE COURT: How is this going to affect you 21 in this case? 22 A JUROR: I don't think it will. 23 THE COURT: All right. If I tell you now 24 sometimes I go home and I read a story about even 25 a proceeding in the courtroom and I don't

- 11	
P	eo. vs Jackson
8	recognize that I was in the same courtroom.
E	A JUROR: Yes.
	THE COURT: He's laughing, but it's true.
7	Obviously, anything that you read in the Newsday
	you can't take as being the facts of this case.
	A JUROR; Can't always trust the media.
	THE COURT: Besides that, they don't know,
6	they haven't heard the evidence.
0	A JUROR: Yeah.
	THE COURT: Can you assure us that you will
8	put aside anything that you vaguely remember.
1	A JUROR: Yes.
	THE COURT: All right. Including the fact
i	that you, something might not have been
io	flattering?
9	A JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Any questions?
	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Do you remember
)	anything about the case?
0	A JUROR: I can't remember. I can't
1	remember.
3	THE COURT: Sorry?
	A JUROR: I believe it was on page 3 on one
0	of the early pages, which is usually

1 Peo. vs Jackson MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: If, if there's 2 3 something that comes out during the trial do you 4 think it might jar your memory? 5 A JUROR: I don't think so, but I'm not 6 sure. 7 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: The fact that you 8 remember this particular, this particular event 9 and that it was unflattering, is there something 10 -- this in your mind, will you say this, this 11 guy has done something because it was in the 12 newspaper? 13 A JUROR: I don't think so. 14 MR. BETTSCHNEIDER: Okay. 15 THE COURT: Anything? 16 MR. WALSH: No. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 (Open court.) 19 THE COURT: Does anybody else know anything 20 about the case? 21 (Voir dire examination by the Court.) 22 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and 23 gentlemen, that concludes our proceedings for 24 today. We'll be beginning tomorrow morning with 25 the question from the attorneys starting with the

Peo. vs Jackson 1 assistant district attorney. 2 I'm going to give you some admonitions in a 3 4 moment. Tomorrow we will meet at 11, 11 in the 5 morning. My court officers will tell you all 6 where to meet and, of course, they will direct my 7 8 sworn jurors as well. 9 Do not discuss the case among yourselves or with others. 10 Do not read or listen to any accounts or 11 12 discussions of the case reported by newspapers or 13 any other news media. 14 Do not visit or view the premises or any 15 place where the offenses charged were allegedly 16 committed or any other premises or place involved 17 in this case. 18 Promptly report to the Court any incident 19 involving any attempt by any person to influence 20 any member of the jury or to discuss the case. 21 Do not form any opinions. 22 Keep an open mind until the case is 23 completed. 24 Have a very good evening. We'll see you all 25 at 11.

Peo. vs Jackson Those of you in the back, ladies and gentlemen, when you meet us tomorrow bring with you your questionnaires so we'll have them when you are called into the box. 

```
1
                                                        139
2
    STATE OF NEW YORK : NASSAU COUNTY
 3
        COUNTY COURT PART I
                                         -x
 5
    THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, :
 6
                  -against-
                                          :Ind. # 91607
 7
    JOSEPH JACKSON,
 8
                         Defendant.
 9
                               November 7, 1996
10
                               262 Old Country Road
11
                               Mineola, New York
12
    BEFORE:
13
         HON. ABBEY L. BOKLAN,
14
                        County Court Judge,
                                         and a jury
15
16
    APPEARANCES:
17
18
                    (As Previously Noted)
19
20
21
                    THE CLERK: People vs. Joseph Jackson.
22
                    Are the People ready?
23
                    MR. WALSH: Yes.
24
                    THE CLERK: Is the defendant ready?
                    MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes.
25
```

jerri krevoff, csr, rpr

140 1 2 THE COURT: Counsel, please approach. (Whereupon the following side bar 3 conference took place out of the hearing of 4 5 the open courtroom:) THE COURT: All right. Counselor, this 6 is juror number thirteen, Ms. Rothbaum. She just asked to approach. 8 JUROR #13: You asked if any member of 9 your family has been a victim of a crime. 10 I'm sorry, I forgot. My mother had her 11 purse snatched some years ago. 12 THE COURT: Anyone apprehended? 13 14 JUROR #13: No. THE COURT: Would that affect your 15 ability to be fair? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. The other 17 thing is, a couple of years before, my 18 sister had her car broken into and her bag 19 stolen. 20 THE COURT: Would that affect you? 21 JUROR #13: No. 22 (Whereupon the following took place 23 back within the hearing of the open 24 courtroom:) 25

1 141 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. 2 3 We're ready to continue. 4 Mr. Walsh? 5 MR. WALSH: Yes. You've heard probably 6 everything I'm going to ask already. I don't know if you were here yesterday. It 8 might have been during the first panel that 9 was here. One of the prospective jurors, 10 when asked about how they felt about sitting on a jury, especially in a case like this, I 11 12 think the comment made by the juror was that 13 she felt she couldn't accept the responsibility of finding someone either 14 guilty or not guilty. 15 16 I don't know if you remember yesterday, 17 when I got up, I asked everybody whether or 18 not they felt that because of the nature of the charges here, what's involved, they 19 20 would have any difficulty being a fair and 21 impartial juror. 22 Now, does anyone feel that way; that because of what's involved here, they would 23 have a difficult time sitting on this jury. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would like to 25

1 142 2 approach. 3 THE COURT: One at a time. Juror number six was first. Ms. Williamson. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's okay. I can 6 say it here. Last night, it was really bothering me. I didn't think I would have a 8 problem with it. All night long, it's been 9 on my mind. I don't think, unless I witness something, I could really make a decision 10 like that; or morally, want to make a 11 12 decision like that. It's very upsetting to 13 me. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Ridgey? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I would like 16 to come up there. 17 (Whereupon the following side bar conference took place outside the hearing of 18 19 the open courtroom:) 20 THE COURT: Yes? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In this particular incident, like I said on the paper, I had a 22 23 lot of things happening with me, as far as 24 criminal things. Everything that happened, 25 as far as the car, my wife, always involved

1	143
2	a black man. I have become very, very
3	prejudice.
4	THE COURT: That's enough. Thank you
5	for telling us. There's no sense in your
6	even sitting here through the rest of this.
7	You're excused. Mr. Ridgey is excused. Do
8	you want me to excuse Ms. Williamson?
9	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes.
10	MR. WALSH: I think so.
11	(Whereupon the following took place
12	back in the hearing of the open courtroom:)
гз	THE COURT: Ms. Williamson, you're
14	excused.
15	(Whereupon the following side bar
1.6	conference took place outside the hearing of
L7	the open courtroom:)
18	THE COURT: Ms. Caraldo, do you want
19	you wanted to approach?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I filled out my
21	sheet, actually, incorrectly.
22	THE COURT: What number are you? Seat
23	number nine.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It says convicted
25	of a crime, somebody in the family.

1 144 2 THE COURT: Your close friend, family 3 members or yourself. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Actually, my 5 grandfather has been incarcerated for ten years. I actually can't do this. I think 6 this whole thing is a big joke. He's 8 eighty-three years old. He can't get out. 9 THE COURT: I don't want anybody 10 sitting on a murder trial who thinks it's a 11 big joke. You're excused. 12 (The following took place back within the hearing of the open courtroom:) 13 THE COURT: Mr. Leutia? What's your 14 15 problem, sir? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I just want 16 17 to make the distinction between being fair 18 and being equitable. I think being fair, I could judge a person's testimony in a fair 19 way. I don't think I would be able to be 20 21 equitable in believing a police officer testimony, as opposed to a person who has a 22 track record of committing crimes. There's 23 a difference between being fair and 24 25 equitable.

1 145 2 THE COURT: What you're saying is 3 completely logical and makes sense. But 4 what we're talking about is, even before you 5 hear someone's qualifications, criminal 6 record, making a decision purely because of 7 their occupation, in advance of listening. 8 We're not asking you to not do what you 9 just said. That's what you should be doing. 10 You should be weighing credibility. One of the factors you can consider, is someone's 11 12 prior criminal record; someone's employment 13 experiences, training, all those things. 14 You should be considering those. We're 15 concerned with a closed mind before you 16 listen. That you make a determination that 17 you believe or not believe someone. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: At the beginning, 19 at the top, just based on a person's 20 background, I think in the back of my mind, 21 I would more tend to believe one than the 22 other. That's what I'm trying to relay 23 here. 24 THE COURT: Before you even listen and 25 hear their background?

1 146 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That could be 3 changed, depending on what I hear. But 4 before I hear them, I would tend to believe 5 a person who's life is devoted to protecting 6 the people, as opposed to someone selling 7 drugs, or involved in some other crime. 8 THE COURT: You won't certainly know if 9 a person is involved in selling drugs or some other crime, until you hear the 10 11 witness. You won't be able to hear if a 12 police officer's life is devoted to his 13 community, or something is the matter with 14 him, either, until you stop and listen to 15 the person. You listen to the 16 cross-examination, if there is any. 17 All we're asking is for you to have an 18 open mind. Not leave your common sense 19 behind. Do you think you could do that: 20 Keep an open mind; listen, wait until you 21 learn and hear, before you make a decision? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sure. But I'm just 23 letting you know that there is that --24 THE COURT: We appreciate your candor.

It's easy to give us the answers you think

25

147 1 we want to hear. 2 MR. WALSH: I'm going to keep picking 3 on you, Mr. Leutia. I mentioned to the 4 group yesterday that there is a very good 5 possibility that one of the witnesses that I 6 called, would be somebody who might have 7 been convicted of a crime before; and who 8 you may find was involved in this case, as 9 well, even as an accomplice to some extent. 10 Now, you have just made your feelings 11 known; I think very reasonably, by the way. 12 Somebody's background, whether it be their 13 criminal record, motivations they may have; 14 anything that they bring to the witness 15 stand, is something that you're going to 16 consider in evaluating their credibility. 17 I think you remember yesterday, I said 18 that you should. You have every right to 19 consider someone's background in evaluating 20 their credibility. You should do that, in 21 the very same manner that you said. 22 What I am concerned about is, that 23 somebody's feelings, such as yours, go to 24

25

the extent that if they were to hear from

may have been involved in this incident, as well, that you're just going to say, you know what, I don't like who they are. I don't approve of their lifestyle. I don't like what they're involved in. I don't want to hear what they have to say. I'm not going to even consider what they have to say.

What I would like to have is somebody
who, as I said, would consider background or
anything else; but would say, I'm going to
give a fair shake. I'm going to listen to
what they have to say. Maybe see if what
they have to say is consistent with other
evidence in the case; other evidence you
find credible in the case. Wait to see if
what the person says, makes sense, before
you make an evaluation.

As we said before, I don't want you to tell me what I want to hear. I don't want to have you tell me what you think the right answer is. I want your gut feeling. Do you think you could give a witness like that a

149 1 fair shake, in the manner that I have 2 described. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: As far as listening 4 to what they have to say, yes. As far as 5 believing what they have to say, maybe not. 6 MR. WALSH: All right. When you say, as far as believing what they have to say, 8 are you feeling such that you would feel 9 that there is a chance that you would 10 automatically say, I don't believe what they 11 12 have to say? PROSPECTIVE JURO: No. 13 MR. WALSH: In other words, just 14 knowing that, and before you really listen, 15 before you compare it to other evidence that 16 17 you hear in the case, to see if it makes sense or it's consistent --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. 19 MR. WALSH: You may not be able to tell 20 me, yes, I can; no, I can't. Is there a 21 danger that you wouldn't be able to give me 22 a fair shake? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. 24 However, I just want everyone to know that I 25

150 1 did have that concern. 2 MR. WALSH: Yesterday, do you remember 3 I asked people, a whole bunch of people, 4 whether it would matter to them whether the 5 victim was black or white, or young or old; 6 male or female. Does any of that matter to you? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 9 MR. WALSH: I also said, what if you 10 found out that the victim had sold drugs 11 during the course of his life. Would that 12 matter to you, to the extent that you would 13 tend to take this case less seriously than 14 some other case you might sit on? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I wouldn't take the 16 case less seriously. I would take, maybe, 17 the testimony that that person is giving 18 less seriously than in comparison to another 19 person who doesn't have that track record. 20 MR. WALSH: In this case, the charge is 21 murder. You're not going to have a victim 22 testify. The victim isn't with us anymore. 23 So his credibility is not an issue in the 24 case. You're not going to have to evaluate 25

1 151 2 his truthfulness. 3 Knowing that -- and this is what I'm 4 getting at -- would the fact that you found 5 out that he had sold drugs during his 6 lifetime, would that influence your decision 7 in any way; whether or not this defendant is 8 guilty or not guilty? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 10 MR. WALSH: What I'm getting at ultimately, is this: Whatever your verdict 11 12 is in this case, be it guilty or not guilty, 13 what I would like to have is any juror on 14 this case, their assurance, that that 15 verdict would be based on the evidence, and 16 nothing else. Whether it's emotional 17 considerations, sympathy, feeling about who 18 the victim was; any outside restraining 19 influences. Can you put those aside and 20 just determine the case based upon the 21 evidence? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You're saying that 22 23 one of the people who will testify may have 24 been involved in the case? 25 MR. WALSH: Yes.

1 152 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I might have a hard 3 time putting that aside. The way I would 4 look at the testimony, if I found out the victim sold drugs, I would find that very 5 6 hard to put aside. 7 MR. WALSH: Let's take the victim. Hard to put aside, in what way? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Which one? 10 MR. WALSH: Say you found out the victim in this case, who was killed, sold 11 12 drugs during the course of his lifetime. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can I come up? 13 THE COURT: Certainly, 14 15 (Whereupon the following side bar 16 conference took place outside the hearing of 17 the open courtroom:) 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My gut reaction 19 might be, maybe he or she deserved it. 20 THE COURT: Take that to the extreme. 21 You decide the world may be better off 22 without this person. Will you still be able 23 to make a fair determination as to whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty? 24 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not sure.

153 1 THE COURT: Well, then, I can't take 2 the chance. I'm excusing you. 3 (The following took place back within 4 the hearing of the open courtroom:) 5 MR. WALSH: As you can just see, what I 6 said before, I think is really true. Your answers that you think might be the right 8 answers, and answers that are truthful, and 9 come from your gut -- you know, while the 10 right answer may be, or what you think the 11 right answer may be, it doesn't matter to me 12 who the victim was. I'm going to evaluate 13 this case based on the evidence, alone. 14 Some people can't do that. That's okay. 15 What I would ask -- a couple of people 16 have spoken up. What I would ask, is that 17 if that's the case with you, that you let us 18 19 know now. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What you're asking 20 us, is not to be human. We're all based on 21 emotion and factors like that. If the 22 testimony is based on evaluating different 23 personalities, also. If I look at the 24 person, and I say, geez, I don't believe 25

2 anything he has to say; that doesn't occur

until you see the person.

MR. WALSH: Right. Please don't misunderstand what I'm asking of you. I am not asking you not to be human. I'm not asking you not to feel any emotion that you would otherwise feel. That's not what I'm asking.

If you remember yesterday, I had said to the people who were here, you should consider somebody's background. You should consider who a person is, in evaluating their credibility. You're not going to know that, until you see them up on that witness stand testifying. That's fine.

What I was getting at was, we have a case here where the charge is murder.

Obviously, the victim isn't with us anymore.

As a result, you're not going to see the victim get on the witness stand and testify. His credibility, whether or not he tells the truth or not, isn't an issue in the case.

You're not going to have any testimony from him to evaluate.

What I'm concerned about is, if you find out during the course of the case, that the victim was somebody whose lifestyle you might not approve of, who did some things that you wouldn't do, and you wouldn't approve of anybody doing, would that tend to make you take this case and what happened to this person less seriously than you would if the victim was somebody else? I'm not talking about evaluating truthful testimony.

prospective juron: Given the scenario that you gave me, if somebody was involved with crime and was a victim, and somebody who was an upstanding citizen in all respects, yeah. Obviously, I would have some prejudices.

MR. WALSH: I'm hoping I didn't mislead you. I want to see if I did. When I talk about the victim in this case, I said you may find out that he had sold drugs in the past. What I think you'll find is that that was unrelated to anything that happened in this case. It's something you may learn about him; but not something that would

156 1 affect whether or not Joseph Jackson 2 committed this crime or not. I hope I 3 didn't mix the two together. 4 5 On the one hand, I was talking about a witness who may testify, who has a criminal 6 record; as opposed to a victim who will not 7 testify, and has a criminal record. His 8 criminal record doesn't affect what happened 9 in this case. 10 Would that, as far as who a victim was, 11 would that tend to make you take the case 12 any less seriously? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I would be 14 prejudice. 15 MR. WALSH: Anybody else? I'm going to 16 sit down in a moment. Before I sit down, 17 any reason -- I know I didn't get a chance 18 to speak to all of you. Any reason anyone 19 else, why they feel they might not be fair 20 and impartial? 21 22 Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Brettschneider? 23 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Thank you. Just 24 want to follow up on a few things Mr. Walsh 25

157 2 touched on, with regard to potential witnesses in this case. 3 4 Certainly, there has been mention of 5 the fact that people who have criminal records may testify in this case. Does the 6 7 fact that somebody does have a criminal record -- of course, that doesn't indicate 9 that their testimony should not be worthy of 10 belief -- but would you suspect that if a 11 person had been involved from the time they 12 were a young person until the present in 13 criminal activity, that they may have some 14 sort of hidden motive, and may have a 15 propensity to lie? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's a possibility. 17 THE COURT: Could you speak up, please? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would hope I 19 would evaluate the case on the facts as they 20 were given. 21 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: So even though somebody may have been in trouble in the 22 23 past, that doesn't mean that they're not 24 telling the truth. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Exactly.

1 158 2 MR, BRETTSCHNEIDER: In this situation, 3 one of the things that may potentially 4 happen, is that there will be police officers who will testify in this case. 6 Certainly, from the time that we've been young -- you know, we were told the police are here to protect us. They're also here to do a job. People do jobs and people do 9 10 things in their jobs for whatever reasons. 11 You, in your own experience, knowing people 12 you have worked with, who, for whatever 13 reason, have done something that's 14 unexplainable, as far as not doing what they 15 were supposed to do, or even to the point of 16 not telling the truth in completing, you 17 know, something they were supposed to do. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Other than a police officer? 19 20 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Right. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, sure, 22 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Let's take a 23 police officer. Is it possible that a 24 police officer could do the same thing 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR:

1 159 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Is it possible 3 that a police officer may get on the witness 4 stand and, for whatever reason, unbeknownst 5 to you or any members of the jury, but based 6 on your common sense and life experience, 7 know that even though he's raised his hand to tell the truth, he is not telling the 9 truth? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sure. 11 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Why do you feel 12 that way? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Because of stories 14 that I have read. I guess that's what I 15 have read about. 16 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Ms. Randazzo, we 17 talked yesterday about the fact that this is 18 a murder case. There may be pictures that 19 may be graphic. In looking at the pictures 20 and seeing that someone was killed -- and 21 certainly there has to be a sense of 22 sympathy for anyone, no matter who that 23 person is -- would that sympathy come to a 24 point where it may affect your judgment as 25 to whether you could be fair to Mr. Jackson?

160 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Why do you feel 3 that way? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would say just 5 5 looking at the pictures is just confirming that something did happen. All the facts 7 determine that decision. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: If Mr. Jackson 9 didn't testify in this case, you didn't hear 10 from him, would that have some sort of 11 12 negative impact upon you, in making a determination as to whether he was guilty or 13 14 not? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If he did not 15 testify? 16 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Right. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. 18 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Mr. Whence, what 19 about you? The fact that you didn't hear 20 from Mr. Jackson, went through a complete 21 trial, three, four weeks of testimony, and 22 that at the end of the trial, you heard all 23 the evidence. Somehow, you haven't heard 24 25 from Mr. Jackson.

1 161 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know if I was up 3 there, I would be screaming bloody murder that I want my side of the story. Even 4 5 though my attorney may say, leave it alone, 6 I would still want to say something. 7 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Let's say you were sitting where Mr. Jackson is sitting. Using 8 9 your common sense and life experience, you sat where Mr. Jackson was sitting. You 10 heard a number of witnesses. You said to 11 12 yourself, you know something, they're all 13 lying. They're all lying. They're not 14 telling the truth. The jury has to feel the 15 same as I do. These witnesses are not 16 telling the truth. 17 And your lawyer says to you, 18 Mr. Whence, don't go up there. The jury is 19 going to make a determination on the 20 evidence that they have heard. What would 21 you do? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's my life. I 23 would go up. 24 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: If you didn't hear 25 from Mr. Jackson, you would have some sort

Ū 162 Ż of -- it would have an influence on you, on 3 making a determination? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Honestly, yes. 5 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Ms. Kelly, what 6 about you? Same question: You didn't hear from Mr. Jackson. You heard three weeks of 7 8 testimony. You didn't hear from 9 Mr. Jackson. Would that have an influence 10 upon you? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Why do you feel 12 13 that way? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess it's just 15 my work experience. 16 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Let's talk about 17 your work experience. Certainly, before 18 attorneys were allowed to be on juries, we 19 never had a problem wondering whether a 20 person who was sitting there knows more than 21 the person who's standing here. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I assure you I do 23 not. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Well, I'm sure you 24 25 do remember things from law school. Just

1 163 2 from your general knowledge of the law. 3 will that impact on you making a 4 determination? If you hear an instruction 5 from the Judge as to what the law is, and 6 somehow, in the back of your mind, you say, you know, I remember reading a case back in 8 law school. I think the Judge is wrong. 9 Would you still follow the Judge's 10 instruction? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My personal opinion 11 12 is that lawyers would be able to follow the law, probably better than most non-lawyers. 13 14 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Do you feel 15 comfortable sitting on a case such as this? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 17 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: You're a nurse, 18 ma'am. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. 20 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: One of the things 21 I asked everybody yesterday, was with regard 22 to paperwork that had to be prepared. In 23 your job, you do prepare a great deal of 24 paperwork. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

164 1 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: It's important, 2 based on the fact that there may be 3 witnesses who are going to testify as to 4 their paperwork. If there would be a 5 continuing pattern of, for lack of a better 6 word, let's say, sloppiness, would you 7 question their reliability as far as being a 8 witness? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If they used the 10 paperwork to read it, to refresh their 11 memory, no. If they used the paperwork 12 solely as their testimony, probably, yes. 13 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Let's say, for 14 instance, somebody testified that an arrest 15 happened on a particular day. And then, 16 somehow, someone shows him something else, a 17 paper that he prepared, which indicates 18 something different. Would you then 19 question the reliability of that witness? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would question 21 the reliability of his memory of it, yes. 22 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Mr. Dunne, 23 certainly, in being a good juror, you have 24 to evaluate witnesses. I know some of the 25

165 1 things you were talking about with 2 Mr. Walsh. I think they're very relevant 3 and extremely important. In judging other people in your own 5 life, what do you look for in make making a 6 determination? One would be the example I 7 used. Often times, we have repairmen to 8 come to our house to fix a television or 9 washing machine. They show you something 10 about as big as an inch. They say, you need 11 to replace this. It's going to cost you 12 seven hundred fifty dollars. You don't know 13 the repairman. How do you know whether to 14 trust that person. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Do I have to make 16 17 that decision immediately? Or do I have time to research it? 18 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: You probably have 19 some time to research it. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Then I say, I'll 21 give you an answer in a day or so. 22 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: If, in this case, 23 as a juror, certainly you are going to not 24 have to make a decision right away. But 25

1 166 2 you're going to have to make a determination 3 as far as the witnesses' testimony. Are you 4 going to also look to other witnesses, in 5 making a determination as to whether that 6 testimony is worthy of belief, as far as corroboration of one witness's testimony with another one; in regard to, again, not 9 making a snap judgment on the witness, but looking at the whole bigger picture? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 12 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: What are the 13 things that you look for in making a 14 determination whether someone is telling the 15 truth? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The consistency. 17 Whether the testimony is consistent. Based 18 on life knowledge; whether it sounds true or 19 not; things like that. 20 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Mr. Leutia, one of the questions I asked, I think two days ago, 21 22 if you were in a situation where there 23 was -- it was eleven to one. You were asked 24 to deliberate. You were the one person

sitting on the jury, for four or five weeks.

25

1 167

The inclination is to want to go home. If you were the one person who disagreed with the other eleven members, what would you do in that situation? If you had eleven people yelling at you, saying, listen, let's get out of here. You're holding us up. It's over. Just change your vote.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would need them
to show me how I was wrong, in any way. If
they could show me, in one instance or three
instances, how my thinking was wrong, I
would change. If they could not show me, in
any instance how my thinking was wrong, I
don't know exactly the process, but I would
have to stick it out.

MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Let me ask you the same question, sir. It sounds good when you're asking this question at the beginning of the trial. Just think of coming here for five weeks; listening to testimony, staying here until four-thirty in the afternoon, and this is an important question. At some point, it's a very serious decision that has to be made. What would you do?

1 168 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, if I had came 3 to my decision, I consider myself a fairly 4 intelligent person. I would have to agree 5 with him. Prove me wrong. This is what --6 this is the information I gathered from the 7 testimony. This is what I believe. Show me 8 that I'm wrong. Show me if I made a 9 mistake. Is my thinking wrong. 10 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Great in theory. 11 Right answer. It's December. It's snowing, 12 it's cold. People are saying to you, change 13 your mind. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A man's life is on the line. 15 16 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I have nothing 17 further. THE COURT: When you're ready, 18 19 approach. 20 (Whereupon the following side bar 21 conference took place outside the hearing of 22 the open courtroom:) 23 THE COURT: Counsel, we have seven 24 jurors. We're talking about the first five 25 first. That's the first row. Challenges

1		16
2	for cause, People?	
3	MR. WALSH: No.	
4	THE COURT: For cause, defendant?	
5	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Number two.	
6	MR. WALSH: I consent.	
7	THE COURT: Granted.	
8	Any further for cause?	
9	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No.	
.0	THE COURT: Peremptory, People?	
.1	MR. WALSH: Numbers three and five.	
.2	THE COURT: Defendant?	
.3	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Both.	
4	THE COURT: Now, we're on the last	
.5	three in the box. Challenges for cause,	
.6	People?	
.7	MR. WALSH: No.	
.8	THE COURT: Cause, defendant?	
.9	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No.	
0	THE COURT: Peremptory, People?	
1	MR. WALSH: I'm afraid so. Number	
12	eleven and thirteen.	
.3	THE COURT: Peremptory, defendant?	
4	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No.	
5	THE COURT: That gives us one juror.	

-	12740
1	170
2	(Whereupon the following took place
3	back within the hearing of the open
4	courtroom:)
5	THE CLERK: Mrs. Randazzo, please
6	remain seated.
7	Everyone else is excused with the
8	thanks of the Court.
9	(Whereupon the remaining prospective
o	jurors left the jury box)
1	THE CLERK: Is the remaining juror
2	satisfactory to the People?
3	MR. WALSH: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: And to the defendant?
5	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes.
6	(Whereupon Juror Number Eight was duly
7	sworn by the Clerk of the Court)
8	THE COURT: Please fill the box.
9	(Whereupon fourteen prospective jurors
0	were called to the jury box)
1	THE COURT: Counsel, approach the
2	Bench.
3	(Whereupon the following side bar
4	conference took place outside the hearing of
5	the open courtroom:)

1 171 2 THE COURT: We have a juror in the back 3 now, who indicates that he had left early 4 yesterday, and was told to come back today. 5 Do you want him? I'll be guided by you. 6 MR. WALSH: I don't think it's a good idea. He wasn't here for your admonitions. 8 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I agree. 9 THE COURT: I'm excusing him. 10 (Whereupon the following took place 11 back within the hearing of the open 12 courtroom:) THE COURT: Mr. Esposito, you're 13 excused from this case. 14 15 Everyone, I'm going to ask the most 16 important question first. You've been 17 listening here now for most of yesterday; 18 and, of course, this morning. Does anyone 19 know of any reason that you can't fairly and impartially sit in this case? I'm going to 20 21 take you one at a time at the Bench. 22 (Whereupon the following side bar 23 conference took place outside the hearing of 24 the open courtroom:) 25 THE COURT: Mr. Carbone, what's the

172 1 problem? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was arrested for 3 possession of firearms. 4 THE COURT: Certainly, you feel it 5 would not be a good case for you. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: You're excused. 8 All right. Mrs. Margolies? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work three days. 10 I have a four year old and seventeen month 11 old. On the dates I don't work, I'm the 12 primary care giver. It's very hard. I have 13 no family here to care for the children. 14 Beside that, I'm a business consultant who, 15 with my business as well as other 16 businesses, it's coming to the fiscal year 17 end. There's a lot of things that need to 18 19 take place. THE COURT: Well, I'm concerned with 20 the young children. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm concerned about 22 that, too. 23 THE COURT: Your husband would not be 24 able to take over for you? 25

173 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 3 THE COURT: You're excused. Mrs. Ditore. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't do this, 5 your Honor. Morally, I feel that -- I'm 6 shaking already. I can't go through with 7 the graphic pictures, because they would 8 upset me very much. THE COURT: You're excused. 10 Mr. Budmore. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a police 12 officer in Brooklyn. I don't think I could 13 do this fairly. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. You're excused. 15 Mr. Santangelo. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just thinking about 17 the case last night. I know the question 18 was, if you had a close friend who was the 19 victim of a crime. We had a double murder 20 in the office last year. It was business 21 associates that I knew quite well. After 22 thinking about this case, I honestly 23 wouldn't be able to be impartial. 24 THE COURT: Excused. 25

1 174

Sir?

prospective juron: I feel a little bad for saying this. A little over a year ago, my brother was arrested. He was set up by some detectives, as part of a drug deal. He did not sell drugs. He was not involved in it. It dragged on for quite a long time. It's costing him well over ten thousand dollars in legal fees. It's just finally being settled. I don't feel I could be very fair in looking at police officers; although --no. I don't think I could be impartial.

THE COURT: Thank you. You're excused.

Ms. Sinclaire.

prospective Juror: I don't know that I would be very fair, because of my career. I am in sales. It's toward the end of the year. I'm very preoccupied with quota and things. I think if it was on a prolonged basis, I must succumb to, like your question before, if I was the only one that didn't go along with the rest of the jury.

THE COURT: There is no duty to hold

1 175 2 out, if you are convinced. But if it's just 3 the time, I think what counsel was trying to say, if you're concerned about the time or getting back to your job, or it's due to 6 snow, or anything like that. That can't be the reason. 7 8 Are you telling me because you would be 9 concerned about getting back to work, you 10 would want to just get it over with? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I might. I think 12 I'm preoccupied as it is. Never mind having 13 gone on for six weeks. 14 THE COURT: You're excused. Mr. Warden? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a few police 17 officers in my family. I believe in the 18 integrity of the police officers. What they 19 say, as far as I'm concerned, goes. 20 THE COURT: You're excused. Thank you. 21 Yes, sir? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The reason I wrote 23 at the bottom of the paper, is not because I 24 don't feel I'll be unfair. I just want to 25 say that a long, long time along, I used

1	176
2	drugs. I used marijuana. I don't know if
3	that's part of it. I just want to be as
4	honest as possible.
5	THE COURT: How long ago?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Eighteen years ago.
7	I was sixteen years old.
8	THE COURT: Were you ever arrested?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Just a few
0	times. I would like to admit that. Because
1	I don't know how that bears on the case. I
.2	want to just be as honest as possible.
.3	THE COURT: You used marijuana for
.4	personal use?
.5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
.6	THE COURT: You never sold it to
7	anybody else?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Just for my
.9	own stupidity.
10	THE COURT: That does not disqualify
:1	you.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just wanted to be
23	as honest as possible. This is the first
24	time I'm on a jury.
2.5	THE COURT: Will that affect your

1	177
2	ability to be fair?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I think I'll
4	be fair.
5	THE COURT: Any questions?
6	MR, WALSH: No. As long as you can be
7	fair.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just wanted to
9	admit to it. It's kind of embarrassing.
10	THE COURT: No problem.
11	Yes, sir, Mr. Dougherty?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In the interest of
13	time and privacy, I prefer not to be
14	questioned. I'm a special agent, with
15	twenty years in law enforcement.
16	THE COURT: Including the D.A.?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18	THE COURT: You may hear testimony, as
19	counsel indicated, about possible drugs use
20	of the victim, or drug dealing.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe I could
22	be impartial. I don't know if the other
23	officers of the Court would find me
24	credible.
25	THE COURT: If you feel you could be

178 1 fair, you're not disqualified by the fact 2 that you, yourself, were a D.A. intelligence 3 officer. 4 Let's go to the other step. You say 5 the fact that some of the -- the victim used 6 or sold drugs, would not affect your ability 7 to determine the case. This is not an issue 8 of a self-defense type. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. In terms of, I 10 have taken many -- I have done many 11 interviews, interrogations. I have taken 12 depositions; some complaints. I could be 13 impartial, as far as evidence, as far as --14 THE COURT: How about as far as police 15 officers? 16 As far as a PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 17 witness, though. I think that I probably 18 would have an above average ability to 19 determine falsehood or pick up something 20 that doesn't ring true. 21 THE COURT: That's not bad. But what 22 I'm asking you is, the fact that someone is 23 a law enforcement officer --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. There's no --25

1 179 2 THE COURT: You wouldn't pre-judge 3 because someone has law enforcement, they 4 were necessarily truthful? That's what I'm 5 asking. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't believe 7 that the glove was planted. But he did lie on the stand. That's just the way it is. 8 9 THE COURT: You know there are officers 10 who lie, or who are mistaken. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm just saying, if 12 I'm going to be disqualified by virtue of 13 this, by either side, I would rather not be 14 questioned in open Court about my career. 15 THE COURT: You're not disqualified, so 16 far. What I'm going to ask the attorneys to 17 do, here at the Bench, is to examine you 18 about your career. Then they can ask benign 19 questions later on. 20 Mr. Walsh? 21 MR. WALSH: Witness to a crime, I would 22 imagine that has to do with your duties? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 24 MR. WALSH: The only question I have, 25 really, is what I asked everybody else:

1 180 2 Whether you feel a police officer is any 3 more to likely to be truthful than anyone else; or less likely? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's not about 6 that, as far as I'm concerned. It's about listening to the testimony, and the 8 responsibility lies with you and the other 9 attorney to bring out whatever is brought out. I'll listen to it. 10 11 THE COURT: This is just on his career, 12 counsel. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: 13 I have a few 14 questions. You said that you have been 15 involved in interrogations. In this case, 16 there may be a possibility that a police 17 officer testified about the interrogation. 18 Could that interrogation or a statement that 19 a defendant made in this case, be falsified 20 by a police officer? Or do you think that 21 would ever happen? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think it would never happen. It has happened. 23 24 Things happen. People aren't given their 25 rights. I don't work in a state or local

1	
1	181
2	system. I have been federal all my life.
3	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I have nothing
4	further.
5	THE COURT: Take your seat, sir.
6	(Whereupon the following took place
7	back within the hearing of the open
8	courtroom:)
9	THE COURT: Do any of you know any of
10	the prospective witnesses, anything about
11	the case; anything about the proceedings;
12	any participants?
13	Do any of you have any business pending
14	before the police department or the district
15	attorney's office?
16	Mrs. Schrifken, tell me about victim of
17	a crime.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Someone entered my
19	home during the day, through my front door.
20	THE COURT: Anyone apprehended?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: Anything in that experience
23	that would affect you in this case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Dougherty, no further

jerri krevoff, csr, rpr

1	182
2	questions for you.
3	Mrs. Evlack, what was your occupation
4	prior to retirement?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Teaching;
6	elementary.
7	THE COURT: Tell me about victim of a
8	crime.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My husband's young
0	cousin was run down. His blood showed high
1	levels of alcohol.
2	THE COURT: Was the victim alive
3	afterwards?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was killed.
5	THE COURT: That's a horrendous
5	incident. Can you be fair and impartial in
7	a case such as this?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can try.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Horowitz, is there
)	anything you prefer to discuss privately at
4	the Bench?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Approach.
1	(Whereupon the following side bar
ā	conference took place outside the hearing of

1	183
2	the open courtroom:)
3	THE COURT: Tell me about accused of a
4	crime; convicted of a crime.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a son who
6	was convicted of weapons possession. I was
7	convicted of felony, about six years ago, as
8	a white collar crime.
9	THE COURT: Federal conviction?
0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: State.
1	THE COURT: Do you remember the nature
2	of the crime?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Scheme to defraud.
4	THE COURT: Was it here in Nassau
5	County?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No; it was Queens.
7	THE COURT: May I ask what your
8	sentence was?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I received five
o l	years probation.
1	THE COURT: That probation is over now?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Do you have any feelings
4	about your on experience that would carry
5	over to this case?

1	184
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Obviously.
3	THE COURT: I'm excusing you. Thank
4	you.
5	(Whereupon the following took place
6	back within the hearing of the open
7	courtroom:)
8	THE COURT: Mr. Matusio, was your wife
9	ever employed outside of the home?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She used to be a
11	manager of a bakery.
12	THE COURT: You indicated some problems
13	with the English language.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, so far, I
15	understand everything. Just when they use,
16	like old English, that's when I probably
17	don't know the word.
18	THE COURT: You mean, when I'm going to
19	be defining the law?
2.0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21	THE COURT: If you don't understand
22	something, you just tell me. You write me a
23	note.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I will.
25	THE COURT: How long have you been in

185 1 the United States? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Fifteen years. 3 THE COURT: You speak very well. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you. THE COURT: We'll start with victim of a crime. Mrs. Low? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: Go ahead. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I got mugged in the 10 street once. 11 THE COURT: Anyone apprehended? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 13 THE COURT: Would that affect your 14 ability to be fair in this case? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 16 THE COURT: You indicate that you have 17 a pet at home, which could be a problem in a 18 long overnight stay. I can't tell you even 19 if you would be overnight. I can tell you 20 in my fourteen years on the Bench, I have 21 never had a jury more than two days. That 22 doesn't mean it couldn't be more. Would you 23 be -- would you be able to make arrangements 24 for your pet? My concern is, you're not 25

186 1 going to sit in that deliberating room and 2 3 look at your watch and be saying, well, I really am just going to vote to get this 4 over with, because I'm concerned with my 5 animal. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If it's too long --THE COURT: What's too long? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Like a month. 9 THE COURT: Sequestered for a month? 10 That won't happen. Sometimes, I think that 11 the trial of the century has changed so much 12 all of our lives and expectations. It's 13 important to do real jury service. 14 You have to help me with the 15 16 pronunciation of your name. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Kedish. 17 THE COURT: Please tell me about victim 18 of a crime, and witness to a crime. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My car was 20 burglarized and a neighbor's car was 21 burglarized. I saw that happen. 22 THE COURT: Was anyone apprehended? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. 24 THE COURT: Did you have the 25

1 187 2 opportunity to testify at any proceeding or 3 a grand jury? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: When the police 5 made the arrest, within minutes, neither me 6 or my neighbor could actually specify which of the four broke into the car. We couldn't 8 tell. 9 THE COURT: Do you have any feelings 10 about that incident that would carry over to 11 this case? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 13 THE COURT: You indicate you have friends in law enforcement? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have friends who 15 16 are court officers in Queens. 17 THE COURT: Anything in those 18 relationships that would prevent you from 19 being fair? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 21 THE COURT: You're considered -- you'll 22 consider a law enforcement individual the 23 same as anyone else. You don't decide in 24 advance to believe or disbelieve anyone? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

1 188 2 THE COURT: Mr. Cavores, has your wife 3 ever been employed outside the home? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Legal 5 secretary for Maritime law. 6 THE COURT: Not criminal law? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 8 THE COURT: Tell me about victim of a 9 crime; witness to a crime. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was robbed while 11 working at a store, armed robbery. A person 12 was never apprehended. I had my car burglarized a couple of times. Two attempts 13 14 on two different cars. I also witnessed a 15 couple of incidents where I work. Nobody 16 was apprehended. 17 THE COURT: In the robbery of you in 18 the store, what -- was a handgun used. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 20 THE COURT: I want to make sure that 21 that experience won't, in any way, carry 22 over to you in this case. You understand, 23 of course, the allegation, is that there was 24 a handgun used in the killing of the victim 25 in this case?

189 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I don't feel 2 it will have any affect on me. It's been a 3 long time. 4 THE COURT: How long ago was that? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That was the same 6 time as the other thing I told you about. 7 Long time ago. 8 THE COURT: Can you all assure me that 9 at the time deliberations begin, you will be 10 able to express your views, listen to the 11 views of your fellow jurors? Is there 12 anyone who can't do that? 13 Can you all accept the presumption of 14 innocence, burden of proof, as I have 15 explained it to you earlier, and will 16 explain to you in detail at the end of the 17 trial? Can you all accept that? 18 Can you all accept that the defendant 19 does not have to prove anything. That 20 Mr. Brettschneider, if he so desired, could 21 sit there silently throughout the trial. 22 Sympathy, thoughts of punishment, they 23 don't belong in the jury deliberation room. 24 Can you assure me you will put aside those 25

1 190 2 thoughts when you deliberate? The defendant 3 is not obligated to take the witness stand 4 of call witnesses, or explain his actions in 5 any way. You must not draw any inference 6 unfavorable to the defendant. 7 Are there any of you who will or might 8 allow the fact that the defendant does not 9 testify to influence you in your 10 deliberations? 11 Do any of you have any feelings about 12 the police that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case, that 13 14 would cause you to prejudge any police 15 officer or law enforcement officer? 16 Do you want to get started? 17 MR. WALSH: Sure. 18 Good afternoon. I'm going to make this 19 real brief. Earlier this morning, I had 20 mentioned what somebody had said yesterday, 21 about serving on jury duty. Basically, they 22 said they would have difficulty accepting 23 the responsibility in finding somebody 24 guilty or finding somebody not guilty in a 25 case like this.

It's very easy to sit, you know, in your living room, talk to your family and friends about serving on jury duty. Once you step inside this courtroom, and you know, you could hear when the Judge read the charges, you hear the reaction in the back of the courtroom. It's a lot different when you walk in here and you have to sit here on a jury and come to a verdict in a criminal case. It's a difficult thing to come in here and do.

Ms. Lipkin, do you share the feelings of the person I quoted that said they would have a difficult time with the responsibility of finding somebody guilty or not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I wouldn't have a difficult time with the responsibility. The only thing I would have a difficult time with is that my responsibility to my business.

MR. WALSH: Tell me about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work for a restaurant caterer. We do an enormous

1 192 2 business every holiday season. Thanksgiving 3 is an enormous holiday season for us. I'm 4 the only one who does what I do. If I had - 5 to be here, and then go to my office after 6 work, I might be stressed. I might be 7 wondering how they're getting along. MR. WALSH: That's the concern? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's my concern. 10 MR. WALSH: Whether or not your attention will be fully with us, or --11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know I'm going to 13 be worried about all the work that I 14 normally would do. 15 MR. WALSH: How about you, Ms. Flynn? 16 What I had indicated that that prospective 17 juror said about responsibility of finding 18 guilt or not guilty. Is there any problem? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Sir, how about you? 20 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not at all. 22 THE COURT: Ma'am? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 24 MR. WALSH: Ms. Lowe, how about you? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR:

1 193 2 MR. WALSH: Let me ask it this way: At 3 the end of the case, after you've heard all 4 the evidence, you may go back into the jury 5 room, if you're selected to be on the jury, 6 and evaluate the case. 7 I'm going to ask you to assume for the purposes of what I'm about to ask you, that 9 you're convinced after this case is over, 10 beyond a reasonable doubt, that the 11 defendant committed the crimes that he's charged with in the indictment. Do you have 12 13 any problem, or any difficulty, with walking 14 back out in this courtroom, standing up, 15 looking at the defendant, and finding him 16 guilty of second degree murder? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. No problem. 18 MR. WALSH: If I don't prove my case 19 beyond a reasonable doubt, you'll walk back in the courtroom, stand up and find him not 20 21 guilty. Any problem with that? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have no problem. 23 MR. WALSH: Whatever your verdict is, 24 will be based on what the evidence is, and 25 nothing else?

1 194 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Second degree means 4 it's not capital. 5 THE COURT: This is not a capital case. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a problem 7 with capital punishment. MR. WALSH: You don't have to worry 9 about that. Anybody else, the question I 10 asked about, basically, your verdict being 11 based on the evidence and nothing else. 12 Anybody feels any differently than the views 13 expressed by the other jurors? If I prove 14 my case beyond a reasonable doubt, you walk 15 back in the courtroom, look at the 16 defendant, find him guilty? I don't prove 17 my case, you do just the opposite: Walk in 18 here, find him not guilty. Whatever your 19 verdict is, it's based on the evidence and 20 whether it's proven to you beyond a 21 reasonable doubt. Everybody feels the same 22 way? Okay. 23 What we said about police officer testimony. Anybody here have reason to 24 believe a police officer is any more or less 25

195 2 likely to be truthful? Does it matter to 3 any of you ladies and gentlemen, as far as taking this case as seriously as you would 5 any other, who the victim was? Whether you 6 approve of his lifestyle, whether he's alive or not. Can everybody give this case the same 9 attention they would for anybody else? 10 Before I sit down, any reason at all, any of you feel that you couldn't be fair 11 12 and impartial in this case, to either side? 13 Thank you. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Brettschneider. 15 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I just want to make something clear. You mentioned the 16 17 fact that this is not a capital punishment 18 case. That you feel somewhat relieved. 19 you still take this, you know, extremely 20 seriously? I mean, certainly --21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My problem is that 22 in -- I just don't believe in capital 23 punishment. I would have a problem if this 24 were a first degree murder case. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: You would still 25

196 1 deal with it as serious --2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely. 3 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Understand the 4 5 consequences. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely. And 6 probably because there is not a capital 7 punishment involved, probably be more open. 8 I'll be able to listen. 9 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Mr. Matteos, you 10 drive a bus. Are you involved with school 11 children? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 13 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: There must be 14 occasions where kids have problems on the 15 bus. There may have been an occasion, where 16 they said, this is what happened, and you 17 hear two sides of a story. In this or any 18 criminal case, the defendant is not required 19 to put on his side of the story. Would that 20 21 be a problem to you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. What I do is 22 just listen both sides. From there, I take 23 my decision. 24 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Well, you may not 25

hear both sides. The only side that you may hear with regard to my questioning, is the only way you can consider the two sides, is, I'm getting up on behalf of the defendant and cross-examining witnesses. Basically, I'm trying to elicit information from a witness that the district attorney does not want to elicit.

The fact that Mr. Jackson doesn't testify in this case, is that going to have an influence on you, as to whether you can come to a decision for finding him not guilty.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.

Because what I said before. I listen when

you -- I listen to you, and from there,

compare what he has. I take my decision

from there, without listening to him.

MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Mr. Dedash, I know you're self-employed. I think somebody else mentioned the possibility of being out of work for a period of time. How would that influence you, if you had to be on a jury until, let's say, the middle of December.

1 198 Would that be a hardship? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The way it was 4 described, no. 5 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Again, my question 6 is, would you need to hear two sides of the 7 story, in this case? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Would I need to 9 hear two sides? I understand that it's the 10 prosecutor's job to prove his case. If you 11 wanted to, you wouldn't have to really call 12 anybody. 13 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: That's the law. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand the 14 15 law. 16 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Do you have a 17 problem with that? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: None at all. 19 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Is this going to 20 be a situation where, six weeks from now, 21 you're sitting in the jury room, you're 22 saying, I wish I could have heard from the 23 defendant. If I heard from the defendant, I 24 would feel much better? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, let me answer

1 199 2 that this way: If you advise him not to 3 take the stand -- and that's your call --4 and you're comfortable with it, obviously, 5 something in what you heard, we heard, 6 convinced you that you didn't have to do 7 that. 8 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Same guestion to 9 you, sir. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would feel that if he testified, it would probably -- I 11 would feel it would be better to hear from 12 13 him, also. If he doesn't -- if you feel he 14 doesn't have to testify, the prosecution 15 still has to prove their case. Obviously, 16 if they don't prove their case, he doesn't 17 have to testify. 18 But yeah, it's always good to hear both 19 sides of a story. Somewhere in the middle, 20 the truth lies. That's my belief. 21 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Based on what 22 you're saying to me, is that going to be an 23 impediment --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I'm expressing 25 my feelings. I don't feel it's going to be

1 200 2 an impediment. I would like to think it 3 won't. 4 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Thank you. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If the prosecution proves his case beyond a reasonable doubt, 6 7 and I am satisfied with the prosecution's 8 case, that's one thing. If the prosecution 9 fails to do it completely, a reasonable doubt, of whatever that is, and there is 10 11 questions, wouldn't hearing from the defendant help make a juror come to a 12 decision? 13 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Well, I understand 14 what you're saying. The words are not 15 guilty or guilty. It's not innocent. 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand. But it's not guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. 18 19 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: It's guilty -they have to prove guilt beyond a reasonable 20 21 doubt. It doesn't rise to that level. The 22 Judge is going to instruct you that you 23 would have to find the defendant not guilty. That's why there are certain situations in 24 25 which we can't prove our innocence.

1 201 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I see. 3 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: The standard of 4 law is, not guilty or guilty. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I see. 6 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Does anybody have 7 a problem with that concept? The fact is, there may be a situation in which you may 8 9 have questions. There may not be answers. 10 A lot of times, you will hear the term in 11 Court, that a trial is looking for the 12 truth. Sometimes you may not find the 13 truth. The fact that you may not hear 14 15 everything that you want to hear, is that 16 going to be an influence upon you? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 18 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: You don't hear 19 from a defendant, you don't hear witnesses 20 on his behalf; you don't know where he was 21 at the time the crime was committed. Is 22 that going to have an impact on you? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Because it's 24 not the defendant's job to defend. It's the 25 prosecutor's job to prosecute. The

1 202 2 prosecutor has to indicate beyond a 3 reasonable doubt that the person is quilty of the crime. If he can't do that, it doesn't matter what the defendant says. 6 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Thank you. 7 Ms. Lowe, the fact that this is a murder case. Certainly, when you came for jury 9 duty, you probably didn't realize you were 10 going to sit on a case such as this. How do 11 you feel about that? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I feel that I have 13 to hear both lawyers' facts about the whole 14 case. Then I could determine --15 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Do you have any 16 problems sitting on a case where, you know, 17 you don't have to explain to anybody the 18 importance of this situation. You to feel 19 comfortable to the point you feel you could 20 be a good juror? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 22 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Let me ask you about your work. Is this going to have an 23 24 impact on you sitting here for six weeks, 25 sir?

1	203
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I have nothing
4	further.
5	THE COURT: When you're ready, please
6	approach.
7	(Whereupon the following side bar
8	conference took place outside the hearing of
9	the open courtroom:)
LO	THE COURT: Counsel, we have eight
11	jurors. We're doing the first four.
.2	Challenge for cause?
.3	MR. WALSH: Number three. She
.4	indicated that she would have difficulty
.5	concentrating on the case.
.6	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Consent.
.7	THE COURT: Only because it's consent.
.8	Any others?
.9	MR, WALSH: No.
0	THE COURT: Cause, defendant?
21	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No.
22	THE COURT: Peremptory, People?
13	MR. WALSH: Number seven and number
4	nine.
15	THE COURT: There is one remaining in

1	204
2	
	that group.
3	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I challenge him.
4	THE COURT: Now, we're moving to the
5	next four. That's everybody else in the
6	box. For cause, People?
7	MR, WALSH: No.
В	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No.
9	THE COURT: Peremptory, People?
10	MR. WALSH: Ms. Lowe.
11	THE COURT: Defendant?
12	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Number fifteen:
13	THE COURT: All right. That gives us
14	two more jurors. That makes a total of ten.
15	Now, before you leave the Bench, I'm
16	sending for another panel. We'll tell
17	everyone not to be back until 2:15.
18	However, what I want to ask is that, if
19	you want to consent to have the ten come
2.0	back on Tuesday, rather than
21	MR. WALSH: I think that's a good idea.
22	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: So do I. I
23	consent.
24	THE COURT: All right. Fine.
25	(Whereupon the following took place

1	205
2	back within the hearing of the open
3	courtroom:)
4	THE CLERK: The following two please
5	remain seated. Karen Shiplano and Charles
6	Kedish.
7	Everyone else is excused with the
8	thanks of the Court.
9	(Whereupon the remaining prospective
10	jurors were excused)
11	THE CLERK: Are the he remaining jurors
12	satisfactory to the People?
13	MR. WALSH: Yes.
14	THE CLERK: And to the defendant?
15	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes.
16	THE COURT: All right. Please swear
17	them.
18	(Whereupon Jurors Number nine and ten
19	were duly sworn by the Clerk of the Court)
20	THE COURT: All right. I have good
21	news, and I have bad news. The bad news is
22	that we don't have a completed jury. We
23	still have two more jurors and three
24	alternates to select.
25	I have to send for another panel.

1 206 2 you, that all of the participants have 3 agreed that you don't have to sit here this 4 afternoon while we keep going. Basically, 5 now, you're going to be excused until 6 Tuesday morning. 7 Remember, Friday, we're not working on - 8 the case. Monday is Veteran's Day. We will 9 resume again on Tuesday at eleven. 10 Do not discuss the case amongst 11 yourselves, are or with others. 12 Do not read or listen to any accounts 13 or discussions of the case reported by 14 newspapers or other news media. Do not 15 visit or view the premises, or any place 16 where the offenses charged were allegedly 17 committed, or any other promise premises or 18 place involved in the case. 19 Promptly report to the Court any incident involving any attempt by any person 20 21 to influence any member of the jury, or to 22 discuss the case. 23 Do not form any opinions. Keep an open 24 mind. Tuesday morning, eleven o'clock. 25 (Whereupon there was a luncheon recess)

1 207 SESSION AFTERNOON 3 4 THE CLERK: Case on trial, People vs. 5 Joseph Jackson. 6 THE COURT: Unfortunately, central jury 7 was only able to get us thirty jurors, who 8 are now outside. 9 Do you have a suggestion, Mr. Brettschneider? 10 11 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes. With the consent of my client, I think that to 12 alleviate all kinds of time constraints and 13 not waste the Court's time, why don't we ask 14 them if they would be interested on serving 15 on a trial that may end some time in 16 mid-December; rather than going into the 17 18 Court's instructions at the beginning, or 19 even giving them -- I guess you could give 20 them bare bones about what the case is 21 about. 22 Other than that, I think that really is a pertinent question, with regard to whether 23 24 they're willing to serve. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Walsh, are you in

208 1 2 agreement? MR. WALSH: Yes. 3 THE COURT: We'll do that. We might 4 not keep very many. 5 Bring them in. 6 (Whereupon the jury panel was brought 7 into the courtroom) 8 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and 9 gentlemen. Welcome to County Court. My 10 name is Judge Abbey Boklan and I will be the 11 presiding judge at this trial. 12 First of all, I wish to apologize to 13 you for having to stand in the hall. 14 Unfortunately, we had another matter that we 15 had to handle before we continued with this 16 trial. 17 We are in the middle of jury selection 18 right now, ladies and gentlemen. The reason 19 you don't see any jurors here is, that we 20 have excused them for the afternoon, while 21 we try to conclude our actual jury 22 selection. We have ten sworn jurors. We're 23 24 going to be picking two more and three alternates. 25

I would like to introduce to you,

ladies and gentlemen, the participants to

this proceeding, and just give you a very

little information at this stage about the

nature of the charges.

The nature of the charges are murder in the second degree, intimidating a witness in the first degree; and hindering prosecution in the second degree.

I would like to introduce Mr. Michael
Walsh, assistant district attorney, who will
present the case on behalf of Denis Dillon,
the District Attorney of this county.

I would like to introduce to you,

Mr. Scott Brettschneider, who is an attorney
who will be representing Mr. Joseph Jackson,
who is referred to as the defendant in this
case. He's sitting next to

Mr. Brettschneider.

Before going through my other part of my introduction to you, I wanted to tell you a little bit about scheduling in this trial, to ensure that all of you are willing to participate here with us. It's a little

1 210 unusual procedure. We find this, in the end, does save some time. In November, of course, as you know, 5 there are various holidays. We have Veterans Day, which is Monday. We're closed then, and we're closed Thanksgiving Day. We will not work on this case on any Friday in November. 9 Even if you exclude all those dates and 10 11 the nature of the charges, the attorneys 12 have assured me we should be finished by 13 mid-December. If there are any of you who cannot sit 14 15 on this -- I assure you it will be a very 16 interesting case with two fine attorneys --17 if there are any of you who cannot sit on this case, please raise your hands, now. 18 19 Well, how many are willing to stay with 20 us and sit with us? Five people. 21 Counselors, can I see you informally at the 22 Bench. (Whereupon the following side bar 23 24 conference took place outside the hearing of 25 the open courtroom:)

211 1 THE COURT: Only five are willing. 2 you sure you don't want me to go through 3 excuses? I might be able to salvage some. MR. WALSH: It's fine with me. If we 5 get a couple of more, it might help us. 6 We're only going to work with this group 7 this afternoon. 8 THE COURT: I'm going to take excuses. 9 (Whereupon the following took place 10 back within the hearing of the open 11 courtroom:) 12 THE COURT: The five of you who raised 13 your hands, we're going to separate you for 14 a minute. We thank all of you. But because 15 so many of the others of you have raised 16 your hand, unfortunately, I'm going to go 17 through my whole portion of my introduction. 18 I'll hear your excuses. I won't just 19 release you. So the five volunteers, if you 20 can just step over here. 21 As you heard, you're going to be called 22 upon to determine whether or not the 23 evidence which you shall hear and see in 24 this case establishes the defendant's guilt 25

1 212

of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

In order to do this, you will have to evaluate all of the evidence at the end of the trial, to determine whether what you have heard from the witnesses and seen as exhibit is true, and what it all means.

This is called finding the facts. That will be your function alone. I will find no facts in this trial.

Your ultimate decision is called a verdict. Your verdict will be either guilty or not guilty.

An attorney presents the evidence, usually by calling witnesses. Only you can decide what really happened. And the verdict as to each of the counts remains your decision alone. As Judge, I will make no determination of whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty.

My role at trial is to ensure that you reach your verdict in accordance with the law. I will explain to you what the law is, as to all the issues at this trial. I may have to rule on questions concerning the

1 213 2 conduct of the trial. Those rulings have 3 nothing to do with whether the defendant is 4 guilty or not guilty. 5 I may also rule on questions concerning 6 what evidence you may consider, and for what 7 purpose. 8 When I make a ruling concerning whether 9 you may hear some testimony or see some 10 exhibits which is offered as evidence, I 11 will be ruling on whether or not you are 12 permitted to hear it or see it, as a matter 13 of law. 14 Likewise, if I instruct you to 15 disregard something you might have heard, I 16 will do so because that is the law. None of 17 my rulings should be taken by you as any 18 indication at all of whether you should 19 believe all or part of what is offered as 20 evidence; or that the defendant is guilty or 21 not guilty. That is solely your job to 22 determine. 23 But you must accept the law as I give 24 it to you, if the People and the defendant 25 are to have a fair trial to which they are

entitled.

The fact that this action is brought in the name of the People, or the evidence is presented by a public official, does not, in any way, indicate that the public wants a specific verdict. The People in this state are served by whatever verdict is justified by the evidence.

You may hear reference to the fact that the defendant is indicted by a grand jury.

This, too, is not and must not be taken as any evidence of guilt.

As a trial jury, you must consider an indictment as simply a piece of paper by which a defendant is accused of a crime.

Only you as members of the trial jury, can determine guilt, and the defendant is presumed to be innocent, unless and until you do find him guilty.

Serving on a jury, ladies and gentlemen, is a vital function for citizens under our system of laws. It is also a very great responsibility; that is, to accord the defendant and the People a fair trial.

25 defendant

2.0

In order to do so, you must be free from any preconceived notions or any sympathies or prejudices that might prevent you from returning a fair and just verdict based upon the evidence or the lack of evidence. To help to ensure this, our first order of business is to conduct an examination of the prospective jurors.

I will ask questions of you, and after I am finished, the attorneys for both parties will ask questions, as well. The purpose of the questions, is not to embarrass you, or to discover any personal details about your lives. It is simply to determine whether you are qualified to sit in this particular case.

Some of you may be excused because you are not qualified to sit as a matter of law. That is called excused for cause.

Others may be excused peremptorily, which means by one of the attorneys, without any cause being given. Being excused is not a reflection on you either as a citizen or a person. It is simply a determination under

1 216 2 the rules by one or more of the parties or 3 by me, that you are not to sit in this 4 particular case. 5 I am now going to hear the reasons that 6 you feel that you cannot sit on this case. 7 I regret the inconvenience. I understand 8 that this is a long trial. 9 However, we are being very realistic in 10 telling you the time frame. We are trying 11 to be as accurate as possible. Please do 12 not seek to avoid jury service because it is inconvenient. I regret the inconvenience. 13 14 If you're not willing to serve, we cannot 15 try cases, and we certainly cannot try the 16 important cases that take more than a few 17 days. 18 If you all feel the same way now, I 19 will hear you on an individual basis. Do I 20 have any further volunteers. 21 (Whereupon the following side bar 22 conference took place outside the hearing of 23 the open courtroom:) 24 THE COURT: Yes? We need your name. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: As to the -- I'm

1	217
2	the only would be working in my household.
3	My wife does not work. She's got a chronic
4	bad back. I do the household work. I do
5	not get paid
6	THE COURT: All right, You're excused.
7	Next.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: M-O-L-Z-O-N. I
9	have my own business with four people. This
10	is going to cause me a lot of harm,
11	THE COURT: What kind of business?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Import customs
13	brokerage. There's only four people in the
1.4	company.
15	THE COURT: Do you have any partners?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I have one.
17	I also have panic attacks.
18	THE COURT: You're excused.
19	Next?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Last name,
21	M-A-R-R-Y. Currently, I'm six months
22	pregnant, carrying twins. My doctors, by
23	seven months, he doesn't want me to work.
24	THE COURT: Good luck. You're excused.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Debbie Grillo.

1 218 2 About two weeks ago, we found out my 3 mother-in-law was operated on for cancer. 4 We have two vacations scheduled -- she lives in Florida -- to visit with her for 5 Thanksgiving and Christmas. And we have a 6 7 cruise scheduled in January. THE COURT: January, you don't have to 9 worry about. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We have already 11 purchased tickets for November 28th through 12 December 3rd. And 18th through -- 21st 13 through 31st of December. THE COURT: We can't shut down for two 14 15 days in December. You're excused. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: S-V-I-R-I-D-A. I 17 teach school and we have parent conferences 18 twice a year. My appointments have been 19 already made. THE COURT: I keep my teachers. I do 20 21 not excuse teachers. You get paid. 22 Unfortunately, the parents will have to be 23 compensated by the fact that this is a wonderful learning experience for you. 24 25 You're not excused.

1	219
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: C-E-T-T-A. I'm
3	leaving on my honeymoon Thursday.
4	THE COURT: Have a wonderful time.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Richter. Your
6	husband treated my son for hemophilia.
7	Steven still has hemophilia. He needs me
8	for his
9	THE COURT: How old is he?
0,	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Twenty-seven.
1	THE COURT: He can't give himself
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, he does. At
3	times, his elbow presents I still have to
4	go with him, very, very often.
5	THE COURT: You know, you would be
6	going home every day at four-thirty.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't be away. I
8	can't leave Marilyn alone with him. It
.9	hasn't changed since he's six months old.
0	THE COURT: Is he well, otherwise?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. He's an
2	attorney, as a matter of fact.
3	THE COURT: I think he would want you
4	to serve.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's a must

1.	220
2	situation.
3	THE COURT: You're excused. Next
4	person.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Two things.
6	THE COURT: Your name?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: G-O-R-D-O-N, I got
8	a prostate problem. I can't sit for maybe
9	two hours, and I have to go to the bathroom.
o	THE COURT: No problem. Next.
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Tomorrow, I'm
2	leaving for Florida, and come back the
.3	beginning of the year.
4	THE COURT: Have a good trip.
.5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Palladino.
.6	P-A-L-L-A D I N O. I have a four year old
.7	daughter at home. It's hard for me to stay
.8	for such a long time. I also have a job.
.9	THE COURT: What kind of a job?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work for a
21	computer consulting firm.
22	THE COURT: Will they pay you while
23	you're here?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. But I don't
25	know how long they'll pay me for.

1 221 2 THE COURT: If you were working, you 3 wouldn't be home with your child, anyway. 4 If they're paying you, you might as well be 5 with us. 6 Not excused. Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: D-U-N-N. I teach 8 theology to high school students in a 9 private high school. They couldn't find a 10 sub for that subject matter for this period 11 of time. 12 THE COURT: I don't excuse my teachers. 13 I have to hold them, because they get paid. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But it's a private 14 15 high school. Theology is the subject. They couldn't find a substitute teacher. 16 17 THE COURT: They'll have to, for a few 18 weeks, do without. You get paid? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. I'm not 19 20 worried about that. 21 THE COURT: Not excused. Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Helen Glenby. I am 22 23 an alcohol counselor. As of November 15th, 24 I'm opening my own facility. I have people 25 in treatment that can't wait that long.

1	222
2	THE COURT: There's nobody else?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm going to be the
4	only counselor.
5	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have three kids.
7	I'm in the middle of divorce. I have nobody
8	to take care of my kids.
9	THE COURT: Excused. Next.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: S-I-C-I-N-S-K-I. I
11	have vacation plans for the week of
12	Thanksgiving.
13	THE COURT: What day?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm leaving the
15	Monday before and I'll be back the Friday
16	after Thanksgiving.
17	THE COURT: Excused. Next.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a single
19	divorced parent
20	THE COURT: You didn't give your name.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Striker. I work at
22	Long Island Lighting Company. I'm in fear
23	of losing my job. There's no way I can make
24	up that work.
25	THE COURT: There's no way LILCO can

1 223 2 fire you for this. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But I would have to 4 work at night to make up all my work, and 5 how would I do it? 6 THE COURT: Wait a minute. LILCO has 7 other employees. They will be able to take care of it. 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right now, I have 10 to work at night for the two days I've been 11 here. 12 THE COURT: What kind of work do you 13 do? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm an internal auditor. 15 16 THE COURT: They don't have anybody 17 else? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. My work is my 19 work. 20 THE COURT: They have to make 21 arrangements for you. The child care is 22 another story. Do you have anyone to stay 23 with them at night. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. That's the 24 other thing. I can't stay overnight. 25

1 224 THE COURT: You're excused. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: P-T. I own a small 4 garden center and landscaping business. 5 am the sole proprietor. I have people working for me on a day-to-day basis. I 6 7 could never put in three or four weeks. My 8 business would fall apart. 9 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: F-I-L-A-R-D-O. My 10 11 husband is scheduled to go in on the 13th, 12 to Sloan Kettering. Depending on the tests, 13 he may have to have an operation. 14 THE COURT: You're excused. I hope he 15 feels better. Next. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Navetta. 17 N-A-V-E-T-T A. It's very hard for me to 18 make decisions. My ex-sister-in-law was 19 convicted of a crime. It would be hard for 20 me to make a decision. 21 THE COURT: Excused. Next. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: C-E-R-A-M-I. I have two elderly parents in their eighties. 23 24 My mother has cancer. I take her for 25 chemotherapy.

225 1 THE COURT: No one else can take her? 2 3 They have no service from the hospital? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 4 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Robert 6 Schneider. I'm retired from the New York City Fire Department. I have a heart disability. I don't think I could stand 9 this many days. I have high blood pressure. 10 THE COURT: You mean, the stress would 11 be too much, physically? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so. 13 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: B-A-S-S-O. Years 15 ago, I was involved in a crime and indicted 16 on it. I don't think I could fairly sit. 17 THE COURT: Excused. Next. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm already 19 nervous. I have already convicted him. 20 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Gillman. 22 G-I-L-L-M-A N. I have a family member who 23 was shot and killed, last year. 24 THE COURT: You couldn't be fair? 25

1	226
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think I
3	could.
4	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have two
6	reasons
7	THE COURT: Can we have your last name.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: M-E-L-L-E-N. I
9	have two reasons I would find it very
0	difficult to serve. One is that I was
1	accosted by a black man when I was in my
2	twenties.
3	I also have a son that we have just
4	gone through criminal charges. He has a
5	Y.O. status. For the past three years,
6	we've been in and out of the criminal court
7	system.
8	THE COURT: You don't think you could
9	be fair?
0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think it's just
1.	too stressful.
2	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Kathryn Walsh. My
4	son is a Nassau County police officer. My
5	husband is a retired

1	227
2	THE COURT: Danny Walsh?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Denny. My son
4	my husband is a retired police detective. I
5	was a victim of a crime, while my wallet
6	was taken in the night and my face was
7	knifed.
8	THE COURT: Being a victim of a crime
9	or related to a police officer, doesn't
10	excuse you. You have to tell me whether you
11	could be fair.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13	THE COURT: Then you're excused. Next.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: D-O-M, G-A-L-A.
15	I'm leaving on the 16th to go out to my
16	daughter in Nevada. I won't be back until
17	March.
18	THE COURT: Have a good trip.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, thank you.
20	THE COURT: Off the record.
21	(Whereupon there was a discussion off
22	the record)
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	(Whereupon the following took place
25	back within the hearing of the open

1 228 2 courtroom:) 3 THE COURT: At this point, I'll ask the clerk to swear all the prospective jurors, 4 5 to answer truthfully. 6 (Whereupon the entire jury panel was 7 sworn) 8 THE COURT: Fill the box. 9 (Whereupon the jury box was filled) 10 THE COURT: All the parties have been 11 identified to you. Do any of you know the 12 participant to this proceeding? 13 Among the witnesses who may be called, 14 are the following -- and I caution you that 15 my mentioning the name imposes no burden on 16 either side to call that person as a 17 witness: Detective Abbondandelo, Homicide 18 Squad. These are all Nassau County Police 19 Department. Detective Robert Dempsey, Homicide Squad. Detective Jerl Mullen, 20 21 Homicide Squad. Detective Peter Donato, 22 Homicide Squad. Police officer Richard 23 Paulik, Freeport Police Department. Police 24 officer Michael P-O-M-O-R-I-C-O, Freeport 25 Police Department. Detective Joseph Marino,

1	229
2	Crime Scene Search Unit, Nassau County.
3	Detective Nicholas Mattia, M-A-T-T-I-A of
4	the Scientific Investigation Bureau.
5	Mr. Michael Herts. He's a retired detective
6	from the First Squad. Detective Brian
7	Parpan, Homicide Squad. Detective frank
8	Allaire, A-L-L-A-I-R-E, First Squad.
9	Detective William Tweedie, First Squad.
10	Detective Edward Heggerty, Freeport Police
11	Department, Mr. William Walsh, Assistant
12	District Attorney, Nassau County District
13	Attorney's Office. Michael DeMartino, MD,
14	Deputy Medical Examiner, Nassau County
15	Medical Examiner's Office. Mr. Christopher
16	M. Jordan, Official Court Reporter.
17	Ms. Isabel Valese. Miss Skwanitra
18	Witherspoon. Mr. Peddie Jenkins.
19	Mr. Tyrone Isaac and Mr. Roy Isaac.
20	Do any of you know the prospective
21	witnesses to this action?
22	I have told you the nature of the
23	charges, the alleged date of occurrence.
24	That's the 20th day of March, 1994. The
25	alleged victim is Steven Jason. It

1 230 2 alleges -- the indictment, alleges the use 3 of a handgun. Does this case mean anything 4 to anyone? Does anyone know anything about it, other than what I have told you? Mrs. Weber, your husband's occupation prior to retirement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was a manager in 8 9 a supermarket. 10 THE COURT: Ms. Landon, tell me about victim of a crime. 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was mugged. 13 THE COURT: How long ago? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 1983 or '84. 15 THE COURT: Anyone apprehended? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 17 THE COURT: Anything in that experience 18 that would affect you in this case? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. 20 THE COURT: I see that you are a 21 registered nurse, yourself. We do have the 22 medical examiner who may be testifying. I 23 want to make sure you won't become the 24 medical expert on the jury. Can you assure 25 me you won't?

1	231
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I assure you.
3	THE COURT: Mrs. Martin, what type of
4	counselor are you?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Psychiatric.
6	THE COURT: Before your divorce, was
7	your husband employed outside the home?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: Is there anything you
10	prefer to discuss privately?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Please approach.
13	(Whereupon the following side bar
14	conference took place outside the hearing of
15	the open courtroom:)
16	THE COURT: Tell us about accused of a
17	crime, convicted of a crime.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was my
19	ex-husband.
20	THE COURT: What was the crime?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Robbery.
22	THE COURT: He was convicted?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Was that in Nassau County?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was in Suffolk,

1	232
2	in 1976.
3	THE COURT: Did he go to jail?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: For how long?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Zip-five.
7	THE COURT: Are you close at all to
8	your ex-husband?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just in contact
0	with the daughter.
1	THE COURT: Do you have any feelings
2	about what happened to him, that would
3	affect your ability to be fair in this case?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: You don't blame the police
6	department or the district attorney?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: Any questions?
9	MR. WALSH: Do you feel he was treated
0	fairly by the police and by whoever
1	prosecuted him?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think I'm lucky.
3	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No questions.
4	(Whereupon the following took place
5	back within the hearing of the open

3	
1	233
2	courtroom:)
3	THE COURT: Mrs. Benz, your occupation
4	prior to retirement?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A receptionist for
6	a legal firm.
7	THE COURT: Did they practice in the
8	field of criminal law?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Some. Well, they
o	were of counsel to us. It wasn't actually
1	our firm.
2	THE COURT: Anything that you may have
3	learned in the course of your occupation
4	that would carry over to this case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would say so.
6	THE COURT: Let me be more specific.
7	Will you be able to take the law as I give
8	it to you, and put aside any legal matters,
9	or any law you might have learned in your
0	job?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I would.
2	THE COURT: Your husband's occupation
3	prior to retirement?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Hardware buyer.
5	THE COURT: Is there anything you

1	234
2	prefer to discuss privately?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Please approach.
5	(Whereupon the following side bar
6	conference took place outside the hearing of
7.	the open courtroom:)
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was a son-in-law
9	who was convicted of a felony, and served a
.0	prison term.
1	THE COURT: What felony?
.2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Snatched a purse.
.3	THE COURT: Was anyone hurt?
.4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: There was some
.5	minor injury; nothing serious.
.6	THE COURT: Was that here in Nassau
7	County?
.8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
.9	THE COURT: What was the result of
0	that? Was there a trial?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was a plea
2	bargain,
3	THE COURT: Did he go to jail?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Anything in that, that

235 1 would carry over to this case? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just came and I 3 volunteered only -- I came to you, because my husband was on a jury duty service and 5 was disqualified because of that, by one of 6 7 the attorneys. THE COURT: Well, I don't know what's going to happen as far as the attorneys. 9 Let's talk about whether you can be fair. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I volunteered. I 11 hope to be. I'm not certain, in all 12 13 aspects. THE COURT: What aspects are you not 14 certain? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm concerned 16 whether anything that happened to this boy 17 might reflect on my feelings. I don't know 18 yet, until I hear the case. 19 THE COURT: Once you hear the case, 20 it's too late. You have to tell us now if 21 you're going to be affected by the fact that 22 you had a son who was in trouble and was 23 prosecuted and went to jail. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I better not serve. 25

1	
1	236
2	THE COURT: You're excused.
3	(Whereupon the following took place
4	back within the hearing of the open
5	courtroom:)
6	THE COURT: Ms. Schlissel, what was
7	your husband's occupation prior to
8	retirement?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was with Time
.0	Warner Corporation.
1	THE COURT: Is there anything that you
2	prefer to discuss privately?
.3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	(Whereupon the following side bar
.5	conference took place outside the hearing of
.6	the open courtroom:)
17	THE COURT: Tell us about convicted of
18	a crime.
L9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a brother
20	who was in prison for seven years for drug
21	charges.
22	THE COURT: Was that here in Nassau
23	County?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Do you have any feelings

1 237 2 about the Police Department, or the district 3 attorney's office, from that experience? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 5 THE COURT: Do you think he was fairly 6 treated? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 8 THE COURT: You may hear some testimony 9 that the victim, the person who is dead in 10 this case, might have been involved in 11 dealings drugs, using drugs, whatever. I haven't heard the evidence. Would that, in 12 13 any way, affect your ability to be fair? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. 15 THE COURT: You understand we're here 16 to just try the facts of this case? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Walsh? 19 MR. WALSH: Nothing. 20 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No. 21 (The following took place back within the hearing of the open courtroom:) 22 23 THE COURT: Before I have my court 24 reporter go back, Mr. Dunne, is there 25 anything you prefer to discuss privately?

1	238
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3	THE COURT: Tell me about convicted of
4	a crime.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My best friend was
6	convicted of marijuana possession with
7	intent to sell.
8	THE COURT: How long ago?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Early Eighties.
10	THE COURT: Was that here in Nassau
LI	County?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Morristown,
13	New Jersey.
14	THE COURT: After the conviction, do
1.5	you remember the sentence?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was time served,
17	which was probably something like ninety
.8	days, plus probation.
.9	THE COURT: Anything that would carry
20	over to this case from what happened to your
11	friend.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: You won't hold it against
4	the Police Department or the District
15	Attorney of this county for what happened.

239 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 2 THE COURT: You feel your friend was 3 fairly treated. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Tell me about law 6 7 enforcement. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My father is 8 retired from the New York City Police 9 Department, twenty years. Additional ten 10 years as bailiff. 11 THE COURT: I tell you, as I do all my 12 prospective jurors, the police officers are 13 human beings. You don't decide to believe 14 or disbelieve anyone because of their 15 occupation, before you even listen. You 16 listen, you keep an open mind and you use 17 your common sense. Do you have any problem 18 with that? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Using my common 20 sense? No. 21 THE COURT: What about giving a police 22 officer any greater believability or lesser 23 believability than anyone else, without even 24 listening. 25

240 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I think I 3 would treat them fairly. THE COURT: You have to treat them the 4 same, no matter what the person's occupation 5 was, be it a priest, a fireman, a judge. 6 7 You listen, use your common sense, as you said. 8 Mrs. Palladino, you told us about your 9 10 occupation previously. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 11 THE COURT: I see your husband is a 12 member of the New York Police Department. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. 14 THE COURT: Can you fairly and 15 partially sit in a case where we have 16 numerous witnesses who will be testifying 17 who are members of law enforcement. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can be objective. 19 THE COURT: Can you judge a police 20 officer the same as anyone else who takes 21 the stand. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Any other law enforcement 24 people, in addition to your husband. 25

241 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 2 3 THE COURT: You also came up, ma'am, to tell us you were teaching. I forgot what grade it was. 5 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: First grade. THE COURT: You sat in a civil case. 7 It's very different, you can see already. There are different burdens of proof. I 9 want to make sure you can put aside anything 10 you learned in that civil case. Tell me 11 about law enforcement people. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My husband was a 13 case worker for probation and parole for one 14 15 year. THE COURT: Did he ever discuss any of 16 his cases with you. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I suppose he 18 probably did. 19 THE COURT: Anything in the discussions 20 or the relationship with your husband, that 21 would carry over to this case. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 23 THE COURT: You could be fair and 24 impartial. 25

1 242 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: Do any of you have any 3 business pending before the district 4 attorney's office or the Police Department? 5 As jurors, your verdict must be 6 unanimous. Twelve jurors seldom agree 7 immediately. You will therefore be called 8 upon to deliberate. 9 Can everyone on the jury promise the 10 parties that at the time deliberations 11 begin, you will participate in those 12 deliberations and express your views? In 13 other words, are you all willing to 14 participate? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't get a 16 chance to respond to what you said earlier. 17 I do have a civil case pending. 18 THE COURT: I'm interested in cases 19 pending before the district attorney's 20 office or the Police Department; which would 21 be criminal cases. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 23 THE COURT: Do any of you know of any 24 reason you can't fairly and impartially sit 25

in this case?

My second series of questions concerns
your willingness to follow my instructions
on the law. In order to be jurors in the
case, you do not have to know anything about
the law. It is my function to explain the
law to you. It is your function to
determine the facts and apply the law to
those facts; thereby rendering a fair and
just verdict.

If you are selected as jurors, I will explain the law in detail to you at the end of the case. But it is important to know, at this stage, that you will follow the law as I give it to you. I will therefore, describe, a few basic principles to make sure you ask follow them. Every person accused of a crime is presumed innocent. That is, he stands innocent in the eyes of the law. The People must rebut this presumption, if they can, by the presentation of evidence which convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt.

In a criminal case, the burden of proof is on the People and remains on the People throughout the trial. The defendant is not required to prove or disprove anything. In fact, if he so desired, Mr. Brettschneider could sit there silently throughout the trial.

Can you all accept the presumption of innocence?

I will explain to you at the close of the case exactly what reasonable doubt means. You will be required to acquit if, at the end of the case, because of the evidence or the lack of evidence presented to you, you have a reasonable doubt as to guilt. Can you all accept the standard of proof in all criminals cases: Beyond a reasonable doubt? Everyone accepts that.

Is there anyone who would hold the People to a higher standard of proof than that required by law?

Your job in this case will end when you determine whether or not the defendant has been proven guilty. If the defendant is to

1 245 2 be punished, punishment is a job for the 3 Court exclusively. The jury will have no 4 role to play. You are not permitted to 5 consider the possibility of punishment in 6 your deliberations. You may not include any 7 recommendation as to sentence in your 8 verdict. Can you all do that? 9 Under our system of law, the defendant 10 is not obligated to take the witness stand 11 or call any witnesses, or explain his 12 actions, in any way. You must not draw any 13 inference unfavorable to the defendant from 14 this fact. Are there any of you who will or 15 might allow the fact that the defendant may 16 not testify to influence you in your deliberations. 17 18 It is not essential that you agree with 19 or even like these principles of law. You 20 must be willing to follow the law as I give 21 it to you. Can you do that? 22 Do any of you have any feelings about 23 the police that would lead you to give a 24 police officers' testimony any greater or

lesser weight than anyone else's, without

25

even listening.

In this case, after we select our twelve jurors and three alternates, we will proceed to the trial. I ask you to continue to participate in this process of jury selection in accordance with the terms and spirit of the oath which you have all taken. Those of you who will be selected, must be prepared to sit on the case for as long as the trial may last; and until a verdict is rendered.

Let me tell you, also, another things about our schedule, which might help a little bit. While I am trying this case, I am trying to juggle approximately one hundred fifty other cases, as well. I usually do that in the early morning. Except for the day you deliberate, you won't have to be here until eleven o'clock in the morning. We take lunch between the hours of twelve-thirty and two. I will try to have you out of the courtroom between four-thirty and quarter of five, every day.

Also, this is a criminal trial, ladies

247 1 and gentlemen. During the final 2 3 deliberations, you will be sequestered at all times. This means, that should the jury 4 continue to deliberate for more than one 5 day, our law requires that hotel 6 7 accommodations be made available, and the jury sequestered each night before resuming 8 9 the deliberations on each following day. Mr. Walsh? 10 MR. WALSH: Yes. 11 As Judge Boklan told you, my name is 12 Michael Walsh, I'm an assistant district 13 attorney here in Nassau County. My 14 responsibility is to present the evidence to 15 you during the course of this trial. 16 The most important thing that I can say 17 to you, the most important thing that I can 18 ask of you right now, is that there are no 19 right or wrong answers to questions that we 20 ask you. Whether I'm asking you questions, 21 or Mr. Brettschneider, Judge Boklan. The 22 only good answer that you can give us is the 23 most honest and candid one you possibly can. 24 A lot of people feel that this process 25

is the most important part of a trial.

Without getting twelve jurors who can be fair and impartial to each side, the rest of the trial essentially becomes a waste of time. The system depends on the twelve people who can truly give both sides a fair trial.

What I would ask you to do is, sometimes there's a temptation on the part of people who are sitting where you are, to perhaps give us what you think the right answer might be. Or maybe the answer that you think we might want to hear. I'm asking you not to do that.

Give us the most honest and candid answer you possibly can. Whether or not it's what you think we want to hear. That's the only way we can get a fair and impartial jury to both sides.

Everybody heard what the charges are.

Judge Boklan told you, they were murder in the second degree, intimidating a witness in the first degree, hindering prosecution in the second degree.

jerri krevoff, csr, rpr

249 1 Without hearing anything else, without more, is there anything about the nature of 3 those charges that would cause any of you to 4 feel that you couldn't be fair and 5 6 impartial? We had a juror -- again, we have gone 7 through a number of rounds of jury selection. One of the prospective jurors 9 yesterday, when I got into that question, 10 said something like, I don't know that I can 11 handle the responsibility in a case like 12 this, of either finding somebody guilty or 13 not quilty. Does anybody share those 14 feelings? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's not a judgment 16 that we're making or an opinion? 17 MR. WALSH: What you're asked to do is, 18 essentially this: You listen to the 19 evidence, you listen to witnesses testify, 20 21 or anything you might see. Based upon that, you determine whether 22 or not I have proven to you beyond a 23 reasonable doubt, that Joseph Jackson is 24 quilty of the crimes charged in the 25

1 250

indictment. That's essentially what it involves.

Your verdict has to be based upon the evidence; and nothing else. That's the reason I asked this question. It's very easy for people, when they're sitting in their living room, talking to their family or friends, to talk about how nice it would be to be on a jury. Once you step into the courtroom and Judge Boklan reads an indictment that says, murder in the second degree, reality kind of sets in.

Assume you're chosen as a juror. You hear all the evidence. After the evidence, just assume for the purpose of my questioning, you're convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that Joseph Jackson is guilty of the crimes charged in the indictment. You go back in the jury room. All of you -- you and all your fellow jurors -- come to that conclusion. Can you walk back out in this courtroom, stand up and look at the defendant, and find him guilty of murder in

251 1 the second degree? 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would have a hard 4 time rendering such an opinion. Maybe it's just me inside having a hard time. I don't 5 want to be judgmental, because everything is 6 based on the evidence. It has to come from 7 the information. I think it's just me. I don't want to make a judgment. I don't feel 9 I have that right to make judgment. If it's 10 an opinion, I feel I have an entitlement. 11 Do you understand that? 12 MR. WALSH: Only sort of. I'm having a 13 hard time drawing a distinction between what 14 you mean. 15 THE COURT: Can you make a decision? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's a better 17 word. 18 THE COURT: Can you make a decision on 19 whether someone is guilty or not guilty, 20 based on the evidence? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: As long as -- I'm 22 talking for me. I could separate judgment 23 from opinion. I know what I'm saying 24 inside. I'm not explaining it right. To 25

252 1 make a decision based upon the information, 2 it's enough here to go on. That's why we 3 make a decision. 4 MR. WALSH: The reason I asked that 5 last question, is because what I usually 6 7 follow up with, is, whatever your verdict is, whether guilty or not guilty, I want to 8 make sure that that verdict is based upon 9 the evidence; and nothing else. That's what 10 I'm concerned with. Do you think you can do 11 that? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have no problem 13 with that. 14 THE COURT: How about you, 15 Ms. Landetta? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 17 MR. WALSH: Based upon the evidence 18 that you have. Let me ask you the question 19 again. If I prove my case to you, if you 20 listen to the evidence, beyond a reasonable 21 doubt, do you have any problem walking into 22 the courtroom, looking at the defendant and 23 finding him guilty of second degree murder? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 25

253 1 2 MR. WALSH: If I don't prove any case, 3 you can find him not guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's right. 4 5 MR. WALSH: Anybody else feel any differently? After listening to what I 6 7 said, and some of your fellow prospective jurors said, does anybody feel they would 9 have a difficult time with this case? Judge Boklan read to you a list of 10 witnesses; potential witnesses you might 11 hear during the course of the case. 12 Probably over half of those witnesses are 13 police officers. If you are chosen to sit 14 on this case, you are going to hear 15 testimony from police officers. I think 16 that the most important question really, 17 essential question, when you're talking 18 about evaluating testimony of police 19 officers, is whether or not any of you 20 believe that a police officer is any more 21 likely or any less likely to tell the truth 22 on the witness stand just by virtue of the 23 fact that they're a police officer. That's 24 really the question. Does anybody have any 25

254 1 feelings one way or the other about that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think they're no 3 different than anybody else. People are 4 people. 5 MR. WALSH: Great. They're human 6 beings. They're subject to the same 7 frailties as you are. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. They bleed 9 the same way. 10 MR. WALSH: Because a police officer 11 wears a uniform and badge, do you feel you 12 would tend to believe what that person says, 13 more than anybody else; all other things 14 being equal. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I agree with her. 17 MR. WALSH: Does anybody disagree? Or 18 does anybody feel they would TEND to believe 19 what a police officer said any more or any 20 21 less than anyone else? Ms. Paladino, does it matter to you 22 whether the victim was black or white? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 24 MR. WALSH: Does it matter to you 25

255 1 whether the victim is young or old? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 3 MR. WALSH: Does it matter whether the 4 victim is male or female. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Regardless, 6 7 it's a shame. MR. WALSH: You wouldn't take this case 9 any more or less serious based upon anything that was just mentioned. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 11 MR. WALSH: What if you found out, 12 during the course of the trial, that the 13 victim, the individual who was killed, sold 14 drugs during the course of his life? What 15 would you think of the case. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would have to see 17 the circumstances, in order to make an 18 opinion. 19 MR. WALSH: First of all, it's not 20 21 something you approve of. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. 22 MR. WALSH: Is it something that would 23 make you take this case any less seriously 24 than you otherwise would? 25

1 256 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Serving as a 2 3 juror is a serious service, to be taken with 4 importance. 5 MR. WALSH: My concern is -- let me go back. I spoke about, basically, the job of 6 a jury determining what happened. Based on 7 the evidence, determine whether or not the 8 defendant committed the crime with which he 9 was charged. Who the victim was, whether or 10 not you like what you hear about him, 11 whether or not you approve of what his 12 lifestyle was, has nothing to do with 13 whether or not this defendant committed the 14 crime that he's charged with. Right? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. 16 MR. WALSH: I'm concerned that if you 17 hear things you don't like about the victim 18 19 in this case, that it would cause you or anybody else to take the case less seriously 20 than you otherwise would. 21 Can everybody separate those two 22 things? Does anybody feel differently? 23 Again, at the beginning, I said, please be 24 25 honest.

1 257

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think I would be more empathetic toward the defendant if the victim were a criminal, himself. I really do.

MR. WALSH: Thank you, Again, I said at the outset, it may not be what everybody considers the right answer. If that's the way you feel, we need to know that. Does anybody feel the same way? I would just ask, before I sit down, there are a couple of you who came up to the Bench earlier.

You expressed reservations. My concern, and I would think everybody's concern here, is that we have twelve jurors who are not only fair and impartial, but who are going to be giving us their full attention and giving the case the full attention; should you be chosen as a juror.

Is there anybody who's going to have a problem with that? Specifically, Mr. Dunne, you were at the Bench before. Do we have anything to be concerned about, as far as that's concerned; your being here but not being here?

258 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. If I'm chosen, 3 I will fill it one hundred -- I will devote one hundred percent to the case. 4 5 MR. WALSH: Ms. Paladino, how about yourself? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's just that I 7 have a four year old daughter, and if she 8 should get sick, I would have to stay home 9 and watch her. I don't know how that 10 affects the jury. I can't promise that 11 she's not going to get sick. 12 THE COURT: We can never promise that 13 with a four year old. There is no other day 14 care available? I know you mentioned, you 15 do work. 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a babysitter. Usually, when she is sick, I do 18 take off from work. I don't leave her with 19 my sitter. That's my only concern. 20 THE COURT: If she did get sick, for 21 example, you were deliberating, or going 22 into deliberations, you realize you couldn't 23 go home. Certainly, with any jury, if there 24 were an emergency during the course of a 25

259 1 trial, we would try to accommodate you. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could probably 3 work things out with my husband. I always 4 like knowing what's going on with her. If 5 she got sick, how would that affect it? 6 THE COURT: It would. Certainly, if 7 she had a cold, a nose cold, you would still have to come in and serve on the jury. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: All right. 10 THE COURT: If there was something, God 11 forbid, serious --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, no. God 13 forbid. I would make arrangements. 14 MR. WALSH: If you're chosen to be 15 here, you'll be with us. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: With my undivided 17 attention. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: May I ask a 19 question? Your Honor, does the Court have, 20 you know, the overrule with our jobs, that 21 we can't lose our jobs if we remain on here? 22 THE COURT: The legislature has passed 23 laws that you can't lose your job. However, 24 we can't force anyone to pay you. They 25

1 260 2 can't punish you for being on jury duty. If 3 you felt you were being punished for that, I 4 guess you would go to the Commissioner of 5 Jurors. That is against the law. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But if, right now, 7 they pay us for jury duty, is there a 8 certain time that, you know, that they only 9 pay us up to? 10 THE COURT: They don't have to pay you 11 for very long. They certainly wouldn't pay 12 you are to five weeks. For instance, LILCO, 13 school districts, they all pay. If they 14 don't pay you, would that be a hardship? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. Because 16 that's my source of income, 17 THE COURT: What type of organization 18 do you he work for? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Long Island Jewish. 20 THE COURT: I'll allow you to make a 21 phone call. We'll continue with the jury 22 selection. I'm going to have my law 23 secretary from inside, call the Long Island Jewish Hospital, Hillside Division and find 24 25 out what their policy is.

1 261 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could give you 3 the number. It will be a lot easier to ask 4 them directly. I would appreciate it. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I might have a 6 problem with that, also. I don't know how 7 long they pay me for. 8 THE COURT: We'll have to let you make 9 a call, or call on your behalf. Do you have 10 a preference? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can call. THE COURT: We'll continue. Then 12 13 before we make the final determination, 14 we'll let you go out an make a telephone call. In the meantime, let's go through the 15 16 questions. 17 MR. WALSH: Do you have any reason you 18 feel you couldn't be fair and impartial? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 20 MR. WALSH: Anything that I said or 21 Judge Boklan said, bother you? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 23 MR. WALSH: Cause you any problem. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 25 MR. WALSH: Basically, I prove my case

1 262 to you beyond a reasonable doubt, you walk 3 out here and you find him guilty. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. If it's 5 proven beyond a reasonable doubt. 6 MR. WALSH: If I don't, you find him 7 not guilty. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's right. 9 MR. WALSH: Whatever your verdict is, 10 it's based on the evidence. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The evidence only. 12 MR. WALSH: Is there anything that I 13 haven't covered, before I sit down, any 14 reason that any of you feel you would have difficulty being fair and impartial? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The only thing I 17 wish was covered now and not later, would be 18 the definition of reasonable doubt, since 19 that is such a major thing we would have to 20 hear and agree to. 21 THE COURT: It is. It's complicated. 22 I will explain it at the end of the case, 23 where it makes more sense to you, as well. 24 All you have to know, at this point is, to 25 the best of your ability, will you follow

1 263 2 the law as I give it to you, and the 3 explanation that I give you. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess I'm going 5 to have to wait to hear it. 6 MR. WALSH: You definitely will have to 7 wait to hear it. You seem troubled by that. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. Because it's 9 such a vaque term. Not to know what the 10 definition is, and if I could commit to 11 agreeing to it is troublesome; yes. 12 THE COURT: Well, you have to agree to 13 whatever I say, whether you agree with it or 14 you disagree with it. So that, basically, 15 whatever the definition is, you have to be 16 willing at this time to following the law. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Judge, as you well 18 know, there are parameters to definitions 19 and some interpretation, I'm sure. 20 THE COURT: There's a lot of interpretation, to what constitutes beyond a 21 22 reasonable doubt. I will explain that law 23 to you. Then you have to get into the jury 24 room and determine whether you feel that 25 that standard has been reached. I don't --

264
it's not like a philosophical or theological

it's not like a philosophical or theological question, where it's a play on words.

I tell you this is the standard. Then you get into the jury room; and you have to make sure that all of you are in agreement that that standard has been reached. If I give you a bottom line sentence now, it won't be -- it will be out of context with the entire charge. It doesn't make as much sense as it does at the end.

MR. WALSH: What we have to try to get, if we possibly can -- and the answer might be that you can't give us any such assurances -- what we have to try to get is, your assurance that you will follow the law as Judge Boklan instructs you that it is. That's even if you don't agree with it. If the Judge tells you at the end of the case, it's against the law to stand with your left hand in your pocket, that may seem ridiculous to you. But your obligation is to follow the law as the Judge gives it to you; whether you agree with it, or whether you like it or not. Whatever that law is,

265 1 whatever definition she gives you. Do you 2 3 think you can do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. Just as long 4 as we admit that when each person, there's 5 some latitude with their interpretation of 6 what the definition means. 7 MR. WALSH: One person could find that 8 something is proven to them beyond a 9 reasonable doubt. Somebody else might feel 10 it's not. 11 THE COURT: You're out of time. 12 MR. WALSH: We have your assurance that 13 whatever the law is that the Judge gives to you, you will do your best to follow it. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Brettschneider? 17 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes. One of the 18 things that obviously has to be discussed 19 with anyone who is a prospective juror is 20 the nature of the case and whether this case 21 is right for them. And whether a case is 22 right for us, is based upon your common 23 sense and life experience. I don't know 24 what's gone on in your life, which would 25

266 1 either make you fair or unfair as 2 prospective jurors. 3 Now, Mr. Dunne, I just want to continue 4 on with what was discussed with Mr. Walsh. 5 It's not in the sense of putting you on the 6 7 spot. Basically, one of the things that any 8 attorney would want to know is, can a juror 9 follow the law. Certainly, as a teacher of 10 theology, you know different things that --11 you know, with regard to bigger questions 12 than some of the things that we settle here 13 in a Court of law. Of course, this is an 14 extremely serious case. There may be things 15 that you may feel as though you couldn't 16 follow the law. 17 What the Judge is going to explain with 18 regard to reasonable doubt, I'm not going to 19 tell you what reasonable doubt is, is that 20 there are certain things that are reasonable 21 doubt, and certain things that are not. 22 If you felt, based on your own common 23 sense and your life experience, that what 24 the Judge was telling you, you could not 25

267 1 2 agree with, would you do something other 3 than what the law was. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If, during the 4 explanation, there was a serious 5 disagreement that I could not fulfill any 6 obligation, and take the instruction of the 7 Judge, serious disagreement? 8 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Because basically, 9 when you swear an oath as a juror, you're 10 saying I can follow the law. At this point, 11 since you don't know the law, would you have 12 a problem sitting as a juror. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, one of the 14 reasons I raised the issue is so that we 15 could clarify that. So I could say for 16 sure, I could follow the law. Without 17 18 having heard that, I don't know. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Let me give you an 19 example. If the defendant did not choose to 20 take the witness stand and you didn't hear 21 from him, would that create a problem in 22 your mind as to whether you could find him 23 not guilty. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 25

268 1 What if there were 2 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: 3 no witnesses on his behalf. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 4 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: What if there was 5 evidence which would tend for you to believe 6 that someone other than the defendant 7 committed this crime? Could you find him 8 9 not guilty. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 10 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Now, one of the 11 things that was discussed is that you're a 12 teacher. There were concerns about, you 13 know, teaching your students. The other 14 question I think Mr. Walsh touched on, 15 briefly is, somewhere in the middle of 16 December you get a call from people at your 17 school, and they say, listen, you know. 18 Parents are calling. They're unhappy. 19 Where's Dunne. He's sitting on a jury in 20 Mineola. Well, that's not good enough. 21 Somebody calls you and says, hey, when are 22 you coming back. Will that distract you to 23 the point that you couldn't sit as an 24 effective juror. 25

1 269 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I don't think 3 that would. 4 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: In this case, you 5 may not hear from Joseph Jackson. The fact 6 that he sits at the defense table and he's 7 accused of murder, does that say something 8 to you? Or do you -- I mean, from the 9 time -- you've heard the term, presumed innocent. The law says he's presumed 10 11 innocent. The fact is, he's sitting over there. You've heard he's accused of an 12 13 extremely serious crime. Could you put that 14 aside. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. 16 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Would I take into consideration that he's still innocent until 18 19 proven guilty? 20 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely. 22 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: The fact is that 23 you've heard that Joseph Jackson doesn't 24 have to testify. Nobody has to testify in 25 his behalf. Yet, all along, you always

hear -- there's always two sides of a story.

The Court says, doesn't have to be two
sides. Agree or disagree with that
proposition, that you don't have to hear two
sides of a story this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would like to
hear his side. I would probably say to
myself, well, if he's innocent, why not tell
the Court his side of the story.

MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Let me propose
this to you: Let's say witness number one
gets on the witness stand. The district
attorney goes first, because he's proving
his case. Witness number one gets on the
stand. Using your common sense, your life
experience, you say witness number one is
not telling the truth.

Witness number two gets on the stand.

Again, you don't believe witness number two.

Witness number three gets on the stand and tells a contradictory story as to witness number one and witness number two. The district attorney stands up and says, I rest. He says no more witnesses.

1 271 2 I get up, I say, no witnesses. 3 The Judge instructs you on the law and asks you to deliberate and come up with a 4 5 verdict. Based upon the fact that you've heard three witnesses, none of whom you 6 7 believe, that's the evidence that's 8 presented to you. 9 You haven't heard from Mr. Jackson; you 10 haven't heard from anyone on his behalf. 11 Could you find him not guilty. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I would find 13 him not guilty. 14 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Same question. 15 You don't hear from Mr. Jackson, but you 16 don't believe any of the witnesses. But 17 there is somewhere a sense that says, boy, 18 there must be situations in which it's 19 impossible to prove innocence. That's why 20 the terms are not guilty and quilty. Bottom 21 line is, do you need to hear two sides of 22 the story in this case? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If I don't get two 24 sides, I have to go with what I have. 25 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: The law says I'm

272 1 not obligated to provide you with a second 2 side of the story. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No problem. 4 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: You're a nurse. 5 I'm sure you prepare a lot of paperwork in 6 your field. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Is accuracy 9 10 important. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Very important. 11 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: There may be 12 witnesses in this case who prepared reports. 13 And certainly, in a case as important as 14 this -- there's no hiding what the 15 consequences are in a case such as this. 16 I'm not asking you to hold anybody to a 17 higher standard. If someone's paperwork is, 18 to term it, sloppy, or inadequate, in your 19 opinion, would you trust that person's 20 reliability as to what they testified to, 21 based on the fact that their paperwork 22 wasn't correct. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It depends on how 24 uncorrect it was. 25

273 1 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Let's say someone 2 gets on the stand and testifies to a certain 3 arrest date. You find out on his paperwork, 4 he has a date two months different from what 5 6 he testified to. Would you question his 7 reliability. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I might. 8 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Let me ask you, as 9 far as, here's a situation in which you are 10 sitting in judgment on a murder case. There 11 may be graphic pictures. There may be 12 things you're going to hear. The fact that 13 you see a person who has died. I mean, 14 there's a natural inclination to feel sorry. 15 The fact that there is a victim in this 16 case, will that sympathy somehow interfere 17 with your ability to be fair in making an 18 evaluation as to the evidence in this case? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No; I don't think 20 21 SO. THE COURT: Before you get to 22 Ms. Paladino, I have the answer for her. 23 don't have a definitive answer for you, 24 Mrs. Martin. 25

274 1 Mrs. Palladino, your people will pay 2 for only two weeks. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That would be a 4 5 problem. THE COURT: That would be a hardship 6 7 for you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 8 THE COURT: You're excused. 9 Mrs. Martin, Long Island Jewish thinks 10 it's three weeks, but they're checking it. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If it's three 12 weeks, I'm going to have a problem, because 13 that's what I live off. 14 THE COURT: I'm sorry, counsel. 15 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: That's all right. 16 One of the things we were talking 17 about, sympathy -- there was a situation in 18 which somebody died, no matter who that 19 person was, there is generally a feeling of 20 sympathy. Will that interfere with your 21 ability to give Mr. Jackson a fair trial? 22 Put aside the fact that someone died in this 23 situation, or -- can you put that aside and 24 give him a fair trial. 25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could give him a fair trial, according to the evidence. If it was proven to me that he was guilty, I would then render a verdict of guilty. If it was proved he was innocent, I would render a verdict of innocent.

MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: There may be police officers who are going to testify in this case. For example, let's say that that police officer got on the stand and he testified that he received a confession or a statement from a witness in this case. The fact that he's a police officer, does that make it so.

prospective juron: No. I don't think it would make it so. He's human. He could be telling us that this is what happened, and it really didn't. I would take it into consideration. He's a human being.

MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Same question.

The fact that a police officer gets on the stand and says, listen, I got a statement from this person. This person said X, Y and Z. Does that make it so, that X, Y and Z

1 276 2 was said by that person. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If I believe he was 4 telling the truth. 5 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: What if you didn't 6 believe he was telling the truth. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Then I wouldn't 8 believe him. 9 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: The fact is, would 10 you give a police officer some sort of higher standing; in the sense that, do you 11 believe that a police officer has no motive 12 13 to lie. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 15 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Have there been 16 times on your own job, in which you question 17 somebody as far as maybe not understanding 18 why they didn't do something, or they may 19 not have told the truth. You can't figure 20 out their motive as to why they may not be 21 telling the truth. There may be an 22 indication where you may feel, based on your 23 common sense, your life experience, somebody 24 is not telling the truth on the witness 25 stand. Would you use what you have learned

277 1 at work, and within your personal life, in 2 making that determination. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so. Yes. 4 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: One of the things 5 that is different probably than judgments we 6 make in our own personal life, is that we 7 know people. 8 THE COURT: Before you continue with 9 Mrs. Martin, unfortunately, we just found 10 out, she works part-time only. They won't 11 pay, at all. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's news. When 13 I was here, they said they would pay me for 14 jury duty. 15 THE COURT: They just checked it and 16 called back. You say you need that money to 17 18 live on. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 19 THE COURT: I'm going to excuse you. I 20 can't take away your salary for five weeks. 21 I'm sorry. 22 Mr. Dunne, what are we checking for 23 you? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: To see if I get 25

1 278 2 paid. 3 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Mr. Dunne, while 4 you're here, a lot of times, what I was 5 saying to Ms. Martin is, we know people. We 6 know whether to trust their word or not. 7 When you meet a person for the first time, 8 you're going to be meeting witnesses for the 9 first time, and you're going to have to 10 trust whether they're telling the truth. What are the things you look for in 11 12 whether a person is telling the truth or 13 not. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would look for 15 consistency in what they're saying. See if 16 it makes sense. See if it's reasonable. 17 See if it's prefabricated, kind of coached 18 kind of testimony. Hopefully, with 19 questioning, it would give me an overview of 20 how they respond to things they not be 21 prepared for. If they respond quickly and 22 honestly. 23 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Same question. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I like people who 25 look at you when you talk to them.

1 279 2 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: If you have 3 somebody who gets on the stand, you find out 4 that they've been in trouble from the time 5 they were a teen-ager to the present, how 6 would you feel about that type of person? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would have to sit 8 and really search my soul to listen to a 9 person like that. I would have my doubts. 10 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Same question. Somebody has been in trouble from the time 11 12 they have been a teenager to the minute they 13 walked on that witness stand. How do you 14 look at that person in regard to 15 credibility, as to what that person is telling you. 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm going to have 18 some difficulties with it. I might have 19 some difficulty believing them. It would 20 depend on what they say. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: How about you. 21 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would have a hard 23 time believing that person. 24 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I have nothing 25 further.

1	280
2	THE COURT: Counsel, when you're read,
3.	approach.
4	(Whereupon the following side bar
5	conference took place outside the hearing of
6	the jury:)
7	THE COURT: I'm missing my clerk.
8	Counselor, we have ten jurors. So
9	we'll do the first two first. Challenges
10	for cause?
11	MR. WALSH: No.
12	THE COURT: Defendant?
13	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No.
14	THE COURT: Peremptory, People?
15	MR. WALSH: Yes. Number one.
16	THE COURT: Defendant?
L7	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes.
18	THE COURT: The next two. That's seats
19	five and six. Challenges for cause?
20	MR. WALSH: I'm going to challenge both
21	of them for cause. Taking number five
22	first, the last thing she said was, she
23	would have to search her soul to listen to a
24	person who has been in trouble before.
25	That's much different than what Ms. Weber

ī	281
2	said, she would have trouble believing
3	someone. She said she would have to search
4	her soul.
5	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I consent.
6	THE COURT: All right. How about
7	Mr. Dunne?
8	MR. WALSH: I want to make sure I heard
9	this right.
10	THE COURT: He said if there was a
11	serious disagreement, he could not follow my
12	instructions on the law.
13	MR. WALSH: I challenge him.
14	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I consent.
15	THE COURT: All right. We're up to
16	seat number eight.
17	MR. WALSH: Yes. I challenge for
18	cause. If the individual was involved in
19	selling drugs, she said she would take the
2.0	case less seriously.
21	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: She did I would
22	consent.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	(Whereupon the following took place
25	back within the hearing of the open

1 282 2 courtroom) 3 THE CLERK: All jurors in the box, 4 please step down. You have been excused with the thanks of the Court. 5 6 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, we are 7 now adjourned until Tuesday. I would prefer 8 to do it as you initially suggested, 9 Mr. Brettschneider, to find out right away 10 how many were willing to stay with us. 11 Because even though we have some additional 12 people during the questioning, of course, 13 later on, we had to let them go, in any 14 event. Do you suggest I try to get another 15 16 seventy-five or one hundred? You're down to -- this is not the official count. The 17 18 People have used, I think, sixteen 19 challenges. The defendant has used 20 eighteen. With that in mind, we'll try to 21 get one hundred. MR. WALSH: Why not. It can't hurt. 22 23 THE COURT: Once we start with the alternates, you have of course, two 24 25 challenges per seat.

1 283 2 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Your Honor, just 3 some business with regard to Rosario material. I don't know if Mr. Walsh has the 4 5 rest of my Rosario material, or whether he 6 wants to put it on the record. 7 There's one other issue I want to bring up, which I was alerted to yesterday. It 8 9 regards one of Mr. Walsh's witnesses. 10 don't know whether Mr. Walsh want to address 11 it today or on Tuesday. 12 I have information that Peddie Jenkins, 13 one of the witnesses who will testify 14 against Mr. Jackson, is an eyewitness. I 15 was informed by members of the family --16 because Mr. Jenkins is a member of 17 Mr. Jackson's family -- that during the time 18 he has been incarcerated, he tried to commit 19 suicide by lighting himself on fire. 20 Additionally, he has been treated by 21 either a psychiatrist or a psychologist 22 while he's been incarcerated. I would like to find out from the 23 24 prosecution whether that information is 25 correct. I would also like to have any

284 1 psychiatric records of Mr. Jenkins before he 2 testifies in Court. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Walsh? MR. WALSH: I'll take what 5 Mr. Brettschneider said first, about Rosario 6 material. I have turned over a Rosario 7 list, as well as Rosario material to be marked with the court reporter. 9 I will -- if Mr. Brettschneider 10 desires, I will provide him with the entire 11 set of Rosario material before he leaves the 12 building today. This way, he can have it. 13 As far as Mr. Jenkins is concerned, I 14 have not heard, other than from 15 Mr. Brettschneider, either of those two 16 things. I would be very surprised if --17 especially, his attempting to light himself 18 on fire -- I don't know if it's true or not. 19 I don't know if he has a psychiatric history 20 at the jail. I can't help 21 Mr. Brettschneider one way or another. I 22 don't know that the fact that somebody goes 23 to see a psychiatrist or a psychologist, for 24 counseling, has any bearing on that person's 25

1 2.85 2 credibility, however. Many people go to 3 mental health professionals. I don't think that has any bearing on whether they are 5 likely to be truthful or not as witnesses. 6 THE COURT: Is he incarcerated in the 7 jail? 8 MR. WALSH: He is. 9 THE COURT: Perhaps, to save time, why 10 don't we get the records. 11 MR. WALSH: All right. 12 THE COURT: They always can be -- there 13 can be an application for in camera review, 14 for anything that could affect his 15 credibility; if that application is made. 16 MR. WALSH: That's what I was going to 17 ask your Honor; if, in fact, your Honor 18 would sign a subpoena for those records. 19 would ask the Court to inspect the records 20 first, before anything is turned over. 21 THE COURT: Why don't you get them. 22 See if there is any validity to the setting 23 on fire, or to the fact that he even saw a 24 psychiatrist. We'll take it one step at a time. 25

I think it's best to get the records in hand. MR. WALSH: Fine. THE COURT: Do you need a so-ordered subpoena? I will not be here tomorrow. MR. WALSH: I don't know that the jail will comply without a Judge's signature. THE COURT: You have my authorization to tell the motion judge that you need it. If need be, you can have my clerk notify the chief clerk that I have authorized it. (Whereupon the trial was adjourned until November 12, 1996) 

```
1
                                                         287
 2
    STATE OF NEW YORK : NASSAU COUNTY
 3
        COUNTY COURT PART I
 4
 5
    THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
 6
                   -against-
                                          :Ind. 91607
 7
    JOSEPH JACKSON,
 8
                          Defendant.
 9
                                November 12, 1996
 10
                                262 Old Country Road
                                Mineola, New York
 11
 12
    BEFORE:
 13
        HON. ABBEY L. BOKLAN,
 14
                         County Court Judge
15
    APPEARANCES:
16
17
               (As Previously Noted)
18
19
 20
                    THE CLERK: People vs. Joseph Jackson.
 21
                    Are the People ready?
                    MR. WALSH: Yes.
 22
 23
                    THE CLERK: Is the defendant ready?
24
                    MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes.
25
                    THE COURT: We have one hundred jurors
```

1 288 2 in the hallway. 3 Mr. Walsh, you indicated that you wanted to place something on the record 4 5 about one of the sworn jurors? 6 MR. WALSH: Yes. As I had begun to 7 indicate to the Court and 8 Mr. Brettschneider, there is a sworn 9 juror -- I think his name is Kedesh. I 10 believe he's the last juror who was sworn. 11 As it turns out, I found out after Court 12 Thursday, he knows a friend of mine, who 13 actually lives directly across the street 14 from me. 15 As I was coming into Court for the 16 session -- Mr. Kedesh was in the box for 17 Thursday -- I ran into my friend, who was 18 out in the rotunda. He came over because he 19 thought he wanted to catch opening 20 statements. 21 I spoke to my friend, who came in. 22 it turns out, I was informed by my friend, 23 that just prior to my speaking with him, he 24 had run into Mr. Kedesh; who he knew he said 25 from a long time ago. They began to speak.

1 289 2 Mr. Kedesh said he was here on jury duty. 3 My friend said he was here because I was a 4 friend of his and he wanted to catch opening 5 statements. 6 At that time, Mr. Kedesh said, I can't talk to you. I have to go. I'm on a jury 8 panel. 9 I just thought it was something that I 10 should bring to everyone's attention. I 11 don't know what the Court or Mr. Brettschneider wants to do. I don't 12 know -- according to my friend, they hadn't 13 seen each other for years and years. 14 15 They're not particularly close. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate 17 that. 18 Mr. Brettschneider? 19 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Your Honor, I 20 think maybe the best thing, at this point, 21 is possibly to interview Mr. Kedesh, and 22 find out if there is any problem with the 23 fact that this situation has arisen; and 24 whether he can be fair; or he somehow feels 25 obligated to Mr. Walsh's friend, to come in

1 290 2 with a verdict. Certainly, on a case where 3 we've taken this long to select a jury, I think that's the least we can do. 4 5 THE COURT: Mr. Walsh, do you wish to 6 be heard? 7 MR. WALSH: No. Actually, I agree. 8 THE COURT: That's a good idea. Does 9 your client waive his presence? Or does he 10 want it done in the open Court? 11 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I would prefer it be done in open Court. 12 13 THE COURT: Fine. That's what we'll 14 do. As soon as the jury comes down, we'll 15 bring Mr. Kedesh in. 16 (Whereupon the juror referred to was 17 brought into the courtroom, after which the 18 following took place:) 19 THE COURT: Good morning, sir. 20 reason I have asked you to step in, in the 21 absence of the other sworn jurors, is that 22 Mr. Walsh informed me that you know a friend of his, who you met in the courthouse before 23 24 we adjourned the other day. 25 SWORN JUROR: Right.

1 291 2 THE COURT: I just want to make sure 3 that, now knowing someone you knew and were friendly with, and is also a friend of 5 Mr. Walsh, will not, in any way, interfere 6 with your ability to be fair? MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: It won't. THE COURT: You won't favor one side or 9 another? 10 SWORN JUROR: No. 11 THE COURT: We know you did not discuss 12 the case. So that's not --13 SWORN JUROR: No. I saw him come in. He said he was here to visit one of the 14 15 attorneys here. I told him I was on the 16 case. We said we shouldn't talk, He was 17 going to introduce me. I said, no, because 18 I'm going to be selected for a jury, maybe, 19 in that case. 20 THE COURT: We appreciate the way you 21 handled it, which was perfect. Does anyone 22 have any questions? 23 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No. 24 MR. WALSH: No. 25 THE COURT: We'll bring in the rest of

292 1 2 the jury. (Whereupon the sworn juror was removed 3 from the courtroom) 4 THE COURT: Wait a minute. We have 5 another problem. While we were here, a note was just received. Juror Shanley, Nancy Shanley, juror number five, feels -- this is 8 9 what she told my court officer -- feels that her nerves are such that she can't sit on 10 this trial. 11 What would you like me to do? Would 12 you like me to call her in here, to see what 13 the problem is? 14 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes. 15 (Whereupon Sworn Juror Number Five was 16 brought into the courtroom) 17 THE COURT: Good morning. My court 18 officer just tells me that you feel your 19 nerves are such that you cannot sit on this 20 trial? 21 22 JUROR #5: Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell me what the 23 problem is. 24 JUROR #5: I really can't explain it: 25

293 1 Ever since I left here on Thursday, I can't 2 sleep. I don't think I can handle this. I 3 can't come to a definite decision. 4 THE COURT: Just step right outside the 5 door for a moment, while I confer with 6 counsel. (Whereupon Juror #5 left the courtroom) 8 THE COURT: The juror seems in obvious 9 distress. Before I question her more, I 10 want to see if you both consent to excusing 11 her. She did indicate she doesn't think she 12 could reach a verdict. 13 MR. WALSH: I consent. 14 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: We would, also. 15 THE COURT: Bring her in here. 16 (Whereupon Juror #5 was brought into 17 the courtroom) 18 THE COURT: Ms. Shanley, none of us 19 want you to be ill. All the participants 20 have consented to you being excused from the 21 22 case. (Whereupon Juror #5 was excused) 23 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Your Honor, 24 there's a question now, with the way I 25

1 294 2 utilize my challenges. I have only two 3 challenges left. I think Mr. Walsh has 4 four. Based on the fact that she was juror number five, a lot of challenges that I 5 6 utilized were based on the fact that we had 7 a certain amount of jurors. 8 I would ask for another challenge, 9 based on the fact that Ms. Shanley has asked 10 to be excused prior to the end of jury 11 selection. THE COURT: Mr. Walsh? 12 MR. WALSH: I object. I don't think 13 the law provides for any additional 14 15 challenges for either attorney because a 16 juror becomes unqualified. I object. I 17 don't think it's appropriate. 18 THE COURT: The application is denied. 19 Let's bring in the sworn jurors and the 20 prospective panel outside. It is my intention to tell the jury 21 22 that Ms. Shanley could no longer serve and 23 not go into any explanation. Is everyone in agreement with that? 24 25 MR. WALSH:

1 295 2 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes. 3 THE COURT: All right. Let's bring in 4 the new panel. 5 (Whereupon the jury panel was brought 6 into the courtroom) 7 THE COURT: All right. We're just 8 waiting for our sworn jurors. 9 (Whereupon the sworn jurors were 10 brought into the courtroom) 11 THE COURT: Good morning. I apologize, 12 first of all, for my voice. There isn't too 13 much of it. I'm going to do the best I can. 14 Because I am so nasal. 15 If, at any time, you can't understand what I'm saying, please raise your hand and 16 17 I'll have the court reporter read it back. 18 To my sworn jurors, first of all, 19 welcome. We were hoping to have a full 20 complement of jurors for you to begin the 21 trial this morning. Unfortunately, central 22 jury had very few jurors to send us on 23 Thursday afternoon. So that we could not 24 accomplish that. As you can tell, we have 25 now lost Ms. Shanley, who was unable to

serve.

Ladies and gentlemen, we are in the middle of our jury selection. We have nine sworn jurors. We are going to be selecting three additional jurors, as well as three alternate jurors.

My name is Judge Abbey Boklan. I am the presiding Judge at this trial. I will explain briefly what the trial involves, and what roles the Judge and jury play. So just relax, be comfortable. It's rather warm in the courtroom. If any of you want to take off your coats, please do so now. Anyone who would like to, we'll take a moment for you to do that.

The trial which is about to be commenced is a criminal action, entitled the People of the State of New York against Joseph Jackson, who is referred to as the defendant.

The case involves the following charges: Murder in the second degree, intimidating a victim or witness in the first degree; and hindering prosecution in

297 1 the second degree. The alleged date of 2 incident is March 20, 1994. The alleged 3 victim of the murder is one Steven Jason. 4 As jurors, you are going to be called 5 upon to determine whether or not the 6 evidence which you shall hear and see in this case establishes the defendant's guilt 8 of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt. 9 In order to do this, you will have to 10 evaluate all the evidence at the end of the 11 trial, to determine whether what you have 12 heard from the witnesses and seen as 13 exhibits is true, and what it all means. 14 This is called finding the facts. This will 15 be your function alone. I will find no 16 facts in this trial. 17 Your ultimate decision is called a 18 verdict. Your verdict will be either guilty 19 or not guilty. 20 An attorney presents the evidence 21 usually by calling witnesses; and only you 22 can decide what really happened. And the 23

your decision alone.

24

25

verdict as to each of the counts remains

As Judge, I will make no determination of whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. My role at trial is to ensure that you reach your verdict in accordance with the law. I will explain to you what the law is as to all the issues at this trial. I may have to rule on questions concerning the conduct of the trial. Those rulings have nothing to do with whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. I may also rule on questions concerning what evidence you may consider, and for what purpose.

When I make a ruling concerning whether you may hear some testimony or see some exhibit which is offered as evidence, I will be ruling on whether or not you are permitted to hear it or see it, as a matter of law.

Likewise, if I instruct you to disregard something you might have heard, I will do so because that is the law.

None of my rulings should be taken by you as any indication of whether you should believe all or part of what is offered as

299 1 evidence; or that the defendant is guilty or 2 3 not guilty. That is solely your job to 4 determine. But you must accept the law as I give it to you, if the defendant and the 5 People are to have the fair trial to which 6 they are entitled. 7 The People are represented by the 8 District Attorney in this county, Mr. Denis 9 Dillon. Mr. Michael Walsh, an assistant 10 district attorney, who is now standing, will 11 be presenting the People's case. 12 The defendant is represented by his 13 attorney, Mr. Scott Brettschneider. 14 Mr. Brettschneider is now standing. 15 Sitting next to Mr. Brettschneider is 16 the defendant, Joseph Jackson. Mr. Jackson, 17 you may stand. 18 The fact that this action is brought in 19 20 the name of the People or that the evidence is presented by a public official, does not 21 in any way indicate that the public wants a 22 specific verdict. The People of this state 23 are served by whatever verdict is justified 24

by the evidence.

You may hear reference to the fact that the defendant was indicted by a grand jury.

This, too, is not and must not be taken as any evidence of guilt. As a trial juror, you may consider an indictment as simply a piece of paper by which a defendant is accused of a crime.

Only you as members of the trial jury can determine guilt. The defendant is presumed innocent unless and until you do find him guilty.

Serving on a jury is a vital function for citizens under our system of law. It is also a great responsibility; that is, to accord the defendant and the People a fair trial.

In order to do so, you must be free from any preconceived notions or any sympathies or prejudices that might prevent you from returning a fair and just verdict based on solely the evidence or lack of evidence. To help to ensure this, our first order of business is to conduct an examination of the prospective jurors.

I will ask questions of you, and after
I am finished, the attorneys for both
parties will ask questions, as well. The
purpose of the questions is not to embarrass
you, or to discover any personal details
about your lives. It is simply to determine
whether or not you are qualified to sit as
jurors in this case.

A number of you will not be selected.

Some of you may be excused because you are

not qualified to sit, as a matter of law.

That is called, excused for cause.

Others may be excused peremptorily, which means by one of the attorneys, without any cause being given.

Being excused is not a reflection on you, either as a citizen or a person. It is simply a determination under the rules by one or more of the parties, or by me, that you are not to sit on this particular case.

Now, let's talk about scheduling. I'm sure this is something that interests all of you. For the month of November, we are not working on Fridays on this case. So you

1 302

will always have Fridays off. Of course, we have the Thanksgiving holiday, and we will not work on that day.

Now, how long will the trial take? The attorneys have assured me that we should be finished by mid-December. On a daily basis, you have a schedule that I tried to fashion to be as convenient to you as possible. At the same time I try this case, I have my regular calendar of approximately one hundred fifty other cases. I handle those the first thing in the morning. I have you come in usually at eleven, except for the day you deliberate. Your lunch time is usually from twelve-thirty to two. I try to have you out of the courthouse between four-thirty and quarter of five, each day.

Because this is a criminal trial, at
the end of the trial, during final
deliberations -- and I'm only talking about
during final deliberations -- the jury is
sequestered, at all times. This means that,
should the jury continue to deliberate for
more than one day, then our law requires

303 1 that hotel accommodations be made available 2 and the jury be sequestered each night 3 before resuming their deliberations on each following day. 5 Before I continue, I'm going to ask the 6 clerk to swear all the prospective jurors to 7 answer truthfully. 8 (Whereupon the entire jury panel was 9 sworn) 10 THE COURT: Before we start, I want to 11 make sure that none of you has any pressing 12 business or family obligations, or any 13 physical problems, such as heart disease, 14 that you feel this would be too difficult 15 16 for you to serve on this case. These are 17 the only things that would prevent you from serving on this jury. 18 Please do not seek to avoid jury 19 service merely because it is inconvenient. 20 21 I regret the inconvenience. Our whole system of trial by jury, one of the most 22

basic elements of our whole system of

justice, depends upon citizens who are

willing to sacrifice their time when called

23

24

1 304 2 upon to judge another person. Understand also, that I will not be 3 excusing you from jury duty; only from this 4 case. If any of you cannot serve at this 5 6 time, please raise your hands now. (Whereupon the following took place at 7 the Bench, in the presence of the Court, and 8 both counsel:) 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: W-H-A-L-E-N. I'm a 10 private duty nurse. My patient is on life 11 support. It would be extremely difficult 12 for them to get nurses to cover me. 13 THE COURT: You're already assigned to 14 a specific person? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have been on the 16 case for five years. 17 THE COURT: You're excused. 18 19 Next. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Peter. C-A-P-U A. I am a real estate executive. 21 We're in the midst of doing budgets for next 22 year. I would not be able to serve through 23 24 the middle of December. Plus, I'm also a foster parent with my wife. We have three 25

305 1 foster children with us right now. It's an 2 3 impossibility for being sequestered. THE COURT: Excused. Next. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Your Honor, I'm a 5 practicing litigation and labor attorney. A 6 multi-week trial would render my practice virtually impossible. I have discovery 8 deadlines. Also, even collective bargaining 9 negotiations on the -- with New York City 10 unions. I work for Coney Island Hospital. 11 12 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Carol Laris. My 13 spiritual beliefs would not make me a good 14 juror on a criminal case. I would find it 15 impossible to pass judgment on another human 16 being. 17 THE COURT: You're excused. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Molly Friedman. I 19 can't be out of my job for this period of 20 time. 21 THE COURT: What kind of job? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Librarian at a high 23 school. 24 THE COURT: You get paid for your 25

306 1 entire jury service. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's right. 3 have also the sole responsibility of a 4 5 mentally ill --6 THE COURT: That's different. excused. Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Andrew 8 D-A-L-E-S-S-A N D R O. I have a hearing 9 10 impairment in my left ear. I watch my two granddaughters and take them to school in 11 the morning; prepare them. I have to be 12 available for them at three-thirty. Plus 13 I'm a self-employed business man. 14 15 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is Jacob 16 Kaufman. I have heart problem. 17 currently taking part in vacation. I have a 18 19 business of my own. Volunteering for the jury -- but to be sequestered, I couldn't to 20 do that because of my business. 21 THE COURT: I'm more concerned --22 before we get to that, you say have you have 23 a heart problem? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 25

1	307
2	THE COURT: Would that give you stress?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Very much so.
4	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Dave Berger. I'm a
6	doctor. There's no way I can go past this
7	week. I heard you say, toward December.
8	THE COURT: What type of physician are
9	you?
О	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Internist.
1	THE COURT: You have a private
2	practice?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
5.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Goldstein. I'm
6	saying kadish for my mother.
7	THE COURT: I'm sorry for your loss.
.8	You're excused. Next.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Hanson. Two
0	things, your Honor. There's a two-man
1	repair shop. I'm the repairer.
2	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Kelly. I'm
4	self-employed. My son works for me. I have
5	another guy working. If I don't work,

1 308 2 nobody works. 3 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The only thing that 5 concerns me is the deliberation period. 6 a single parent. It would be hard for me to 7 find coverage for more than a day or two for 8 my son. THE COURT: I can tell you, it's very - 9 unlikely that it would be more than a day or 10 two. I can't promise that. If you want to 11 go, I'll excuse you. If you're willing to 12 13 find coverage, we would love to have you. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do want to serve. I feel it's my duty. I'm going with your 15 initial, that it might not take more than 16 17 two days. 18 THE COURT: Do you understand that once 19 you're deliberating, you can't stop. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand. I'll 20 take my chances. 21 22 THE COURT: Staying. Next. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My wife is currently on treatment for leukemia... 24 25 THE COURT: I hope she's better.

1	309
2	You're excused. Next.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't be
4	sequestered. I have a young child at home.
5	THE COURT: Nobody can take care of it?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not overnight. I
7	am also scheduled for surgery December 16th.
8	THE COURT: You're excused. Good luck
9	with your surgery. Next.
.0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Diana Prevett. I'm
1	a practicing attorney in this county, and
.2	have been for about fifteen years. I also
3	have two small children. I think this would
.4	be a problem for me.
.5	THE COURT: Your husband
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He works in
7	Manhattan. He has
.8	THE COURT: Otherwise, when you're
.9	deliberating, I'm very prompt.
0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand. But
1	I can't although, I get paid, I have to
2	go back to my office at lunch, and also,
23	after jury service, to get work done.
4	THE COURT: How young are your
5	children?

1	310
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Six and nine.
3	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Rogers. I'm an
5	only child. I have an extremely ill
6	seventy-five year old father, who's on
7	oxygen twenty-four hours a day.
8	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
ġ	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a medical
10	assistant, in an office. To take off that
11	amount of time, being that I'm the only one
12	that assists the doctor, is very difficult.
13	THE COURT: Would he pay you?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Only for a week's
15	worth.
16	THE COURT: you're excused. Next.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Rand. I have plane
18	tickets for Hawaii in December.
19	THE COURT: December what?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 23rd.
21	THE COURT: We'll be finished.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Also, I'm a
23	substitute teacher, and I lose a lot of time
24	from my job. If I don't go to work, I don't
25	get paid.

1	311
2	THE COURT: Would it be a financial
3	hardship for you? Do you need that money?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: You're excused, Next.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have school two
7	nights a week, at four-thirty. My finals
8	are in mid-December.
9	THE COURT: We could be in test time.
.0	You're excused. Next.
.1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work in an office
.2	where a lot of people have been out. One
.3	person, specifically, is out having surgery.
.4	I think I could be away for a week or two
.5	weeks. I don't think I would be able to be
.6	away for a month. I'm responsible for
7	everything in the office. We're a state
.8	agency. We can't get
.9	THE COURT: What agency?
0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Vocational services
1	for individuals with disabilities.
22	THE COURT: Will they pay you the whole
:3	time you're on jury duty?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know how
25	that works. I guess.

312 1 2 THE COURT: I have a hold on state and 3 county employees; just as I am expected to 4 be called soon, for jury duty. Although my courtroom would have to shut down, I go. 5 6 I'm not going to excuse you. If you find out you won't be paid, you let us know. You 7 can check over lunch and tell me over lunch. 8 But if you get paid, you stay. Sorry. 9 10 Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Robert Kennedy. 11 Three things. One, I have a traffic Court 12 date this coming Thursday. Second thing is, 13 I'm in the heating business, which we're 14 exceptionally busy. Third thing is, I have 15 a vacation planned around Thanksgiving week. 15 THE COURT: The whole week? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 18 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Guzmanes. I have difficulty understanding English. 21 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm --23 THE COURT: Last name? 24 I'm a high PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Renner. 25

1 313 2 school teacher. I'm chair of my department. 3 One of my jobs is to organization support for kids taking the ROTC in January, who 4 5 might fail, or might not graduate. I feel 6 it's important for me to be there, at this 7 time. 8 THE COURT: I keep all my teachers, 9 because they get paid. I'm sorry. Next. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: O'Hara. Over the 11 last year and-a-half, I have had two 12 angioplasties at Saint Francis. I just 13 changed jobs --14 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a two month 16 old son, who has had some cardiac surgery. 17 My wife -- he has to have additional tests 18 in the coming weeks. 19 THE COURT: You're excused. I hope 20 he's better. Next. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm self-employed. 22 I handle an account with the visiting nurse association. I'm handling all of their --23 24 THE COURT: I can't hear you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: All their marketing 25

1	314
2	and promotional things.
3	THE COURT: Excused. Next.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Next
5	THE COURT: Last name.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Wendy P-A-R-R.
7	All next week, I have business meetings, in
8	Germany. Thanksgiving, I'm going to visit
9	family.
o	THE COURT: Have a good trip. Next.
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Millie Diel. I
2	feel any long term jury trial would cause me
3	undue financial hardship. I have just
4	gotten employed again. I have been
5	unemployed for a while.
6	THE COURT: They won't pay you?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: Excused. Next; last name.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Bass, I guess
o	because I can't be impartial, because my
1	cousin was murdered.
2	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Last name, Wahl. I
4	have substantial business commitments.
5	Staying that long would represent a real

1	315
2	hardship for me.
3	THE COURT: What type of work do you
4	do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a commercial
é	lending officer for Chase Manhattan bank.
7	THE COURT: Would you be paid?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
9	THE COURT: I'm keeping everyone who's
0	getting paid or works for a large
1	organization or government. Not excused.
2	Next.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Hirsch. I'm my
4	only means of support. I can't afford to be
5	out of work that long.
6	THE COURT: You don't get paid?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not for the whole
8	time.
9	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
ō	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Donald Mitchell. A
1	few problems. I have heart angina and
2	hypertension.
3	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sharon Rabinowitz.
5	I'm a physician over at Winthrop. I have

1	316
2	arranged for days of coverage.
3	THE COURT: Are you a resident?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I'm an
5	attending. My husband was an ADA with
6	Nassau County for four years. He trained
7	with Pat McCloskey. Ben Rabinowitz.
8	THE COURT: Do you feel you could be
9	fair?
O.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
1	THE COURT: Excused. Next.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Valerie Peekan.
3	I'm a public school teacher. It would be
4	difficult for me to be out of school.
5	THE COURT: Unfortunately, I'm keeping
6	all the my teachers. They get paid. It's a
7	wonderful experience to tell your children
8	about. You're not excused. Next.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm scheduled for
О	an echocardiogram and stress test.
1	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have diabetes and
3	high blood pressure.
4	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Siebert. I'm a

1	317
2	practicing attorney. I have some major
3	conflicts coming up in the next three to
4	four weeks. I'm a sole practitioner.
5	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm a medical
7	doctor in private practice. I won't be able
8	to arrange coverage that lasts that long.
9	THE COURT: Did we get your last name?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Stern.
11	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Castellano. I
13	recently lost my job in the Chemical-Chase
14	merger. I just got a new one. To be here
15	more than a week is a problem.
16	THE COURT: Also for a bank?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: An insurance
18	company.
19	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: J-A-N-G-D-A. I'm a
21	medical Doctor. One month would be too
22	long.
23	THE COURT: Are you an attending?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Attending
25	physician, working in a hospital out-patient

1	
1	318
2	clinic, and emergency room.
3	THE COURT: Are you a salaried
4	employee?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Nassau County Medical
7	Center?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. In the Bronx.
9	THE COURT: Would they pay you for the
0	whole time?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Only for one
2	week.
3	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jenks. I have
5	hypertension and arthritis.
6	THE COURT: You're excused. Next.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My name is
8	Sorenson. I am a commissioned salesman. I
9	receive no salary if I'm away from my job
О	for a month.
1	THE COURT: Excused. Next.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know you. I'm
3	your mailman.
4	THE COURT: That doesn't matter.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Bob Donohoe.

jerri krevoff, csr, rpr

1 319 THE COURT: Is that your only problem? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, all my family 3 is involved in law enforcement; my uncles, 4 cousins. I have been on jury duty several 5 others times. They have disqualified me. 6 THE COURT: That doesn't disqualify you, unless you feel that you can't be fair 8 and impartial. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, that's the 10 way -- since I know them so personally, I 11 feel I'm going to weigh their decision more 12 than --13 THE COURT: Then you're excused. Thank 14 you. Next. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: S-C-H-W-A-M. I'm a 16 correction officers at the Nassau County 17 Jail. 18 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Hein. H-E-I-N. I 20 work as a secretary in a very large high 21 school in the guidance office. November and 22 December is like the busiest time of the 23 year. 24 THE COURT: Would you be paid when 25

320 1 2 you're here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm paid. It would 3 be a very great hardship. 4 THE COURT: I know. I keep all my 5 school employees. Not excused. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But we process 7 hundreds of college applications at this 8 time. 9 THE COURT: They'll have to get a 10 substitute. I have to keep my people who 11 are paid. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It really would be 13 very hard. I'm practicing -- I'm training 14 somebody to do an application. It's very, 15 very difficult. 16 THE COURT: You're not the only person 17 in the office? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. We're 19 shorthanded. We lost another girl. 20 THE COURT: I cannot let go people who 21 get paid. It'll be a hardship when I have 22 to shut down my courtroom and I have to go 23 on jury duty. This is what our system is 24 about. Next. 25

1 321 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Muratore. I have two medical appointments Thanksgiving week 3 4 that I cannot postpone. 5 THE COURT: We're closed the 28th and 6 29th. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: One is for a 7 8 mammogram. The other is with my breast 9 surgeon. 10 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Saslow. I'm on 11 12 high blood pressure medication and 13 tranquilizers. THE COURT: You're excused. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Does that mean 15 permanently? 16 17 THE COURT: No. Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Posen. I have two 18 small children. I have no way -- I have 19 20 them four days a week. I work, I'm a 21 teacher. To be off four days a week for an 22 entire month would be very difficult for me. THE COURT: The working -- teachers, 23 24 I'm holding, because they get paid. You don't have a husband at home? 25

322 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, he works until nine o'clock every night. 3 4 THE COURT: Your babysitter leaves at four? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's it. 6 THE COURT: Excused. Next. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sebolt. I'm 8 pregnant. I would be having a lot of 9 10 problems. THE COURT: You're excused. Next. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My son is 12 recuperating from a car accident. I have 13 been taking him for follow-up to his 14 accident. He almost died. 15 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Phillip. I'm an 17 18 attorney. I have discovery deadlines at the end of December. I have discovery motion 19 20 for December 7th on another case. THE COURT: There's no one else in the 21 office who can handle it? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm the senior 23 associate. This is a consideration that you 24 25 could take into account.

1 323 2 THE COURT: If it's a large firm, and 3 they can cover, I have been letting senior 4 practitioner attorneys go. Will they pay 5 you? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They will. Yes. 7 THE COURT: You're not excused. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Presta. Time isn't 9 my problem. My son is a New York City 10 detective. 11 THE COURT: The next question is, can 12 you fairly sit in a criminal case? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I really don't 14 think so. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. You're excused. Next. 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My husband is an officer in the Department of Defense. I 18 19 didn't know if I could serve on this. Also, 20 Judge, can I ask you, does this have 21 anything to do with drug-related? 22 THE COURT: You could hear something about drugs during the course of the trial. 23 24 Would that affect your ability? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

1	324
2	THE COURT: If you heard, for example,
3	that the victim may have been dealing in
4	drugs, would you be able to sit fairly.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: Excused. Next.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Agasetta. My son
8	is in jail right now.
9	THE COURT: In Nassau County?
1.0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: Upstate?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: British West Indes,
1.3	Camen Island.
14	THE COURT: For what?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Drug smuggling.
16	THE COURT: Now, there could be
17	testimony during this case that, for
18	example, the victim may have been dealing
19	drugs. Is that going to afect you?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I really couldn't
21	say. I don't know how I would react.
22	THE COURT: I can't take the chance.
23	This must be very terrible for you. You're
24	excused.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It is. Thank you.

1 325 2 THE COURT: Next. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Z-A-N-O-N-E. on vacation the 24th of November. 5 Otherwise, I have no problem. I have some 6 appointments in two weeks. THE COURT: Have a good vacation. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sefalou. I just 9 want to know if this had anything to do with 10 drugs. THE COURT: There will be some evidence 11 12 perhaps, that you'll hear. For example, the 13 victim, the deceased person was dealing in 14 drugs. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Because I have two family members that are very heavily 16 17 involved in drugs. I don't think I could be 18 unbiased. 19 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Bennett. I am a 21 veterinarian, private practitioner. I'm 22 self-employed. I have no employees. This would be a hardship. 23 24 THE COURT: You're excused. Next. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Vitale. I have a

1 326 2 dear friend who's got breast cancer. I go with her to LIJ twice a week for radiation treatment. 5 THE COURT: You're excused. 6 (Whereupon the following took place back within the hearing of the open - 8 courtroom:) 9 THE COURT: Thank you all. As I said, 10 we couldn't function if we didn't have 11 jurors willing to sit. 12 I am going to ask those of you in the 13 box, to go into the back and just sit in the 14 vacant seats. We're going to fill the jury 15 box. 16 I ask those of you not called into the 17 box to please pay careful attention. Let's 18 fill the box. 19 (Whereupon the jury box was filled with 20 fourteen people) THE COURT: My first series of 21 22 questions concerns your background. Your 23 answers to these questions will not necessarily qualify nor disqualify you. 24 25 any of you wishes to respond yes, or is not

sure, please raise your hand. If you don't understand a question, or you do not hear me, please say so.

The defendant, his attorney, the prosecuting attorney have all been identified to you. Do any of you know any of the prospects -- any of the participants to this proceeding?

Among the witnesses who may be called, are the following -- and I caution you that my mentioning the name, imposes no burden on either side to call that witness; nor does it mean that the list may not be expanded.

All of these police officers will be
from Nassau County Police Department, unless
I tell you otherwise: Detective Gary
Abbondandelo, Homicide Squad; Detective
Robert Dempsey, Homicide; Detective Jerl
Mullen, Homicide Squad; Detective Peter
Donato, Homicide Squad; Police Officer
Richard Paulik, Freeport Police Department;
Police Officer Michael Pomerico, Freeport
Police Department; Detective Joseph Marino,
Crime Scene Search Unit; Detective Nicholas

1 328 2 Mattia, Scientific Investigation Bureau; Mr. Michael Hertz, a retired detective, 3 previously with the First Squad; Detective 4 5 Brian Parpan, Homicide Squad; Detective Frank Allaire, First Squad; Detective 6 7 William Tweedie, First Squad; Detective Edward Hegerty, Freeport Police Department; 8 Mr. William Wallace, a district attorney for 9 Nassau County District Attorney; Michael 10 DiMartino, Deputy Medical Examiner. 11 Mr. Christopher Jordan, Official Court 12 Reporter. Ms. Isabella Vales. Skwanitra 13 Witherspoon; Mr. Peddie Jenkins; Mr. Tyrone 14 Isaac, Roy Isaac. 15 Do any of you know any of the 16 prospective witnesses? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: William Tweedie. 18 (Whereupon the following took place at 19 the Bench outside the hearing of the open 20 21 courtroom:) THE COURT: Just to make sure we have 22 23 the same William Tweedie. Where do you know him from? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 25 I know him from a

1	329
2	restaurant I worked in. He frequented it.
3	He was a friend of the owner. We often sat
4	and ate dinner together.
5	THE COURT: Where was the restaurant
6	located?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Wantagh Avenue in
8	Wantagh.
9	THE COURT: Do you know where he lives?
.0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
1	THE COURT: Can you describe him?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Tall. He has, I
.3	guess, greyish-blondish hair.
.4	THE COURT: Age, approximately?
.5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Late forties, early
.6	fifties.
.7	MR. WALSH: Is he heavyset?
.8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess he's
.9	probably around six foot tall, and medium
0	build. I won't say heavy.
1	MR. WALSH: It could be him.
12	THE COURT: Let's assume it's the right
:3	person.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know he's a
25	detective. He spoke often about his work.

1	330
2	THE COURT: You used to eat with him?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	THE COURT: I think it's best to excuse
5	you.
6	MR. WALSH: If it makes a difference or
7	not, I would say the chances of him
8	testifying are almost none.
9	THE COURT: It would have to be none.
.0	MR. WALSH: Now, it's none. He's not
1	going to testify.
.2	THE COURT: We have now eliminated
.3	Mr. Tweedie. You can stay.
.4	MR. WALSH: It would have been either
.5	he or Donato.
.6	(The following took place back within
.7	the hearing of the open courtroom:)
. 8	THE COURT: Mr. Tweedie will no longer
.9	be a witness in the case. Anyone else?
0	Does anyone know anything about the case,
1	other than what I have told you so far.
2	Mr. Blakley, you are a semi-retired
3	from sales. What type of sales were you
4	involved in?
.5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I worked for

1 331 national business kit. 2 3 THE COURT: Your wife, what type or 4 firm or organization does she work? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A bank. 6 THE COURT: Tell me about victim of a 7 crime and witness to a crime. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My son was killed 9 eleven years ago by a hit and run. 10 THE COURT: Of course, that's a 11 horrible thing. Can you fairly and 12 partially sit in a murder case? There's no 13 allegation of any car. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, the trial was 14 15 here. It was eleven years ago. The defendant was sentenced for six months and 16 17 five and-a-half years probation. THE COURT: All of that considered, 18 19 let's start with the first question. Can 20 you sit fairly and impartially in a criminal 21 case involving a charge of murder? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe I can. 23 Yes. THE COURT: Do you feel that there's 24 25 anything about the case or the way the

1 332 police handled it, or the district attorney, 2 3 that would, in any way, affect your ability to be fair? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't really know 6 anything about the case. 7 THE COURT: Well, you know what the 8 charge is. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 10 THE COURT: What I'm trying to ensure, 11 is that nothing in that incident involving your child, or the trial afterwards, the 12 defendant in that case, nothing would carry 13 over to your feelings about this case? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I don't 15 16 believe it would. 17 THE COURT: Witness to a crime? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Armed robbery in a supermarket in Brooklyn. 19 20 THE COURT: You witnessed that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was in the store 21 at the time. 22 23 THE COURT: Were you a victim, as well? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. The people 25 that were holding up the store approached me

333 1 2 first; and then went on and held up the 3 store. THE COURT: There are allegations in 4 this case that the death of the victim was 5 caused by a handgun. Do you have any 6 7 feelings about what happened in that 8 robbery, or guns in general, that would 9 determine your ability -- would affect your ability to be fair in this case? You 10 understand that we're all against guns. 11 issues is whether it --12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe I could be fair in this case. 14 THE COURT: What about law enforcement 15 16 people. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a nephew in 17 the Nassau County Police Department. I have 18 retired members of my family in the New York 19 City Police Department. 20 THE COURT: I tell you, as I do all 21 prospective jurors, that a police officer is 22 a human being. You don't decide to believe 23 or disbelieve anyone in advance because of 24 their occupation. You listen, you use your 25

334 1 2 common sense. You can listen to what they say. Listen to their cross-examination, if 3 any. Use your common sense. You decide 4 5 whether you believe the person. You shouldn't have any predisposition that 6 you're going to believe someone or not, 7 8 because of their occupation. 9 Can you do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Can the rest of you? 11 Mr. Beralisa, I'm having a problem 12 reading your writing. Your wife is 13 deceased? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Was she employed outside of 16 the home? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Machinist. 18 THE COURT: She was. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Nachinist. 20 THE COURT: Your wife. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My wife is dead. 22 THE COURT: I'm asking you, your wife, 23 before she died, did she work outside the 24 home. 25

1.	335
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Worked in a store.
3	THE COURT: Do you understand what I'm
4	asking you.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	THE COURT: You are a nachinist.
Ž	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	THE COURT: I can't read what you wrote
9	for the question, seven, years of education
.0	or highest degree attained. Was that high
1	school.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
.3	THE COURT: How long have you been in
.4	the United States.
.5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sixteen years.
.6	THE COURT: You will be able to
7	understand the English language, when the
.8	witnesses testify?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20	THE COURT: You checked that you never
11	served on a jury. You never sat on a jury.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: Then you checked yes, that
24	it reached a verdict. I'm a little
25	confused. Did you ever sit on a jury.

1 336 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. This is my 3 first time. THE COURT: Could you stay overnight, 4 if it was necessary, during deliberations, 5 5 in a hotel. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Ms. Jackson, tell me about 9 law enforcement. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My fiance is a New 11 York City police officer. 12 THE COURT: You heard what I said. Can you judge every police officer as an 13 14 individual. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 16 THE COURT: You're not going to be 17 concerned when you get to see your fiance, 18 after the trial, whether he's pleased or 19 displeased with your verdict. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, no. 21 THE COURT: Ms. Bolan, what is an asset 22 recovery coordinator. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I bring machines back from various warehouses around the 24 25 country, where we keep them when they come

337 1 out of major accounts. 2 THE COURT: What kind of machines. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Copiers and fax 4 5 machines. THE COURT: Tell me about law 6 7 enforcement. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My uncle is a 8 retired motorcycle police officer in the 9 city. I have two cousins, one a DEA agent 10 in the city; and one a sergeant in Brooklyn. 11 THE COURT: Can you assure me that 12 there is nothing about any of those 13 relationships, or your friendships with any 14 of the police, including the one you 15 sometimes used to eat with, that will affect 16 your ability to be fair in this case. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I honestly don't 18 know. 19 THE COURT: If you don't know, I can't 20 21 chance it. Do you think you would be affected and believe someone because of 22 their occupation? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe it could 24 25 be a possibility.

1 338 2 THE COURT: Thank you for telling us. 3 I can't take a chance. Fill the seat. (Whereupon the vacant seat was filled) 5 THE COURT: I know when you came up to 6 the Bench, you initially asked to be excused 7 because of your occupation. I denied that. 8 But I see that you have a physical 9 problem, as well. Do you feel that the 10 medication and your physical condition could be affected by being on this case? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 13 THE COURT: You're excused. I don't 14 want anyone to become ill. 15 (Whereupon the vacant seat was filled) 16 THE COURT: Ms. Carlson, do you know any of the prospective witnesses, any of the 17 18 participants; anything about the case. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 20 THE COURT: Is there anything you 21 prefer to discuss privately at the Bench, on 22 your questionnaire. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 24 THE COURT: Victim of a crime. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother. He was

1	339
2	murdered in Manhattan, some years ago.
3	THE COURT: How long ago.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Twenty-six years.
5	THE COURT: You heard the charge in
6	this case. It's a murder case. Can you
7	fairly and impartially sit in that kind of
8	case, having had your brother murdered?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think I could.
10	THE COURT: Tell me about accused or
11	convicted of a crime.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Accused and
13	convicted was also a brother, robbery.
14	THE COURT: Did the brother who was
15	convicted of robbery go to trial.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not really sure
17	about that.
18	THE COURT: Anything in that experience
19	that would prevent you from being fair.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't believe so.
21	THE COURT: Was it here in Nassau
22	County, that that occurred?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. It was in
24	Pennsylvania, I believe.
25	THE COURT: Do you have any feelings

340 1 2 about the police, because of either of those incidents, the brother who was murdered or a 3 brother who was convicted of a robbery. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Can you judge a police 6 7 officer the same as any other human being. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely. THE COURT: Tell me about law 9 10 enforcement. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My nephew is a New 11 York City police officer. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Count, I'm going to 13 skip down to the question that you answered 14 about physical and mental condition. You 15 indicated that you have some physical 16 problem. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I think you 18 specified heart condition, initially. It's 19 not heart condition. 20 THE COURT: Is it something you feel 21 could be -- the condition could be damaged 22 23 by sitting on this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not really 24 certain. 25

1 341 2 THE COURT: Would you prefer to discuss 3 it privately at the Bench? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 5 (Whereupon the following side bar 6 conference took place outside the hearing of the open courtocom:) 8 THE COURT: Yes, sir? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Very simply. It's a prostate situation that I just discovered. 10 11 I'm in the process of getting involved with 12 the VA, and all kinds of things. 13 THE COURT: Are you on medication? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Would you be needing 15 16 treatment during the time you're sitting in 17 this case? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. That's why I 19 say it's an uncertain thing. It's something 20 that I'm trying to live with. 21 THE COURT: You have to tell me whether physically you can stay or not. If you 22 23 feel, in any way, that you don't want to sit 24 because you're concerned about your health, 25 I'll let you go.

1	342
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would prefer
3	that,
4	THE COURT: All right. You're excused.
5	Next.
6	(Whereupon the following took place
7	back within the hearing of the open
8	courtroom:)
9	THE COURT: Ms. Rizzipolos, do you know
0	any anything about the case, any of the
1	witnesses or participants?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
.3	THE COURT: Tell me about victim of a
4	crime.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My father was in
6	his store when two people came in to
7	burglarize. Because he wouldn't give them
.8.	the merchandise, they hit him on the head
9	with a gun. He was almost killed.
0	THE COURT: Would that affect your
1	ability to sit in a criminal case.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe it will.
3	THE COURT: You're excused.
4	(Whereupon the vacant seat was filled)
5	THE COURT: Ms. Ruggerio, do you know

4	
1	343
2	anything about the case; any of the
3	prospective witnesses or any of the
4	participants?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: What do you teach.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Elementary school
8	in Copiague.
9	THE COURT: I see your husband is a
10	police officer. Is he a police officer in
11	Nassau County.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13	THE COURT: Will you be able to judge a
14	police officer the same as any other human
15	being that takes the stand?
1.6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe so.
17	THE COURT: The attorneys may have some
18	further questions about that, because of
19	semantics. I believe so, as opposed to,
20	yes, I will. I'm sure they are going to
21	want you to assure them.
22	Can you assure me you would be able to
23	judge the police officer the same as anyone
24	else who takes the stand?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe so.

344 1 THE COURT: You're not going to be 2 concerned, no matter what your verdict is, 3 whether it's going to please or displease 4 your husband, or anyone else? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Tell me about victim of a 7 8 crime. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was mugged a 9 couple of years ago. 10 THE COURT: Anyone apprehended? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would that affect your 13 ability to be fair? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In some way. I was 15 16 angry. THE COURT: Well, you should be. 17 That's very normal. But of course, this 18 case and Mr. Jackson had nothing to do with 19 that. I have to be assured that how you 20 felt, justifiably, about the person who did 21 that to you, won't carry over to this case. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 23 THE COURT: Any law enforcement people, 24 in addition to your husband. 25

1 345 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My father is a 3 police officer. 4 THE COURT: You still believe you could 5 be fair and impartial. 6 Ms. Telese, you're a sales 7 representative for what type of a firm? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Magazines. 9 THE COURT: I see that your husband is 10 a New York City detective. Same question as I asked the juror before you: Can you 11 fairly and impartially sit in a case and 12 13 judge a police officer the same as any other 14 human being, 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No; I don't think 16 so. I think I would favor the police 17 officer. 18 THE COURT: Even before you listened to them? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Thank you for telling us. 22 You're excused. 23 (Whereupon the vacant seat was filled) 24 THE COURT: Do you know any of the 25 participants, anything about the case, any

1	346
2	of the prospective witnesses?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: You sat on a civil case.
5	You can see already how different it is,
6	Mrs. Janow. I'm just asking you to put that
7	aside and judge this case.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No problem.
9	THE COURT: I see you have a relative
0	or friend who is a corrections officer.
1	Would that affect your ability to be fair?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3	THE COURT: You would judge a law
4	enforcement official the same as any other
5	human being?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
.7	THE COURT: Mr. Westfall, you are a
.8	police officer.
.9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
0	THE COURT: You're a police officer in
21	Nassau County?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: In the city.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Can you fairly and

1	347
2	impartially sit on a criminal case,
3	involving you heard the list?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: An awful lot of police
6	officers will testify.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	THE COURT: You can.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
o	THE COURT: Can you judge the police
1	officers the same as anyone else? Will you
2	listen and use your common sense?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Tell me about victim of a
5	crime.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I had a girlfriend
7	four years ago, that had a chain snatched.
8	THE COURT: Anything in that experience
9	would that would affect you.
0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
1	THE COURT: Witness to a crime, is that
2	your capacity as a police officer?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Do you work in the Homicide
5	Squad.

1 348 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: The law enforcement people 3 4 are all friends that you work with, and 5 associates? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: Mr. Kalow, you were one of our slightly unhappy jurors about remaining 8 9 because of your job. I want to make sure those job concerns will not affect your 10 ability to listen; that you won't be 11 worrying about what's happening there, you 12 won't be worrying about whether things are 13 getting done, and will allow those kinds of 14 concerns to affect you. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'll be worrying 16 about them. I won't let them affect me. 17 THE COURT: Is there anything you 18 prefer to discuss privately? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 20 THE COURT: Start with victim of a 21 22 crime. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I got mugged a long 23 time ago, when I was in college. 24 THE COURT: Anyone apprehended? 25

1	240
	349
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3	THE COURT: Would that affect your
4	ability to be fair?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: Tell me about accused or
7	convicted of a crime.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I had an uncle who
9	was accused of bookmaking and he went to
го	jail.
1	THE COURT: How long ago.
.2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would say about
.3	ten years.
4	THE COURT: Was that here in Nassau
15	County?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Do you have to any feelings
8	about the Police Department or district
.9	attorney's office that would carrying over
0	to this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Cargetta, tell me about
23	victim of a crime.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I had a cousin that
25	was robbed of three hundred dollars.

jerri krevoff, csr, rpr

1	350
2	THE COURT: Anyone apprehended?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Would that affect your
5	ability to be fair?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Are you in college now?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not this semester.
9	THE COURT: When you were, what was
10	your field of specialty?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Electrical
12	engineering.
13	THE COURT: I'm going to skip down to
1.4	the bottom, Ms. Neis. You indicate that
15	your ability to stay would depend upon the
16	night. I can't guarantee the night.
17	Whenever it occurs, that's when it occurs.
1.8	Will you be able to stay and deliberate
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The problem is, I
20	teach at nights. I teach computers. The
21	people I work for don't understand it. They
22	wouldn't be able to teach it. With the
23	holidays coming up, they can't cancel the
24	class. My only concerns is that
25	THE COURT: It's a real concern. You

351 1 2 have to be willing to stay with us whenever it would occur. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess I would 4 have no choice. 5 THE COURT: Are you willing to do that? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. 7 THE COURT: Ms. Renner, you had come up 8 to the Bench. You were concerned about the 9 young people you teach. I told you I keep 10 my teachers because they get paid. 11 I also want to be sure that you're not 12 going to be the kind of juror who will be so 13 concerned about what's happening back at 14 school, that you will be distracted or be 15 watching the clock, or hurrying your verdict 16 because of it. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I won't. 18 THE COURT: What type of sales is your 19 husband in. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was in. Selling 21 22 Xerox. THE COURT: Victim of a crime. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My home was 24 25 burglarized.

1	352
2	THE COURT: Anyone apprehended.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Would that affect your
5	ability to be fair.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: You have a relative in law
8	enforcement?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother-in-law
10	is a New York City policeman.
11	THE COURT: Would that affect your
12	ability to be fair?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
L4	THE COURT: Would you judge the police
15	officers as any other human being who takes
16	the stand?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Ms. Acuri, tell me about
19	law enforcement.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My cousin is a cop
21	in the city,
22	THE COURT: Would you be affected by
23	that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2.5	THE COURT: Would you judge the police

jerri krevoff, csr, rpr

1	353
2	officers as anyone else who takes the stand?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Mrs. Thompson, your
5	occupation prior to retirement?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Bank worker.
7	THE COURT: Anything that you prefer to
8	discuss privately.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
10	(Whereupon the following side bar
11	conference took place outside the hearing of
12	the open courtroom:)
13	THE COURT: Tell me about crimes.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm willing to
15	serve. I don't know if I would be accepted.
16	The crime is about twenty years ago in the
17	bank. My bank guard was shot. I witnessed
18	it. He died six weeks after. I went to
19	Court and the convict was put away for life.
20	THE COURT: Would you be affected by
21	that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: Let's go to accused of a
24	crime.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My son was picked

1	354
2	up with a cellular phone a couple of years
3	ago. It was his friend's phone. He
4	wouldn't tell about the friend. I think the
5	case was dismissed. He had to pay for the
6	phone. That was it.
7	THE COURT: Would that, in any way,
8	affect your ability to be fair?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
.0	THE COURT: Was it in Nassau County.
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
.2	THE COURT: Were the Nassau County
.3.	police involved?
.4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
.5	THE COURT: Do you have any feelings
.6	about the police?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
L 8	THE COURT: What about the district
19	attorney's office?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
21	THE COURT: Do you feel your son was
22	fairly treated?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so.
24	THE COURT: Any questions?
2.5	MR. WALSH: You think your son was

1 355 treated fairly by the police? 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so. There 4 was some thought whether he should have been 5 searched, because he was just driving his 6 Jeep. He was picked up. He didn't know 7 they were policemen. They were plain 8 clothes men. But I don't know. I maintain 9 that if he were in school, that wouldn't have happened. 10 11 MR. WALSH: Okay. 12 How far did that case actually go? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It went to Court. He was discharged. He had to pay for the 14 phone. The case was dismissed. 15 16 MR. WALSH: That was in Nassau County. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 18 MR. WALSH: How do you feel he was 19 treated by the district attorney's office. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was fine. I 21 think they wanted him to give a source. 22 They kept on asking for a source, source, 23 source. He just said he knew nobody. It 24 was his friend's phone. 25 From that point of view, he said, I

1	356
2	can't call my friend's name. I don't want
3	to get him in trouble. I think he was
4	fairly treated.
5	THE COURT: Any questions?
6	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No.
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	(Whereupon the following took place
9	back within the hearing of the open
.0	courtroom:)
.1	THE COURT: Mrs. Reisch, were you ever
.2	employed outside of the home?
.3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Many years ago.
4	THE COURT: What did you do.
.5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I worked for a
6	weekly newspaper.
17	THE COURT: Tell me about victim of a
.8	crime.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My parked car was
20	broken into, and some things stolen. My
21	house was burglarized.
22	THE COURT: Anyone apprehended in
23	either case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Anything in those incidents

1	357
2	that would affect your ability to be fair?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Mrs. Feine, you're a
5	program analyst for what type of firm?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Insurance company.
7	THE COURT: Prior to your husband's
8.	death, what type of work did he do?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: He was in
o	computing, also.
1	THE COURT: You have a child that's an
2	attorney. Male or female.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Female.
1	THE COURT: Does she practice in the
5	feel of criminal law?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Has she ever discussed with
В	you criminal law or procedure?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
0	THE COURT: Tell me about victim of a
1	crime.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Three, actually.
3	My car was broken into. I was mugged. My
4	home was burglarized.
5	THE COURT: Anyone apprehended.

358 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would those incidents, in 3 any way, affect your ability to be fair in 4 5 this case? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 7 THE COURT: We're going to stop now. 8 I'm going to read you some admonitions, 9 ladies and gentlemen. Do not discuss the case amongst 10 yourselves or with others. 11 Do not read or listen to any accounts 12 13 or discussions of the case reported by newspaper or any other news media. 14 Do not visit or view the premises or 15 any place where the offenses charged were 16 allegedly committed, or any other premises 17 or place involved in the case. 18 Promptly report to the Court any 19 incident involving any attempt by any person 20 to influence any member of the jury or to 21 22 discuss the case. Do not form any opinions. Keep an open 23 mind until the case is completed. 24 We'll see all of you at two. Bring 25

```
1
                                                            359
               your questionnaires back with you.
 2
 3
               Actually, everyone except for the sworn
 4
               jurors, two o'clock, outside of this
 5
               courtroom.
 6
                    (Whereupon the sworn jurors and
               prospective jurors left the courtroom)
 7
 8
                     THE COURT: All right, two o'clock,
 9
               everyone.
10
                     MR. WALSH: Yes, Judge.
                     (Whereupon there was a luncheon recess)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	360
2	AFTERNOON SESSION
3	
4	THE CLERK: People vs. Joseph Jackson.
5	Are the People ready?
6	MR. WALSH: Yes.
7	THE CLERK: Is the defendant ready?
8	MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Yes.
9	THE COURT: All right. Please bring
0	the panel in.
1	(Whereupon the jury panel was brought
2	into the courtroom)
3	THE COURT: While we're waiting for our
4	sworn jurors, Ms. Renner, did you want to
5	discuss something with me?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
7	(Whereupon the following side bar
8	conference took place outside the hearing of
9	the open courtroom:)
0	PROSPECTIVE JURGE: I have to say, I'm
1	torn. I reconsidered the question you asked
2	about, will I be so concerned about my work
3	that I won't be able to put my mind
4	completely here. I feel I would be so
5	concerned about my work.

361 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Then I'm going to excuse you. I can't have someone whose mind he is elsewhere. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you very much. 6 7 (Whereupon the following took place back within the hearing of the open 8 9 courtroom:) THE COURT: Please fill the seat. 10 (Whereupon the sworn jurors were 11 brought into the courtroom) 12 13 (Whereupon the vacant seat was filled) THE COURT: I remember you had come up 14 to the Bench, and indicated your business 15 concerns. After Ms. Renner had time over 16 the luncheon recess to think about it, she 17 thought her mind would be elsewhere because 18 she would be so concerned about her business 19 while we were in the course of this trial. 20 I want to make sure you have no such 21 22 problems. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That wouldn't be the case with me. 24 THE COURT: Have you ever practiced in 25

1 362 2 the field of criminal law, yourself. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I haven't. My wife did. 4 5 THE COURT: You also, of course, in 6 becoming an attorney, had criminal 7 procedure, criminal law, constitutional law. 8 I just want to make sure there would be no 9 problems, that you would become a legal 10 adviser to the jury, if chosen. No problems with that? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I wouldn't have a 13 problem. THE COURT: Tell me about victim of a 14 15 crime. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was mugged on a 17 New York City subway in 1988; lost three 18 dollars. Didn't even report it to the 19 police. 20 THE COURT: Would that incident affect 21 you in this case? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 23 THE COURT: Do you know any of the 24 participants, anything about the case; other 25 than what we have told you?

1 363 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I do not. 3 THE COURT: Now, ladies and gentlemen, 4 we're all back together again. Do any of 5 you have any business pending before the 6 district attorney's office or the Police 7 Department? 8 As jurors, your verdict must be 9 unanimous. Twelve jurors seldom agree 10 immediately. And you will therefore be 11 called upon to deliberate. 12 Can you all promise the parties that at 13 the time the deliberations begin, you will express your views, listen to the views of 14 15 your fellow jurors and keep an open mind? 16 Can you all do that; everyone? 17 Do any of you know any reason that you 18 can't fairly and impartially sit in this 19 case? Anyone? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think I might 21 have a preconceived idea. 22 THE COURT: I'm going to excuse you. 23 You're excused. Fill the seat, please. 24 (Whereupon the vacant seat was filled) 25 THE COURT: Ms. Gladstone, do you know

1	364
2	anything about the case; any of the
3	prospective witness or the participants?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: I see you're an attorney.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Have you ever practiced in
8	the field of criminal law?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
10	THE COURT: What type of work did you
11	do?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Criminal work in
13	Manhattan.
14	THE COURT: Can you assure me that from
15	all of your experiences, that you would be
16	fair and impartial in this case; and not
17	favor one side or another?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19	THE COURT: You will not become the
20	legal adviser to the jury?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: As well, you know,
23	sometimes, the law can change even in
24	twenty-four hours. Even if you think I'm
25	wrong, you must take the law as I give it to

1	365
2	you. No problem with that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand.
4	THE COURT: Does your husband practice
5	in the field of criminal law?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Tell me about witness to a
3	crime.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My friend was, her
)	wallet was taken from her on the street.
L	THE COURT: Will that incident affect
2	you in this case?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
1	THE COURT: What about law enforcement
5	people?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My son-in-law is a
7	police officer in Ohio.
8	THE COURT: Can you judge a police
9	officer the same as any other human being
o	who takes the stand.
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Your Honor, I
2	have a trial scheduled to begin December
3	2nd. I don't know that's in Civil Court
4	in Manhattan.
5	THE COURT: This will take precedence

jerri krevoff, csr, rpr

1 366 2 over that. All right. 3 You would be actively engaged as a juror, if you are chosen. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you. 5 6 THE COURT: Any problems with the 7 Judge, you let me know. Can you be a fair and impartial juror in this case? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I can. THE COURT: My second series of 10 questions concerns your willingness to 11 follow my instructions on the law. 12 13 In order to be jurors in the case, you do not have to know anything about the law. 14 As you saw, I told the attorneys who do know 15 the law, they must put it aside and take the 16 17 law as I give it to them. It is my function to explain the law. It is your function to 18 determine the facts and apply the law to 19 those facts; thereby rendering a fair and 20 just verdict. 21 If you are selected as jurors, I will 22 23 explain the law to you in detail, at the end 24 of the case. But it is important to know at 25 this stage, that you will follow the law as

367 1 2 I give it to you. I will therefore describe a few basic principles to make sure that you 3 4 can follow them. Every person accused of a crime is 5 presumed innocent. That is, he stands 6 innocent in the eyes of the law. The People 7 must rebut this presumption, if they can, by 8 9 the presentation of evidence which convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt of the 10 defendant's guilt. 11 In a criminal case, the burden of proof 12 is on the People, and remains on the People 13 throughout the trial. The defendant is not 14 required to prove or disprove anything. 15 Mr. Brettschneider, if he so desired, could 16 sit there silently throughout the trial. 17 Can you all accept the presumption of 18 innocence? 19 I will explain to you at the close of 20 the case exactly what reasonable doubt 21 22 means. But you will be required to acquit if, at the end of the case, because of the 23 evidence or lack of evidence presented to 24

25

you, you have a reasonable doubt as to

1 368 2 guilt. This is a higher standard than those 3 of you who sat in a civil case applied 4 there. 5 Are there any of you who, in your own 6 mind, cannot require that the defendant be 7 proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt 8 before you would convict? Anyone? Now, the People are not obligated to 9 10 prove guilt beyond all doubt. Nothing in life is absolutely certain. Are there any 11 12 of you who would hold the People to a higher 13 standard of proof than that required by law? 14 Your job in this case will end when you 15 determine whether or not the defendant has 16 been proven guilty. If the defendant is to 17 be punished, punishment would be a job for 18 the Court exclusively, and the jury has no 19 role to play. You're not permitted to 20 consider the possibility of punishment in your deliberations. And you may not include 21 22 any recommendations as to sentence in your 23 verdict. 24 Are there any of you who feel that you 25 cannot render your verdict free from

1 369 2 sympathy, without considering the 3 possibility of punishment? 4 Under our system of law, the defendant is not obligated to take the witness stand 5 6 or call any witnesses, or explain his 7 actions in any way. You must not draw any inference unfavorable to the defendant from 8 9 this fact. Are there any of you who will or might 10 allow the fact that the defendant may not 11 testify to influence you in your 12 deliberations? 13 It is not essential that you agree with 14 15 or like these principles of law as I have set them forth. Under my oath, I must 16 instruct you as to the law as I understand 17 18 it to be. Under your oath as jurors, you must accept the law as I explained it to 19 20 you. Can you all do that? You have heard us mention that a police 21 officer is the same as any other human being 22 23 who takes the stand. Do any of you have any 24 feelings about the police that would lead

you to give a police officer's testimony any

370
greater or any lesser weight, without even

greater or any lesser weight, without even listening?

As I told you, we have already got nine jurors. We're going to pick three more and three alternates. If one of you is excused by the Court, or on request of either of the attorneys, please do not regard that as a personal affront or a disparaging gesture on the part of counsel or the Court.

Understand that the selection of jurors, is a longstanding selection and based upon recognized principles of justice.

Therefore, I ask you to continue to participate in this process of jury selection in accordance with the terms and spirit of the oath which you have all taken. Those of you who will be selected must be prepared to sit on the case for as long as the trial may last, and until a verdict is rendered.

You will now be asked various questions by the attorneys, starting with the assistant district attorney. Their questions like mine, are only designed to

1 371 2 determine whether they think you are 3 qualified to sit in this case. If they 4 inadvertently ask a question which is 5 embarrassing or very personal to you, you 5 may say so. 7 If the question is not proper, I will 8 tell you that you do not have to answer it. 9 If the answer involves some personal 10 information, I will permit you to answer it in private, rather than in open Court. 11 You are sworn to tell the truth and 12 must answer every question truthfully, 13 unless I rule that it is not necessary to 14 15 answer. 16 The attorneys now will be starting to 17 question you. They have a time limit. They 18 are allowed twenty minutes the first round 19 and fifteen minutes every round thereafter. 20 I will give them one minute's notice. MR. WALSH: My name is Michael Walsh. 21 I'm an assistant district attorney. 22 responsibility in this case is to present 23 24 the evidence to you on behalf of the People

of the State of New York.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

372

With apologies to our sworn jurors, who have heard this about three or four times, there is something that I like to say to each new panel as you come in. That is, that during this process, there are no right or wrong answers to the questions that we ask you. Whether it's myself or Mr. Brettschneider, or Judge Boklan, who was asking the questions. The only good answer that any of you can give us, is the most honest and candid one you possibly can. Many people feel that this is the most important part of a criminal trial. We are attempting to pick from among you, twelve people who can truly be fair and impartial to both sides in this case. It's only through the selection of twelve fair and impartial jurors that the system actually works. Without twelve fair and impartial jurors, the rest of the trial can become a waste of time.

So what I would ask of you is that you resist the temptation, if it creeps in, to give us answers that you think we might want

jerri krevoff, csr, rpr

373 2 to hear; or to tell us what you think the 3 right answer might be. Please be as honest and candid as you possibly can. It's only 5 in that way that we can truly pick a jury that's going to give both sides a fair trial. Everybody heard Judge Boklan read 9 through the indictment, or at least outline 10 what the charges were. Is there anybody among you who feels that based upon the 11 12 nature of the charges, that they would have 13 a difficult time sitting on this jury or being a fair and impartial juror? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can you go through 16 the charges? MR. WALSH: The defendant is charged in 17 18 the indictment that Judge Boklan referred 19 to, with murder in the second degree, 20 hindering prosecution in the second degree, and intimidating a witness or a victim in 21 22 the first degree. 23 Knowing that, or having heard that 24 again, does that cause you any problems? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, intimidating

1 374 2 does a little bit. It just bothers me. 3 MR. WALSH: Okay. I guess what I'm 4 really -- does it cause you any problems as 5 far as being fair and impartial to one side or the other? It should bother you. Nobody 6 7 in this courtroom is in favor of any of the 8 charges in the indictment. It's good that 9 it bothers you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just wonder where 10 11 it comes from. Something had to happen 12 there, for that charge to even come about. 13 MR. WALSH: Any time a defendant walks 14 into a courtroom and is charged with a 15 crime, that's true of any defendant. 16 Anybody who is here. 17 Does the fact that the defendant is 18 sitting here in this chair, in any way, mean 19 that he's guilty or not guilty of the 20 charges against him? In other words, Judge 21 Boklan explained to you that right now, as the defendant sits here, he's presumed 22 23 innocent. You have to apply that presumption of innocence. 24 25 Does the fact that he was charged with

1 375 2 a crime in an indictment, cause you in any 3 way to be unable to apply that presumption? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I guess not 5 yet. 6 MR. WALSH: That's what we ask. 7 Basically, as you sit here, do you feel that 8 you could be fair and impartial to each 9 side. And whatever your -- say you're 10 chosen as a juror -- whatever your verdict 11 is in the end of the case, do you feel you 12 could give us your assurance that whatever it is, it is based on the evidence and 13 nothing else? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sure. MR. WALSH: Anybody else? I think it 16 was on last Thursday, which was the last day 17 we were actually in the courtroom, one of 18 19 the prospective jurors said they would have 20 a difficult time with the responsibility of finding someone guilty or not guilty, such 21 22 that they felt they couldn't serve. Does 23 anyone else feel that way? As I have asked 24 Mrs. Petrico, and I'll ask everybody the

same question, whatever your verdict might

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

376

be, if you're chosen as a juror, can you each assure me that your verdict will be based on the evidence and nothing else, other than the evidence?

Everybody heard the witness list read by the Judge. I think over half of the witnesses were -- or prospective witnesses -- were police officers. I know that a number of you have friends or relatives in the Police Department. We have one police officer on our panel. In my opinion, really, the central question, when you're talking about the testimony of a police officer is, whether or not any of you believe that a police officer, just by virtue of the fact that they're a police officer and wear a gun and a badge, are any more or less likely to be truthful as witnesses on the witness stand. To me, that's the most important question there is. Ms Ruggerio, I am going to start with you. The way we left it this morning, was that you believed you could be fair and impartial. I'm going to try to push a

1 377 2 little bit. Ultimately, understanding your 3 answer may be, I believe so. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm questioning 5 myself. Because I want to be fair and 6 impartial. I just know so much of what I 7 hear is very biased toward one side. I feel 8 a little bit uncomfortable. I would hope 9 that I would be. That's why I said I 10 believe so. 11 MR. WALSH: Let me get your gut 12 reaction to the question I just asked. Do 13 you feel that a police officer, just by 14 virtue of the fact that they're a police 15 officer, is any more likely to tell the 16 truth when they get up on the witness stand 17 than anyone else? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe so. 19 MR. WALSH: Why is that. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Because they take 21 an oath when they become a police officer, 22 to serve. Although, I know that's not one 23 hundred percent the case. It's my position, 24 if they took the oath, they should hold it 25 seriously.

378 1 2 MR. WALSH: Mr. Westfall, how about yourself? You're a New York City police 3 officer. Are you assigned to any particular 4 5 squad or unit? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Actually, now, I'm 6 in charge of the auxiliary police in the 7 8 Burough of Queens. 9 MR. WALSH: Do you feel a police officer who gets up on the witness stand is 10 any more likely or less likely to tell the 11 truth? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I feel I have no 13 problem with that. Are you asking me --14 MR. WALSH: In general, do you think a 15 police officer is any more likely to tell 16 the truth when they get up on the stand? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 18 19 MR. WALSH: That's really what I'm getting at. They're human beings like 20 anybody else. They have the same frailties 21 as anyone else. A police officer isn't 22 23 going to start off up here, and somebody else down here? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 25

1 379 2 MR. WALSH: Anybody else -- let me just generally ask this question, Ms. Gladstone. 3 4 How much of your practice is devoted to 5 criminal law? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right now, almost 7 none. That was -- I started doing civil 8 litigation about a year ago. MR, WALSH: You've been involved I 9 10 imagine, in criminal litigation, as well? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: At the moment, no. MR. WALSH: How do you feel about what 12 13 we're talking about. Police officers, whether they're any more likely or less 14 15 likely to tell the truth? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They're just 17 people. 18 MR. WALSH: It's hard for me to get 19 used to actually having lawyers, and 20 criminal lawyers, on jury panels now. To 21 tell the truth, I haven't figured out 22 whether or not -- what kind of an effect a 23 criminal defense lawyer's background would 24 have on their ability to serve fairly and impartially. If you were me standing up 25

380 1 2 here asking you the questions, would you be concerned about having you as a juror? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably. 4 5 MR. WALSH: Why is that. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Only because I am a lawyer. I have represented one side. I don't think I would be any less likely to be 8 a problem than anyone else. I think I could 9 be impartial. 10 MR. WALSH: Just as anyone else. You 11 don't think your background would hurt your 12 ability to be fair and impartial to either 13 side. Again, I haven't really figured 14 out -- it's something that could cut both 15 16 ways, actually. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Of course, it 17 could. 18 MR. WALSH: You feel it's not going to 19 be a problem, and you could be fair and 20 impartial? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think I can be. 22 23 Yes. MR. WALSH: You had indicated 24 Mrs. Carlson, that you had a brother who had 25

1 381 2 been convicted of a crime. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 4 MR. WALSH: First of all, how do you 5 feel that he was treated; first by the 6 police, in the case he was involved in. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not really 8 aware of how he was treated, at all. I was 9 much younger. You know, he did go to prison 10 for his crime. MR. WALSH: Where did that occur. 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The actual 13 incident, I'm not really sure. I believe it 14 was Pennsylvania. 15 MR. WALSH: Were you left with any 16 impression, one way or the other, about the 17 criminal justice system? I know you said 18 you were young. Would that cause you any 19 problems as far as sitting fairly and 20 impartially? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Not at all. 22 MR. WALSH: The horrible incident 23 involving your brother, the brother who was 24 murdered -- twenty-six years ago? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.

1 382 2 MR. WALSH: How -- do you feel that 3 that would affect your ability to be fair in 4 this case? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. 6 MR. WALSH: Any other reasons you feel 7 you would have any difficulty being a fair 8 and impartial juror? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think I 10 would have any difficulty. 11 MR. WALSH: Mr. Perilis, I know you had 12 indicated on your questionnaire that you 13 possibly had difficulty staying overnight. 14 Do you foresee that being a problem? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. MR. WALSH: Up until this point in 16 17 time, I know that you said you've been in the country for sixteen years. Have you had 18 any difficulty understanding anything that I 19 20 have said or Judge Boklan has said? In 21 other words, do you feel that language could 22 be a difficulty for you, if you were chosen? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 24 MR. WALSH: Any other reason -- any 25 reason you feel you couldn't be fair and

383 1 impartial. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 3 MR. WALSH: You feel you could be fair? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 5 MR. WALSH: Mr. Blakely, I know you 6 told us about an incident involving your 7 8 son. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's right. 9 MR. WALSH: I noticed you had brought 10 up what the sentence was. Were you left 11 with anything from that experience, the 12 incident involving your son, that would 13 cause you any difficulty sitting in this 14 15 case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The defendant went 16 to trial and was sentenced. It was fair, I 17 felt it was a fair trial. He had diminished 18 capacity. He just was driving a car without 19 a license or registration. He claimed he 20 wasn't really responsible. 21 MR. WALSH: Do you feel the police 22 23 handled that --PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was handled very 24 well. 25

1	
ī	3.84
2	MR. WALSH: Did that occur here in
3	Nassau County?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR; It did.
5	MR. WALSH: Did you deal with the
6	district attorney's office?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	MR. WALSH: How did you feel the
9	district attorney's office handled the case?
.0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They prosecuted the
1	case with the evidence they had.
2	MR. WALSH: Were you satisfied with the
3	job they did?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	MR. WALSH: Any reason you couldn't
6	give the defendant a fair trial?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: This case has no
.8	relevance to what happened to my son.
.9	MR. WALSH: How about you, Mr. Keller?
0	I know you indicated that you had an uncle
1	who was convicted of a crime.
2	First of all, were you left with any
3	feelings, given that incident, about the
4	police, or how they handled that case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

i	3.85
2	MR. WALSH: Where did that occur?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe it was
4	Suffolk.
5	MR. WALSH: How about the district
6	attorney's office?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I really didn't
g	have any involvement, as far as the details.
9	MR. WALSH: Any reason you feel you
10	couldn't be fair and impartial?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	MR. WALSH: Mr. Mastelone, I'm going to
13	ask you a few questions. Does it matter to
14	you, in this case, whether the victim is
15	black or white?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not at all.
17	MR. WALSH: Does it matter to you in
18	this case, whether the victim is male or
19	female?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
21	MR. WALSH: Young or old?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, no; it
23	doesn't.
24	MR. WALSH: If I were to tell you that
25	the victim in this case, the person who was

386 1 killed, sold drugs during the course of his 2 lifetime, would that matter to you, as far 3 as whether or not you would take this case 4 as seriously? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That wouldn't 6 7 matter to me. MR. WALSH: Ma'am, how about you? Same 8 questions. First of all, does it matter 9 whether the victim is black or white, male 10 or female? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 12 MR. WALSH: That has nothing to do with 13 whether or not I prove to you beyond a 14 reasonable doubt that this defendant 15 committed the crime. 16 Now, none of those things would cause 17 you to take the case any more or less 18 seriously? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. 20 MR. WALSH: What if you found out, and 21 unrelated to whether or not I actually prove 22 the charges beyond a reasonable doubt, that 23 the victim was somebody who sold drugs 24 during the course of his lifetime? 25

387 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That doesn't 2 matter. 3 MR. WALSH: Would that tend to make you 4 take the case any less seriously? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 6 MR. WALSH: Ms. Thompson, how about 7 you? B PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 9 MR. WALSH: You wouldn't take the case 10 any more or less seriously, depending on who 11 the victim was, or what he or she may have 12 been during the course of their lives; as 13 long as it had no relation to whether or not 14 I proved the case to you beyond a reasonable 15 doubt? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. 17 MR. WALSH: How about you, Mrs. Reisch? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 19 MR. WALSH: Anybody who would have any 20 difficulty with that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It would matter to 22 me -- how young was the victim? I work with 23 children a lot. It would matter to me if it 24 was a child. I would probably be more 25

1 388 2 sympathetic if it was a child. 3 MR. WALSH: I don't think you're going 4 to find the victim was a child. If the 5 Court wouldn't mind me saying, it was 6 somebody probably in their twenties. 7 Based on what you said, let me ask you 8 a few more questions. You mentioned 9 sympathy. Given, in your case, if the 10 victim was young, that's something that 11 might affect you. You would have maybe more 12 sympathy for the victim? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. 14 MR. WALSH: If you remember, one of the 15 first things the Judge said when you came 16 into the courtroom, was that your job as a 17 juror, and a jury, is to find the facts. 18 Basically, to determine what happened here. 19 That's based upon whether or not, as I said 20 before, I prove to you, beyond a reasonable 21 doubt, that the defendant committed the 22 crime he's charged with. 23 Emotional considerations, sympathy, or 24 even anger for one side or the other, don't 25 play any role in a juror's job, basically,

1 389 2 of finding the facts. All the sympathy or 3 the anger you could have for either the 4 victim or the defendant, can't change what 5 happened and whether or not I prove it to 6 you beyond a reasonable doubt. 7 So you're asked to basically evaluate 8 the evidence and come to a verdict, if you 9 possibly can, without regard to emotional 10 considerations. That's a very easy thing 11 for me to get up here and ask you to do. 12 It's a very difficult thing for people 13 sitting in your position to put into 14 practice. 15 Do you think you might have difficulty 16 with that part of being a juror? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. 18 No. 19 MR. WALSH: Anybody else feel they 20 would have any difficulty with that? 21 Let me ask it another way. 22 Ms. Thompson, assume, for the purposes of 23 the question I'm going to ask you, that 24 you're chosen as a juror. You listen to the 25 evidence. You go back into the jury room to

390 1 2 deliberate. At the end of it all, you're convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that I 3 have proven that the defendant committed the 4 crimes that are charged in the indictment. 5 Would you have any difficulty, if you felt 6 7 any type of emotion creeping in -- whether sympathy or anger -- would you have any difficulty setting that aside, and only if I 9 prove the case to you beyond a reasonable 10 doubt, come back in this courtroom, stand 11 up, face the defendant and find him guilty 12 of murder in the second degree? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Would I have any 14 difficulty? No, I wouldn't. If he's 15 guilty, he's guilty. 16 MR. WALSH: If I don't prove the case, 17 you'll come in here and find him not guilty? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not guilty. MR. WALSH: Whatever your verdict is, 20 can we have your assurance, if you could 21 possibly give it to us, that it will be 22 based on the evidence and nothing else? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 24 MR. WALSH: That's basically the 25

1 391 2 question that I'm asking all of you right 3 That's the question you have to answer 4 when you talk about sympathy. Do I have 5 everybody's assurance, that whatever your 6 verdict is, it will be based upon the 7 evidence and nothing else? 8 Before I sit down, is there any reason 9 I haven't brought up, or Judge Boklan hasn't addressed, why any of you feel you couldn't 10 11 be fair and impartial in this case? 12 Thank you very much. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Brettschneider. 14 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Good afternoon, 15 I'm going to get right to the heart of it. Certainly when you walked into the 16 17 courtroom, you probably didn't realize at that time, that you potentially could sit on 18 19 a murder case. Certainly, I don't have to 20 tell you what's at stake for Mr. Jackson in 21 this case. 22 So let me reiterate what the Judge said 23 and Mr. Walsh said about being as honest and 24 as truthful as you could be, as to whether 25 this case is right for you.

392 1 2 Essentially, I ask -- you know, you may be a perfect juror for another type of case. 3 Based on your background or affiliation, 4 5 this case may not be right for you. Mr. Blakely, I need to find out from 6 you, based upon -- I mean, a horrible experience. You went through the criminal 8 justice system as a victim's parent. Now, 9 you're being asked to sit as a juror, and be 10 impartial as you possibly can be, in a case 11 which is, you know, extremely serious. 12 13 Based on what you went through, and based upon what you went through as part of 14 the criminal justice system from the other 15 side, do you feel this case is right for 16 17 you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't feel 18 totally comfortable with a murder. Nobody 19 would. What I went through is considered to 20 be a vehicle homicide. The defendant was 21 sentenced. You know, he was given a 22 23 sentence that I thought was kind of light. Yet, he wasn't really responsible. 24

25

MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I think when you

1 393 2 told the story, when people heard six months and five years probation, it normally would 3 be -- without being present at the trial --4 5 anybody would say, it seems to be a light 6 sentence. Based upon the fact that it was a 7 light sentence and something that you 8 probably don't feel --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I didn't feel totally comfortable with it. 10 11 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Based on that, and 12 you're sitting here. Right now, you may 13 feel you could be fair. I want to know if, halfway through the trial, you may say to 14 15 yourself, this brings back certain feelings that I haven't had for maybe ten years. 16 17 What I'm asking you now is, whether there's 18 a possibility that those feelings could come back --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's possible; 20 sure. Sure, it's possible. 21 22 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: In a negative 23 sense. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, it would be 25 negative, I would think. I need to hear

1 394 2 both sides of the case. I would make a 3 judgment. It brings back a lot of memories; 4 sure. 5 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Ms. Carlson, same 6 question. Certainly, although it's been 7 many, many years. Loved ones are never 8 forgotten. A situation such as what 9 happened in your past, somewhere in the 10 middle of the trial, or even if you go home 11 and the Judge says to you, listen, you're 12 not supposed to talk about the case. You 13 tell your family members, I'm on a murder 14 trial. Somebody brings back what happened 15 to your brother. Somewhere down deep as you 16 sit and listen to evidence, is something 17 going to come back to you, or are you going to have certain emotions that maybe you 18 19 don't feel like you'll have now; but I mean, 20 even sitting here, how do you feel about 21 sitting on this case? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm fine with it. 23 I was a small child at the time, as tragic 24 as it was. I can definitely be fair. 25 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: I have to ask the

395 1 question, because sometimes you shake your 2 head yes, sometimes, you shake your head, 3 no. Looking at your background and your 4 education, there's a lot of things you bring 5 with you as a juror. This particular case, 6 based on the number of police witnesses, 7 somewhere along the line, you're going to 8 listen to many police officers and say, you 9 know something. They don't really have a 10 motive to lie. Based on maybe just your 11 12 experiences with your husband, do you feel as though this is the right case for you? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Because it is 14 such a serious thing. I would want very 15 much to be impartial. I can't guarantee you 16 that I would be. 1.7 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: You had some 18 concerns. I'm going to ask you the same 19 20

MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: You had some concerns. I'm going to ask you the same question. Based on what you have heard so far, and the fact that there may be photographs of the deceased, which may be graphic. Based on your own emotions, is this case right for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Some of it bothers

21

22

23

24

396 1 2 me already; some of the charges. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Bothering you to 3 the point where, somewhere in the back of 4 your mind, you're saying, I don't know if I 5 can be fair. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably. MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Anybody else feel 8 9 the same way, based on just what they heard? Ms. Janow, certainly, there is a victim in 10 this case. Whatever he did during his 11 lifetime, I mean, that may come out during 12 the trial. Someone did die. 13 Certainly, our sympathy always goes out 14 to a victim. You may see photographs of the 15 deceased in this case. You may hear what 16 happened, which certainly may have an 17 effect. Could sympathy outweigh your 18 ability to be fair and impartial? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. 20 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Why do you feel 21 22 that way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Why do I feel that 23 way? I take what the Judge said very 24 seriously. I'm not going to have any 25

397 2 predisposition. 3 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Mr. Westfall, have you ever, in your career as a police 5 officer, ever dealt with a homicide case? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 7 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: On how many 8 occasions? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Directly as the 10 assigned officer? 11 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: No. Could have 12 come to the scene. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Maybe a dozen or so 14 times. 15 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Based on these 16 experiences, which I'm sure, no matter how 17 long you've been a police officer, they're 18 not pleasant experiences --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 20 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Really what I want 21 to know is, based on what you've seen and observed as to police officers, sitting on a 22 case such as this; and also the knowledge 23 24 that you have, you may know as a police 25 officer, do you feel you could be fair?

398 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I feel I can be 2 impartial and just view the evidence. 3 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Let me give you a 4 situation and see how you feel about this. 5 Detective gets on the stand, says, I've been 6 a detective for twenty years. I took a 7 statement from a witness. The witness told 8 me X, Y and Z. The fact that he took the 9 statement from a witness, does that 10 necessarily mean the witness was telling the 11 12 truth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 13 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: What about if it 14 was a statement by the defendant in this 15 case. It was taken by a police officer 16 during an interrogation. Does that 17 necessarily mean that whatever the defendant 18 told the police officers is the truth? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 20 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Is it possible 21 somebody could be forced to make a statement 22 against his will by a police officer? 23 MR. WALSH: Objection. 24 THE COURT: Sustained. 25

399 1 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Well, in your 2 experience, have you ever taken a statement 3 from somebody who was arrested for a crime? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 5 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Are you skilled in 6 interrogation techniques? Were you trained? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not a 8 detective. We have had -- we do first 9 on-the-scene-type of preliminary statements. 10 They're always interviewed by detectives 11 later on. 12 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: You say you have 13 worked with auxiliary police officers in 14 15 Queens? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 16 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: How long have you 17 worked in Queens County. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 1981. 19 20 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: What precinct. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 113, 103, 101, 104. 21 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Ms. Jackson, you 22 have a fiance who's a police officer. 23 Certainly, based on the situation that there 24 are going to be police officers testifying 25

400 1 in this case, how do you feel about that? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Doesn't affect me 3 either way. 4 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: Why. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'll listen to the 6 evidence and take it for what it's worth. 7 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: A police officer 8 get on the stand, raises his right hand and says, I swear to tell the truth. Does that 10 mean it's so? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not necessarily. I assume everybody will say that. I have to 13 take it for what they give me. 14 MR. BRETTSCHNEIDER: If, for example, 15 somebody gets on the witness stand and tells 16 a story as to occurrences that happened over 17 a certain period of time. Then it comes out 18 that that witness has told a story in the 19 past, and it's inconsistent. What would you 20 think about that person's reliability as far 21 as their truthfulness? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I may have a little 23 bit of doubt about it; depending on what --24 what relationship they had to the case, 25